

May 15, 2019

***VIA ELECTRONIC FILING***

Public Utility Commission of Oregon  
201 High Street SE, Suite 100  
Salem, OR 97301-3398

Attn: Filing Center

**RE: UE 361—PacifiCorp's 2018 Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism**

PacifiCorp d/b/a Pacific Power encloses for electronic filing its 2018 Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism (PCAM) filing.

In Order No. 12-493, the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission) approved a PCAM to allow PacifiCorp to recover the difference between actual net power costs (NPC) incurred to serve customers and the base NPC established in PacifiCorp's annual transition adjustment mechanism (TAM) filing. The amount recovered from or refunded to customers for a given year is subject to the following parameters:

- Asymmetrical Deadband. Any variance between negative \$15 million and positive \$30 million will be absorbed by the company.
- Sharing Band. Any variance above or below the deadband will be shared 90 percent by customers and 10 percent by the company.
- Earnings Test. If PacifiCorp's earned return on equity (ROE) is within plus or minus 100 basis points of the allowed ROE, there will be no recovery from or refund to customers.
- Amortization Cap. The amortization of deferred amounts are capped at six percent of the revenue for the preceding calendar year.

On an Oregon-allocated basis, actual PCAM costs were \$19.1 million more than base PCAM costs established in the 2018 TAM (docket UE 323). The application of the deadband results in no recovery for the 2018 PCAM. Because Schedule 206, the Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism, is currently set at zero cents per kilowatt hour for all schedules, no tariff change is required at this time.

In compliance with Order No. 17-524, PacifiCorp includes supporting direct testimony of Michael G. Wilding that includes a discussion of any unusual expenses incurred over the course of the 2018 PCAM year and large deviations of actual NPC from forecasted NPC. A differential worksheet indicating actual minus base power costs for each separate cost category in the PCAM on a gross cost and per MWh unit basis is included in the workpapers accompanying this filing.

Confidential material supporting this filing is provided under Order No. 19-171.

UE 361  
Public Utility Commission of Oregon  
May 15, 2019  
Page 2

PacifiCorp respectfully requests that all communications related to this filing be addressed to:

Oregon Dockets  
PacifiCorp  
825 NE Multnomah Street, Suite 2000  
Portland, OR 97232  
[oregondockets@pacificorp.com](mailto:oregondockets@pacificorp.com)

Ajay Kumar  
State Regulatory Attorney  
825 NE Multnomah Street, Suite 1800  
Portland, OR 97232  
[Ajay.kumar@pacificorp.com](mailto:Ajay.kumar@pacificorp.com)

Additionally, PacifiCorp requests that all formal information requests regarding this matter be addressed to:

By E-mail (preferred): [datarequest@pacificorp.com](mailto:datarequest@pacificorp.com)

By regular mail: Data Request Response Center  
PacifiCorp  
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 2000  
Portland, OR 97232

Informal inquiries may be directed to Cathie Allen at (503) 813-5934.

Sincerely,



Etta Lockey  
Vice President, Regulation

cc: Service List UE 263  
Service List UE 344

Docket No. UE 361  
Exhibit PAC/100  
Witness: Michael G. Wilding

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
OF OREGON**

**PACIFICORP**

---

Direct Testimony of Michael G. Wilding

May 2019

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL G. WILDING  
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	QUALIFICATIONS .....	1
II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY .....	1
III.	SUMMARY OF THE PCAM DEFERRAL CALCULATION.....	2
IV.	PCAM DEFERRAL CALCULATION.....	3
V.	SUMMARY OF THE NPC DIFFERENCES .....	9
VI.	IMPACT OF PARTICIPATING IN THE EIM.....	13

**ATTACHED EXHIBITS**

Exhibit PAC/101—2018 PCAM Calculation

1 **Q. Please state your name, business address, and present position with PacifiCorp,**  
2 **d/b/a Pacific Power.**

3 A. My name is Michael G. Wilding. My business address is 825 NE Multnomah Street,  
4 Suite 600, Portland, Oregon 97232. My title is Director, Net Power Costs and  
5 Regulatory Policy.

6 **I. QUALIFICATIONS**

7 **Q. Briefly describe your education and professional experience.**

8 A. I received a Master of Accounting from Weber State University and a Bachelor of  
9 Science degree in accounting from Utah State University. I am a Certified Public  
10 Accountant licensed in the state of Utah. During my tenure at the Company, I have  
11 worked on various regulatory projects including general rate cases, the multi-state  
12 protocol, and net power cost filings. I have been employed by the Company since  
13 2014.

14 **Q. Have you testified in previous regulatory proceedings?**

15 A. Yes. I have filed testimony in proceedings before the public service commissions in  
16 Oregon, California, Washington, Idaho, Utah, and Wyoming.

