

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:21:31 AM

First of 57 comments in UM 2165 received Thursday and Friday. All the same.

-----Original Message-----

From: wobobr123@everyactioncustom.com <wobobr123@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:06 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
William O'Brien
12520 SW Gem Ln Apt 202 Beaverton, OR 97005-1360 wobobr123@yahoo.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:22:07 AM

#2

-----Original Message-----

From: joosgalefamily@everyactioncustom.com <joosgalefamily@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:06 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sandra Joos
4259 SW Patrick Pl Portland, OR 97239-7202 joosgalefamily@comcast.net

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:22:37 AM

#3

-----Original Message-----

From: wobobr123@everyactioncustom.com <wobobr123@everyactioncustom.com>

Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:07 AM

To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>

Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Bill O'Brien
12520 SW Gem Ln Apt 202 Beaverton, OR 97005-1360
wobobr123@yahoo.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:24:33 AM

#4

-----Original Message-----

From: BOYLE Phil * PUC
Sent: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:23 AM
To: SHIERMAN Eric * PUC <Eric.SHIERMAN@puc.oregon.gov>
Cc: TOEWS Kimberly * PUC <Kimberly.TOEWS@puc.oregon.gov>; MENZA Candice * PUC <Candice.MENZA@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165

-----Original Message-----

From: carlvz01@everyactioncustom.com <carlvz01@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:13 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need an approach to providing charging services for electric vehicles that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

Pilot programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. As a landlord, I can clearly state that renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well. The cost to add electric vehicle charging at my properties can be extremely high, especially when the utility requires that I replace the underground cabling from the street to the meters, as part of adding vehicle charging.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current approach by Pacific Power is creating huge barriers to the shift to electric vehicles. It should be change.

In addition, if Oregon is to meet its climate change goals, electric customers need convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Carl Vanderzanden
3026 SE Salmon St Portland, OR 97214-4140 carlvz01@gmail.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:25:21 AM

#5

-----Original Message-----

From: elizabethdarby137@everyactioncustom.com <elizabethdarby137@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:19 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

E. Darby

1020 NW 9th Ave Portland, OR 97209-3473 elizabethdarby137@gmail.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:25:50 AM

#6

-----Original Message-----

From: jowins@everyactioncustom.com <jowins@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:25 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Joyce Winslow
1984 Riverview St Eugene, OR 97403-2116 jowins@comcast.net

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:26:17 AM

#7

-----Original Message-----

From: mediapro1@everyactioncustom.com <mediapro1@everyactioncustom.com>

Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:24 AM

To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>

Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Barbara Bernstein
1214 SE Flavel St Portland, OR 97202-5932 mediapro1@comcast.net

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:26:44 AM

#8

-----Original Message-----

From: gcmings@everyactioncustom.com <gcmings@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:26 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
George Cummings
3816 NE 17th Ave Portland, OR 97212-1335
gcmings@gmail.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:28:06 AM

#9

-----Original Message-----

From: greg.radich@everyactioncustom.com <greg.radich@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:36 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Greg Radich
5240 SE Hawthorne Blvd Portland, OR 97215-3364 greg.radich@wk.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:28:30 AM

#10

-----Original Message-----

From: bsand@everyactioncustom.com <bsand@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:43 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sandra Thompson
1940 NW Monterey Pines Dr Unit 1 Bend, OR 97703-5288 bsand@bendbroadband.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:28:55 AM

#11

-----Original Message-----

From: alon7715@everyactioncustom.com <alon7715@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 11:10 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Nola Becket
9728 N Syracuse St Portland, OR 97203-1432 alon7715@gmail.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:29:30 AM

#12

-----Original Message-----

From: gglenc@everyactioncustom.com <gglenc@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 11:23 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Glen Comuntzis
12220 SW Quail Creek Ln Tigard, OR 97223-2876 gglenc@aol.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:30:49 AM

#13

-----Original Message-----

From: barbtroxel@everyactioncustom.com <barbtroxel@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 11:44 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Barbara Troxel
1285 NW Riverview Ave Gresham, OR 97030-4956 barbtroxel@comcast.net

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:31:10 AM

#14

-----Original Message-----

From: hap@everyactioncustom.com <hap@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 11:47 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Harry Freiberg
610 Mardon Ct Brookings, OR 97415-9686
hap@alumni.stanford.edu

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:31:35 AM

#15

-----Original Message-----

From: momoneal77@everyactioncustom.com <momoneal77@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 11:48 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Maureen O'Neal
9100 SW 80th Ave Tigard, OR 97223-8981
momoneal77@gmail.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:31:59 AM

#16

-----Original Message-----

From: flmalery@everyactioncustom.com <flmalery@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 11:50 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Fred Mallery
1930 Graham Dr Eugene, OR 97405-1714
flmalery@efn.org

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:32:40 AM

#17

-----Original Message-----

From: barbarabackstrand@everyactioncustom.com <barbarabackstrand@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 11:56 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Barbara Backstrand
1420 NW Lovejoy St Apt 205 Portland, OR 97209-2735 barbarabackstrand@icloud.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:33:03 AM

#18

-----Original Message-----

From: ran6711@everyactioncustom.com <ran6711@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 12:06 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Randy Harrison
4051 Wagner St Eugene, OR 97402-8725
ran6711@comcast.net

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:33:31 AM

#19

-----Original Message-----

From: bill.fischer.77@everyactioncustom.com <bill.fischer.77@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 12:07 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

Pollution from transportation has contributed in large part to the many environmental and climate problems we are all dealing with. Electrification of transportation and alternative energy sources for power are a key part of the solution. A big part of this solution is convincing the majority of the population to convert from fossil fuel technology.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Bill Fischer
1408 Crown Ave Medford, OR 97504-7002
bill.fischer.77@gmail.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:34:05 AM