17 **II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY**

18 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?**

19 A. My testimony presents and supports PacifiCorp's calculation of the Power Cost  
20 Adjustment Mechanism (PCAM) costs for the 12-month period of January 1, 2018  
21 through December 31, 2018 (Deferral Period). More specifically, I provide the  
22 following:

- 1 • Background on the PCAM and an accounting of how the PCAM balance was
- 2 calculated for the Deferral Period;
- 3 • Discussion of the main differences between adjusted actual net power costs
- 4 (Actual NPC) and net power costs in rates (Base NPC); and,
- 5 • Discussion about PacifiCorp's participation in the energy imbalance market
- 6 (EIM) with the California Independent System Operator (CAISO) and the
- 7 benefits from EIM that are passed through to customers.

8 **Q. Are additional witnesses presenting testimony specifically for the PCAM and**  
9 **Rate Schedule 206 in this case?**

10 A. No.

11 **III. SUMMARY OF THE PCAM DEFERRAL CALCULATION**

12 **Q. Please briefly describe PacifiCorp's PCAM authorized by the Public Utility**  
13 **Commission of Oregon (Commission).**

14 A. Commission Order No. 12-493 approved a PCAM to allow PacifiCorp to recover the  
15 difference between actual PCAM costs incurred to serve customers and the base  
16 PCAM costs established in PacifiCorp's annual transition adjustment mechanism  
17 (TAM) filing.<sup>1</sup> PCAM costs include NPC, non-NPC EIM costs, other revenues, and  
18 Production Tax Credits (PTC).

19 **Q. Please summarize the calculation of the PCAM deferral included in this filing.**

20 A. For the Deferral Period, on an Oregon-allocated basis, actual PCAM costs are  
21 \$19.1 million more than base PCAM costs established in docket UE 323 (2018

---

<sup>1</sup> *In the Matter of PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power, Request for a General Rate Case, Docket No. UE 246, Order No. 12-493 (Dec. 20, 2012)(Order No. 12-493).*

1 TAM). The application of the deadband results in no recovery through the 2018  
2 PCAM.

3 **Q. Have you provided detailed support for the calculation of the PCAM balance**  
4 **with your testimony?**

5 A. Yes. Exhibit PAC/101 is a summary of the calculation of PacifiCorp's 2018 PCAM  
6 deferral on a monthly basis. Detailed workpapers supporting Exhibit PAC/101 are  
7 provided separately.<sup>2</sup>

8 **IV. PCAM DEFERRAL CALCULATION**

9 **Q. Please describe the calculation of the PCAM deferral included in this filing.**

10 A. The PCAM deferral is calculated on a monthly basis by comparing actual PCAM  
11 costs to base PCAM on a per-unit basis. The amount recovered from or refunded to  
12 customers for a given year is subject to the following parameters:

- 13 • Asymmetrical Deadband: Any PCAM difference between negative  
14 \$15 million and positive \$30 million will be absorbed by the company.
- 15 • Sharing Band: Any PCAM difference above or below the deadband will be  
16 shared 90 percent by customers and 10 percent by PacifiCorp.
- 17 • Earnings Test: If PacifiCorp's earned return on equity (ROE) is within plus or  
18 minus 100 basis points of the authorized ROE, there will be no recovery from  
19 or refund to customers.
- 20 • Amortization Cap: The amortization of deferred amounts are capped at six  
21 percent of the revenue for the preceding calendar year.

---

<sup>2</sup> Confidential workpapers are provided to the Commission under OAR 860-01-0070.

1 For the Deferral Period the PCAM differential was \$19.1 million before  
 2 application of the deadband. Therefore, PacifiCorp is not requesting a rate change to  
 3 Schedule 206. A summary of the deferral calculation is shown in Table 1.

**Table 1**  
**Summary of PCAM Account Balance**

<b><u>Calendar Year 2018 PCAM Deferral</u></b>	
Actual PCAM Costs (\$/MWh)	\$ 27.60
Base PCAM Costs (\$/MWh)	25.90
PCAM Cost Differential (\$/MWh)	<u>1.70</u>
Oregon Retail Load (MWh)	12,867,233
PCAM Differential*	\$ 19,694,290
Situs Resource True-Up*	<u>(621,364)</u>
Total PCAM Differential*	19,072,926
Total Deferrable ABOVE Deadband	-
Total Deferrable BELOW Deadband	-
Oregon Deferral at 90% Sharing	-
Oregon Deferral at 90% Sharing after Earning Test	-
Interest Accrued through December 31, 2018	<u>-</u>
<b>Requested PCAM Recovery</b>	<b><u><u>\$ -</u></u></b>
<i>* Calculated monthly</i>	

4 **Q. How is the PCAM differential calculated on a monthly basis?**

5 A. As previously noted, on a monthly basis, actual PCAM costs are compared to base  
 6 PCAM on a per-unit basis. PCAM costs are established in the Oregon TAM and  
 7 include NPC, EIM costs, Other Revenues, and PTCs. EIM benefits are included in  
 8 NPC. Any differences in the system per-unit cost are multiplied by the actual  
 9 megawatt hours of Oregon retail sales in that month to determine Oregon's share of  
 10 any differential. The calculation uses the following formula:

11  $(PCAMC_a \div Load_a) - (PCAMC_b \div Load_b) = \text{System PCAM Unit Cost Differential}$

12  $\text{System PCAM Unit Cost Differential} \times Load_o + (SR_a - SR_b) = \text{PCAM Differential}$

1 Where:

2	PCAMC <sub>a</sub>	= Total Company Adjusted Actual NPC (Excluding Situs
3		Resources) plus other costs/benefits reflected in Oregon TAM
4	Load <sub>a</sub>	= Actual System Retail Load
5	PCAMC <sub>b</sub>	= Total Company Base NPC (Excluding Situs Resources)
6		adjusted for Direct Access plus other costs/benefits reflected in
7		Oregon TAM
8	Load <sub>b</sub>	= Base System Retail Load
9	Load <sub>o</sub>	= Actual Oregon Retail Load
10	SR <sub>a</sub>	= Actual Situs Resource Value
11	SR <sub>b</sub>	= Forecasted Situs Resource Value

12 The cumulative PCAM differential (under- or over-recovery) is first compared  
13 against the asymmetrical deadband. Cumulative PCAM differential amounts in  
14 excess of the asymmetrical deadband are then subject to the sharing band (90 percent  
15 customers, 10 percent company). Monthly balances accrue interest at PacifiCorp's  
16 authorized rate of return in Oregon for 2018. The final step is to apply, if necessary,  
17 the earnings test to determine if any amount is eligible for recovery from or refund to  
18 customers. To the extent earnings are within plus or minus 100 basis points of the  
19 authorized ROE, no recovery or refund is allowed under the approved PCAM design.

20 **Q. What were total-company adjusted Actual NPC for the Deferral Period and how**  
21 **were they determined?**

22 A. The total-company adjusted Actual NPC in the Deferral Period were approximately  
23 \$1.595 billion. This amount captures all components of NPC as modeled by  
24 PacifiCorp's Generation and Regulation Initiative Decision Tool (GRID) model in the  
25 company's annual TAM filings. Specifically, it includes amounts booked to the  
26 following Federal Energy Regulatory Commission accounts:

27 Account 447 – Sales for resale, excluding on-system wholesale sales and other  
28 revenues that are not modeled in GRID

1 Account 501 – Fuel, steam generation; excluding fuel handling, start-up fuel  
2 (gas and diesel fuel, residual disposal) and other costs that are  
3 not modeled in GRID

4 Account 503 – Steam from other sources

5 Account 547 – Fuel, other generation

6 Account 555 – Purchased power, excluding the Bonneville Power  
7 Administration (BPA) residential exchange credit pass-through  
8 if applicable

9 Account 565 – Transmission of electricity by others.

10 **Q. What adjustments are made to Actual NPC and why are they needed?**

11 A. PacifiCorp adjusts Actual NPC to reflect the ratemaking treatment of several items,  
12 including:

- 13 • out of period accounting entries booked in the Deferral Period that relate  
14 to operations before implementation of the PCAM on January 1, 2013;
- 15 • buy-through of economic curtailment by interruptible industrial  
16 customers;
- 17 • revenue from a contract related to the Leaning Juniper wind resource;
- 18 • situs assignment of the generation from Oregon solar resources procured  
19 to satisfy Oregon Revised Statute 757.370 solar capacity standard;
- 20 • situs assignment of Oregon allocated excess amortization related to a  
21 prepaid wheeling expense;
- 22 • situs assignment of certain Utah resources;
- 23 • avian curtailment at specific wind farms;

- 1 • the exclusion of Rolling Hills wind farm from Oregon rates (consistent
- 2 with docket UE 200);
- 3 • coal inventory adjustments to reflect coal costs in the correct period;
- 4 • reductions to coal costs for management overtime, 50 percent of
- 5 management incentive compensation, and legal fees related to fines and
- 6 citations; and,
- 7 • adjustments related to liquidated damages that occurred outside the
- 8 Deferral Period (all liquidated damage fees per a coal supply agreement
- 9 are booked in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles).

10 **Q. Please summarize the calculation of the Actual Non-NPC EIM Costs.**

11 A. Non-NPC EIM costs are trued-up as part of the PCAM costs. These EIM costs  
12 include ongoing operations and maintenance expense and depreciation expense; the  
13 return on rate base is not included in the true-up per the 2016 PCAM Order.<sup>3</sup> Actual  
14 non-NPC EIM costs were \$1.2 million lower than the EIM costs included in the 2018  
15 TAM on a total-company basis. As described in more detail later on in my testimony,  
16 the EIM provides benefits to customers in the form of reduced Actual NPC.

17 **Q. Please summarize the calculation of Other Revenues.**

18 A. Other Revenues, or Oregon Schedule 205, that are forecasted in the TAM are also  
19 included in the PCAM. On a total-company basis, actual Other Revenues were  
20 \$0.6 million lower than Other Revenues in the 2018 TAM.

21 **Q. Please summarize the Direct Access included in the PCAM.**

22 A. Each year Base NPC is set in the TAM. After Base NPC is determined certain

---

<sup>3</sup> Order No. 17-524.

1 customers have the option to move to Direct Access (DA) and purchase energy from  
2 an Electricity Service Provider (ESS). In the PCAM, Base NPC is adjusted for the  
3 lost DA load.

4 **Q. Please summarize the PTCs included in the PCAM.**

5 A. PTCs forecasted in the TAM are also included in the PCAM. In the 2018 TAM, PTC  
6 benefits were calculated using PacifiCorp's combined federal and state income tax  
7 rate that was effective in 2017. The Tax Cuts and Job Act was effective January 1,  
8 2018, and decreased the corporate federal income tax rate to 21 percent, after the  
9 2018 TAM finalized. The change in tax law decreased the value of the income tax  
10 credit received from the PTC and thus the PTC benefit in the 2018 TAM was  
11 overstated. An adjustment to capture the decrease in PTC benefit was included in  
12 PacifiCorp's tax deferral filing, UM 1985. As to not double count the change in PTC  
13 value due to the change in the federal income tax rate, the calendar year 2018 actual  
14 PTC value is calculated using the same combined federal and state income tax rate  
15 that was used for the 2018 TAM. Therefore the change in PTC value due to the  
16 change in tax law is captured in UM 1985 and the change in PTC value due to  
17 variance of wind generation is captured in the PCAM. On a total-company basis,  
18 actual PTCs were \$1.4 million higher than PTCs in the 2018 TAM due to generation  
19 variances.

20 **Q. Please describe the true-up of certain Oregon situs resources included in the**  
21 **PCAM.**

22 A. The PCAM includes a true-up of the value of energy from solar facilities procured to  
23 satisfy the solar capacity standard in Oregon Revised Statute 757.370. Consistent

1 with the Commission-approved 2017 Inter-Jurisdictional Allocation Protocol, these  
2 resources are situs-assigned to Oregon. Base NPC established in the TAM includes a  
3 situs credit for the market value of the solar energy. In the PCAM, the actual market  
4 value of the solar energy is compared to the prior forecast, and the difference is  
5 included in the balancing account. This treatment is necessary to ensure 100 percent  
6 of the energy benefits are assigned to Oregon customers, rather than allocated system-  
7 wide.

8 The PCAM also includes a true-up for the amortization of a prepaid wheeling  
9 expense. The amortization of Mead-Phoenix wheeling expense was updated to reflect  
10 the Cholla Unit 4 Oregon depreciation schedule. Therefore, the incremental increase  
11 in amortization expense associated with Mead-Phoenix is situs-assigned to Oregon.

12 **Q. Is PacifiCorp requesting a rate change with this filing?**

13 A. As described earlier, on an Oregon-allocated basis, actual PCAM costs were  
14 \$19.1 million higher than base PCAM costs. This amount does not exceed the  
15 established deadband. Accordingly, no recovery from or refund to customers is  
16 necessary. Because Schedule 206, the Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism, is  
17 currently set at zero cents per kilowatt hour for all schedules, no tariff change is  
18 required at this time.

19 **V. SUMMARY OF THE NPC DIFFERENCES**

20 **Q. Please describe the Base NPC PacifiCorp used to calculate the NPC component**  
21 **of the PCAM deferral.**

22 A. The Base NPC for the 2018 PCAM was set in Order No. 17-444 in docket UE 323.  
23 Base rates became effective January 1, 2018.

1 **Q. Please describe Table 2 and the line items making up the difference between**  
2 **Actual NPC and Base NPC.**

3 A. Table 2 displays the Base NPC approved by the Commission for the Deferral Period.  
4 The remainder of Table 2 is a breakout of the difference between Actual NPC and  
5 Base NPC, by cost category, on a total-company basis. The differences by category  
6 in Table 2 result from comparing Actual NPC to the Base NPC effective during the  
7 Deferral Period.

**Table 2**  
**Net Power Cost Reconciliation (\$millions)**

<b>Base NPC</b>	\$ 1,483
Increase/(Decrease) to NPC:	
Wholesale Sales Revenue	193
Purchased Power Expense	0
Coal Fuel Expense	(62)
Natural Gas Expense	(11)
Wheeling and Other Expense	(8)
<b>Total Increase/(Decrease)</b>	112
<b>Adjusted Actual NPC</b>	\$ 1,595

8 **Q. Please describe the differences between Actual NPC and Base NPC.**

9 A. Actual NPC were \$112 million higher than Base NPC due to a \$193 million decrease  
10 in wholesale sales revenues. The reduction in wholesale sales revenue was partially  
11 offset by a \$62 million reduction in coal fuel expense, \$11 million reduction in  
12 natural gas expense, and an \$8 million reduction in wheeling and other expenses.

13 **Q. Please explain the changes in wholesale sales revenue.**

14 A. Wholesale sales revenue declined relative to Base NPC due to a reduction in  
15 wholesale sales volume of market transactions (represented in PacifiCorp's

1 production dispatch model (GRID) as short-term firm and system balancing sales).

2 Actual wholesale market volumes were 6,441 GWh, or 46 percent, lower than Base  
3 NPC. The average price of actual market sales transactions was \$0.69/MWh, or two  
4 percent, lower than Base NPC.

5 **Q. Please explain the changes in coal fuel expense.**

6 A. Coal fuel expense decreased because coal generation volume decreased 3,458 GWh,  
7 or nine percent, compared to Base NPC. The average cost of coal generation  
8 increased from \$20.27/MWh in Base NPC to \$20.47/MWh in the Deferral Period,  
9 however the lower generation results in an overall decrease of approximately  
10 \$62 million in coal fuel expense.

11 **Q. Please explain the changes in natural gas fuel expense.**

12 A. The total natural gas fuel expense in Actual NPC decreased by \$11 million compared  
13 to Base NPC mainly due to a lower average cost of natural gas generation from  
14 \$23.64/MWh in Base NPC to \$22.97/MWh, or three percent, in the Deferral Period.  
15 Additionally, there was a slight decrease in natural gas generation volume of  
16 151 GWh, or one percent, lower than Base NPC during the Deferral Period.

17 **Q. Please provide an overview of the Enbridge natural gas pipeline rupture and its  
18 impact on Company operations and costs.**

19 A. On October 9, 2018, the Enbridge natural gas pipeline that transports natural gas  
20 produced in the Western Canadian Sedimentary Basin to consumers in British  
21 Columbia (B.C.) and, through interconnecting pipelines, the Northwestern United  
22 States (U.S.), experienced a massive rupture. The pipeline was brought back into  
23 service in late October 2018; however, at a reduced capacity until testing of the many

1 segments of the pipeline can be completed. Currently the pipeline is operating at  
2 approximately 85 percent of capacity. Original estimates expected the pipeline to be  
3 back in full service sometime late spring 2019; however, revised forecasts are now  
4 calling for full service to be established sometime in September 2019. Spot natural  
5 gas prices at the Sumas B.C.-U.S. border trading point have traded as high as \$159  
6 per million British thermal units (\$/MMBtu) on days of intense demand.

7 The pipeline rupture and reduced operating capacity has impacted electricity  
8 prices primarily at the Mid-Columbia power market hub, but electricity prices are  
9 increasing at other trading points where PacifiCorp transacts. Because of  
10 PacifiCorp's geographical and resource diversity, the impact to the Company was not  
11 as severe as other utilities and power producers that have a high reliance on Sumas  
12 natural gas supplies. PacifiCorp has one natural gas-fired generator—the Chehalis  
13 plant—that is sourced from the Sumas natural gas hub. Due to the pipeline rupture, at  
14 times the availability of natural gas flowing to the Sumas gas hub has been limited  
15 which can cause the price to run the Chehalis plant to be uneconomical or at times  
16 even unable to run. As a result, overall the natural gas constraint at Sumas has  
17 contributed to higher prices at Mid-Columbia, putting upward pressure on net power  
18 costs.

19 **Q. What is the current status of natural gas flow at the Sumas natural gas hub?**

20 A. As of the date of this filing, natural gas flows to the Sumas gas hub continue to be  
21 restricted as pipeline repair and testing continues. Westcoast Pipeline, which operates  
22 the Enbridge pipeline, has indicated that flows to Sumas will be restricted through the

1 summer of 2019. These restrictions will cause increased price volatility and higher  
2 power prices this summer at Mid-Columbia.

3 **VI. IMPACT OF PARTICIPATING IN THE EIM**

4 **Q. Are the actual benefits from participating in the EIM with CAISO included in**  
5 **the PCAM deferral?**

6 A. Yes. Participation in the EIM provides benefits to customers in the form of reduced  
7 Actual NPC. The EIM benefits are embedded in Actual NPC through lower fuel and  
8 purchased power costs. The Company is able to calculate the margin realized on its  
9 EIM imports and exports, the inter-regional benefit. The Company's EIM inter-  
10 regional benefit for the deferral period was approximately \$57 million.

11 **Q. How does the Company calculate its actual EIM benefits?**

12 A. Using actual information from the EIM, including five- and 15-minute pricing, the  
13 Company identifies the incremental resource that could have facilitated the transfer to  
14 an adjacent EIM area or the CAISO in each five-minute interval. The benefit is then  
15 calculated as the difference between the revenue received less the expense of  
16 generation assumed to supply the transfer. In the event of an import, the benefit is  
17 equal to the cost of the import minus the avoided expense of the generation that  
18 would have otherwise been dispatched.

19 **Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?**

20 A. Yes.

Docket No. UE 361  
Exhibit PAC/101  
Witness: Michael G. Wilding

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
OF OREGON**

**PACIFICORP**

---

Exhibit Accompanying Direct Testimony of Michael G. Wilding  
2018 PCAM Calculation

May 2019

Oregon Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism  
January 1, 2018 – December 31, 2018  
Exhibit/PAC 101 - Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism Calculation

Line No.	Reference	Jan-18	Feb-18	Mar-18	Apr-18	May-18	Jun-18	Jul-18	Aug-18	Sep-18	Oct-18	Nov-18	Dec-18	Total
<b>Actual:</b>														
1	Total Company Adjusted Actual NPC	\$ 121,926,880	\$ 116,484,275	\$ 120,443,189	\$ 109,983,944	\$ 107,102,701	\$ 135,171,527	\$ 199,837,850	\$ 188,056,073	\$ 125,381,451	\$ 119,455,927	\$ 122,775,130	\$ 128,315,648	\$ 1,594,973,694
2	Actual Allocated PTC	(8,817,938)	(8,010,096)	(6,806,363)	(6,819,701)	(3,135,677)	(4,059,875)	(2,820,558)	(3,308,793)	(3,704,143)	(4,864,130)	(6,987,800)	(7,654,905)	(67,886,269)
3	Actual EIM Costs	270,701	270,701	270,701	270,701	270,701	270,701	270,701	270,701	270,701	270,701	270,701	270,701	3,248,416
4	Actual Other Revenues	(1,087,623)	(1,226,634)	(1,033,533)	(1,016,877)	(666,342)	(617,638)	(756,278)	(673,782)	(774,223)	(721,161)	(649,472)	(684,974)	(11,191,240)
5	Total PCAM Adjusted Actual Costs	\$ 113,122,629	\$ 107,516,247	\$ 112,873,994	\$ 102,419,937	\$ 103,371,363	\$ 130,864,517	\$ 186,531,716	\$ 184,186,189	\$ 121,712,786	\$ 114,140,738	\$ 115,108,868	\$ 119,366,471	\$ 1,519,044,596
6	Actual System Retail Load	4,679,607	4,180,523	4,325,158	4,038,879	4,282,507	4,127,882	5,560,597	5,121,109	4,401,376	4,275,097	4,440,891	4,988,110	50,041,477
7	Actual PCAM Costs \$/MWh	\$ 23.79	\$ 25.72	\$ 26.10	\$ 25.08	\$ 24.14	\$ 27.54	\$ 35.41	\$ 35.97	\$ 27.53	\$ 26.70	\$ 25.89	\$ 24.19	\$ 27.80
<b>Base:</b>														
8	Total Company Base NPC	\$ 128,200,848	\$ 117,316,146	\$ 120,728,957	\$ 112,051,688	\$ 118,238,124	\$ 128,703,642	\$ 145,100,787	\$ 136,906,580	\$ 119,165,738	\$ 115,812,750	\$ 115,537,372	\$ 125,454,893	\$ 1,483,317,604
9	Adjustment for Direct Access	(972,797)	(865,519)	(757,291)	(490,249)	(548,357)	(781,580)	(1,338,808)	(1,084,639)	(922,420)	(889,145)	(721,540)	(790,713)	(9,785,065)
10	Base Allocated PTC	(5,552,855)	(5,552,855)	(5,552,855)	(5,552,855)	(5,552,855)	(5,552,855)	(5,552,855)	(5,552,855)	(5,552,855)	(5,552,855)	(5,552,855)	(5,552,855)	(66,634,263)
11	Base EIM Costs	373,967	373,967	373,967	373,967	373,967	373,967	373,967	373,967	373,967	373,967	373,967	373,967	4,487,599
12	Total Other Revenues	(890,583)	(890,583)	(890,583)	(890,583)	(890,583)	(890,583)	(890,583)	(890,583)	(890,583)	(890,583)	(890,583)	(890,583)	(11,766,752)
13	Total PCAM Base Costs	\$ 121,068,699	\$ 110,471,178	\$ 113,812,214	\$ 105,401,987	\$ 111,729,316	\$ 121,782,656	\$ 137,801,528	\$ 129,662,469	\$ 112,083,867	\$ 108,864,154	\$ 109,656,380	\$ 119,504,729	\$ 1,399,618,124
14	Base System Retail Load	4,821,206	4,287,440	4,383,025	4,098,706	4,282,717	4,484,513	5,123,039	4,917,807	4,330,167	4,233,900	4,308,867	4,786,649	54,036,127
15	Base PCAM Costs \$/MWh	\$ 25.11	\$ 25.77	\$ 26.09	\$ 25.72	\$ 26.09	\$ 27.15	\$ 26.88	\$ 26.37	\$ 26.88	\$ 25.71	\$ 25.22	\$ 24.76	\$ 26.90
16	System PCAM Unit Cost Differential \$/MWh	\$ (1.32)	\$ (0.05)	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.64)	\$ (1.95)	\$ 0.39	\$ 8.55	\$ 9.60	\$ 1.65	\$ 0.99	\$ 0.67	\$ (0.57)	\$ 1.70
17	Oregon Retail Load	1,154,791	1,112,096	1,088,764	993,821	953,744	1,012,409	1,170,588	1,127,070	843,769	977,627	1,082,144	1,250,410	12,867,233
<b>Deferral:</b>														
18	Monthly PCAM Differential - Above or (Below) Base	\$ (1,528,827)	\$ (53,225)	\$ 12,474	\$ (633,111)	\$ (1,860,148)	\$ 390,733	\$ 10,006,437	\$ 10,819,835	\$ 1,553,878	\$ 984,409	\$ 728,782	\$ (706,847)	\$ 19,894,280
19	Oregon Sltus Resource True-Up	(5,566)	(8,415)	(16,474)	(31,548)	(7,804)	(36,855)	(186,288)	(150,959)	(35,847)	(55,039)	(51,629)	(33,863)	(821,364)
20	Total Monthly PCAM Differential - Above or (Below) Base	(1,534,394)	(62,640)	(4,000)	(664,659)	(1,868,082)	353,928	9,820,150	10,668,879	1,518,030	909,370	677,153	(740,810)	19,072,926
21	Cumulative PCAM Differential - Above or (Below) Base	(1,534,394)	(1,597,033)	(1,601,034)	(2,265,692)	(4,133,774)	(3,779,946)	6,040,303	16,708,182	18,227,213	19,136,583	19,813,736	19,072,926	30,000,000
22	Positive Deadband - ABOVE Base	30,000,000	30,000,000	30,000,000	30,000,000	30,000,000	30,000,000	30,000,000	30,000,000	30,000,000	30,000,000	30,000,000	30,000,000	30,000,000
23	Negative Deadband - BELOW Base	(15,000,000)	(15,000,000)	(15,000,000)	(15,000,000)	(15,000,000)	(15,000,000)	(15,000,000)	(15,000,000)	(15,000,000)	(15,000,000)	(15,000,000)	(15,000,000)	(15,000,000)
24	Amount Deferrable - ABOVE Deadband	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Amount Deferrable - BELOW Deadband	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Total Incremental Deferrable	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Total Incremental Deferral After 90%/10% Sharing Band	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Energy Balancing Account:</b>														
28	Monthly Interest Rate	0.64%	0.64%	0.64%	0.64%	0.64%	0.64%	0.64%	0.64%	0.64%	0.64%	0.64%	0.64%	0.64%
29	Beginning Balance	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Incremental Deferral	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
31	Interest	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Ending Balance	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Earnings Test:</b>														
33	Earned Return on Equity	(9.1)												8.67%
34	Allowed Return on Equity													8.80%
35	100bp ROE Revenue Requirement													23,546,943
36	Allowed Deferral After Earning Test													3,172,191
37	Total Deferred													\$

Note 1: 7.621% annual interest rate based on Oregon approved rate of return

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I served a true and correct copy of PacifiCorp's **2018 Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism** on the parties listed below via electronic mail in compliance with OAR 860-001-0180.

### Service List UE 263

<p>OREGON CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD 610 SW BROADWAY, STE 400 PORTLAND, OR 97205 <a href="mailto:dockets@oregoncub.org">dockets@oregoncub.org</a></p>	<p>GREGORY M. ADAMS RICHARDSON ADAMS, PLLC PO BOX 7218 BOISE, ID 83702 <a href="mailto:greg@richardsonadams.com">greg@richardsonadams.com</a></p>
<p>GREG BASS NOBLE AMERICAS ENERGY SOLUTIONS, LLC 401 WEST A ST., STE. 500 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 <a href="mailto:gbass@noblesolutions.com">gbass@noblesolutions.com</a></p>	<p>KURT J BOEHM BOEHM KURTZ &amp; LOWRY 36 E SEVENTH ST - STE 1510 CINCINNATI, OH 45202 <a href="mailto:kboehm@bkllawfirm.com">kboehm@bkllawfirm.com</a></p>
<p>STEVE W CHRISS (C) WAL-MART STORES, INC. 2001 SE 10TH ST BENTONVILLE, AR 72716-0550 <a href="mailto:stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com">stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com</a></p>	<p>MARIANNE GARDNER (C) PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON PO BOX 1088 SALEM, OR 97308-1088 <a href="mailto:marianne.gardner@state.or.us">marianne.gardner@state.or.us</a></p>
<p>KEVIN HIGGINS ENERGY STRATEGIES LLC 215 STATE ST - STE 200 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111-2322 <a href="mailto:khiggins@energystrat.com">khiggins@energystrat.com</a></p>	<p>ROBERT JENKS (C) OREGON CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD 610 SW BROADWAY, STE 400 PORTLAND, OR 97205 <a href="mailto:bob@oregoncub.org">bob@oregoncub.org</a></p>
<p>SARAH E LINK (C) PACIFIC POWER 825 NE MULTNOMAH ST STE 1800 PORTLAND, OR 97232 <a href="mailto:sarah.link@pacificorp.com">sarah.link@pacificorp.com</a></p>	<p>JODY KYLER COHN BOEHM, KURTZ &amp; LOWRY 36 E SEVENTH ST STE 1510 CINCINNATI, OH 45202 <a href="mailto:jkylar@bkllawfirm.com">jkylar@bkllawfirm.com</a></p>
<p>KATHERINE A MCDOWELL (C) MCDOWELL RACKNER &amp; GIBSON PC 419 SW 11TH AVE., SUITE 400 PORTLAND, OR 97205 <a href="mailto:katherine@mcd-law.com">katherine@mcd-law.com</a></p>	<p>SAMUEL L ROBERTS (C) HUTCHINSON COX COONS ORR &amp; SHERLOCK 777 HIGH ST STE 200 PO BOX 10886 EUGENE, OR 97440 <a href="mailto:sroberts@eugenelaw.com">sroberts@eugenelaw.com</a></p>

<p>TRACY RUTTEN  LEAGUE OF OREGON CITIES  1201 COURT STREET NE  SUITE 200  SALEM, OR 97301  <a href="mailto:trutten@orcities.org">trutten@orcities.org</a></p>	<p>IRION A SANGER (C)  SANGER LAW PC  1117 SE 53RD AVE  PORTLAND, OR 97215  <a href="mailto:irion@sanger-law.com">irion@sanger-law.com</a></p>
<p>DONALD W SCHOENBECK (C)  REGULATORY &amp; COGENERATION  SERVICES INC  900 WASHINGTON ST STE 780  VANCOUVER, WA 98660-3455  <a href="mailto:dws@r-c-s-inc.com">dws@r-c-s-inc.com</a></p>	<p>NONA SOLTERO  FRED MEYER STORES/KROGER  3800 SE 22ND AVE  PORTLAND, OR 97202  <a href="mailto:nona.soltero@fredmeyer.com">nona.soltero@fredmeyer.com</a></p>
<p>DOUGLAS C TINGEY  PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC  121 SW SALMON 1WTC1301  PORTLAND, OR 97204  <a href="mailto:doug.tingey@pgn.com">doug.tingey@pgn.com</a></p>	<p>JAY TINKER  PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC  121 SW SALMON ST 1WTC-0306  PORTLAND, OR 97204  <a href="mailto:pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com">pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com</a></p>
<p>MICHAEL T WEIRICH (C)  PUC STAFF--DEPARTMENT OF  JUSTICE  BUSINESS ACTIVITIES SECTION  1162 COURT ST NE  SALEM OR 97301-4096  <a href="mailto:michael.weirich@state.or.us">michael.weirich@state.or.us</a></p>	<p>PACIFICORP, DBA PACIFIC POWER  825 NE MULTNOMAH ST, STE 2000  PORTLAND OR 97232  <a href="mailto:oregondockets@pacificorp.com">oregondockets@pacificorp.com</a></p>

Dated May 15, 2019.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kaley McNay  
Coordinator, Regulatory Operations

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that I served a true and correct copy of PacifiCorp’s **2018 Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism** on the parties listed below via electronic mail in compliance with OAR 860-001-0180.

**Service List  
UE 344**

<b>OREGON CITIZENS UTILITY BOARD</b>	
OREGON CITIZENS’ UTILITY BOARD 610 SW BROADWAY, STE 400 PORTLAND, OR 97205 <a href="mailto:dockets@oregoncub.org">dockets@oregoncub.org</a>	MICHAEL GOETZ (C) OREGON CITIZENS’ UTILITY BOARD 610 SW BROADWAY STE 400 PORTLAND, OR 97205 <a href="mailto:mike@oregoncub.org">mike@oregoncub.org</a>
ROBERT JENKS (C) OREGON CITIZENS’ UTILITY BOARD 610 SW BROADWAY, STE 400 PORTLAND, OR 97205 <a href="mailto:bob@oregoncub.org">bob@oregoncub.org</a>	
<b>PACIFICORP</b>	
PACIFICORP, DBA PACIFIC POWER 825 NE MULTNOMAH ST, STE 2000 PORTLAND, OR 97232 <a href="mailto:oregondockets@pacificorp.com">oregondockets@pacificorp.com</a>	MATTHEW MCVEE (C) PACIFICORP 825 NE MULTNOMAH PORTLAND, OR 97232 <a href="mailto:matthew.mcvee@pacificorp.com">matthew.mcvee@pacificorp.com</a>
<b>STAFF</b>	
SOMMER MOSER (C) PUC STAFF - DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 1162 COURT ST NE SALEM, OR 97301 <a href="mailto:sommer.moser@doj.state.or.us">sommer.moser@doj.state.or.us</a>	SCOTT GIBBENS (C) PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION 201 HIGH ST SE SALEM, OR 97301 <a href="mailto:scott.gibbens@state.or.us">scott.gibbens@state.or.us</a>

Dated May 15, 2019.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kaley McNay  
Coordinator, Regulatory Operations