#20

-----Original Message-----

From: tlew4002@everyactioncustom.com <tlew4002@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 12:10 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Eckel
18542 NE Wasco St Portland, OR 97230-7152
tlew4002@earthlink.net

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:35:21 AM

#21

-----Original Message-----

From: bcshelby@everyactioncustom.com <bcshelby@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 12:13 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Public and school transportation should not be overlooked in this effort either. More emphasis needs to be placed on other forms of transportation besides the private automobile. One "environmental" issue electric cars won't eliminate is traffic which impacts the city centre and surrounding inner neighbourhoods. Parking is another matter as well particularly with the projected growth in the Portland metro area. Most local streets and even some major thoroughfares will not to support a large increase in single occupant vehicle traffic. resulting longer commutes due to traffic delays, and even gridlock, which also impacts transit, emergency, and commercial vehicles.

TriMet has been evaluating a number of all electric buses and plans to eventually replace it's diesel fleet with them but it will require recharging infrastructure at layover points throughout he service area. There is also a national effort to replace petrol and diesel school buses with electric ones as well which should be part of the plan.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
BC Shelby
1040 NW 10th Ave Apt 525 Portland, OR 97209-3464 bcselby@gmail.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:35:44 AM

#22

-----Original Message-----

From: davemult@everyactioncustom.com <davemult@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 12:29 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
David Nichols
5107 NE Couch St Portland, OR 97213-3021
davemult@aol.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:36:07 AM

#23

-----Original Message-----

From: rolsen@everyactioncustom.com <rolsen@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 12:53 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs. We need to ensure that disadvantaged communities and low-income households will have access to electric transportation.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Randall Olsen
13509 SW King Lear Way King City, OR 97224-2231
rolsen@caowash.org

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:36:28 AM

#24

-----Original Message-----

From: wakefieldm_2000@everyactioncustom.com <wakefieldm_2000@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 1:00 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Marie Wakefield
3054 Highway 20 Newport, OR 97365-9519
wakefieldm_2000@yahoo.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: RE: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 9:46:26 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: BOYLE Phil * PUC On Behalf Of PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC
Sent: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:37 AM
To: SHIERMAN Eric * PUC <Eric.SHIERMAN@puc.oregon.gov>
Cc: TOEWS Kimberly * PUC <Kimberly.TOEWS@puc.oregon.gov>; MENZA Candice * PUC <Candice.MENZA@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165

#25

-----Original Message-----

From: jpn5710@everyactioncustom.com <jpn5710@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 1:16 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

John Nettleton
4311 SE 37th Ave Apt 21 Portland, OR 97202-3265 jpn5710@yahoo.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:37:28 AM

#26

-----Original Message-----

From: serfurth@everyactioncustom.com <serfurth@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 1:23 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Furth
1890 Tigertail Rd Eugene, OR 97405-1726 serfurth@comcast.net

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:37:54 AM

#27

-----Original Message-----

From: wendycogan@everyactioncustom.com <wendycogan@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 1:29 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Wendy Cogan
7219 SE 28th Ave Portland, OR 97202-8712
wendycogan@comcast.net

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:38:23 AM

#28

-----Original Message-----

From: mkneuendorf@everyactioncustom.com <mkneuendorf@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 1:37 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mary Neuendorf
1933 Rockland Dr NW Salem, OR 97304-4349
mkneuendorf@comcast.net

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:38:54 AM

#29

-----Original Message-----

From: ALAN@everyactioncustom.com <ALAN@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 1:41 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. We also need an approach that will lead to broad adoption of electric vehicles as cost-effective, convenient replacements for current petroleum-powered vehicles. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Alan Shusterman
2905 NE 15th Ave Portland, OR 97212-3342 ALAN@REED.EDU

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:39:20 AM

#30

-----Original Message-----

From: lcardiff@everyactioncustom.com <lcardiff@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 1:42 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lynn Cardiff
No No Salem, OR 97301
lcardiff@comcast.net

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:39:48 AM

#31

-----Original Message-----

From: baack@everyactioncustom.com <baack@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 1:56 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

I also urge you to supervise an effort to make it super easy to locate charging stations throughout the state, including the cost of same.

As an electric car owner I have not found it easy to locate charging stations, nor find what each charges.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Don Baack
6495 SW Burlingame Pl Portland, OR 97239-7001
baack@q.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:40:11 AM

#32

-----Original Message-----

From: springkt4@everyactioncustom.com <springkt4@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 1:59 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Karen Springer
3165 SW 70th Ave Portland, OR 97225-3124
springkt4@yahoo.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:40:43 AM

#33

-----Original Message-----

From: montag@everyactioncustom.com <montag@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 2:01 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ted Saufley
3019 N Center St Newberg, OR 97132-6023 montag@frontier.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:41:19 AM

#34

-----Original Message-----

From: bibleogirl@everyactioncustom.com <bibleogirl@everyactioncustom.com>

Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 2:10 PM

To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>

Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Debra Rehn
5130 SE 30th Ave Apt 9 Portland, OR 97202-4557
bibleogirl@aol.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:41:54 AM

#35

-----Original Message-----

From: jpn5710@everyactioncustom.com <jpn5710@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 2:15 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
John Nettleton
4311 SE 37th Ave Portland, OR 97202-3276
jpn5710@yahoo.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:42:16 AM

#36

-----Original Message-----

From: amandakateyampolsky@everyactioncustom.com <amandakateyampolsky@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 2:17 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Amanda Yampolsky
3418 SE Johnson Creek Blvd Portland, OR 97222-9211
amandakateyampolsky@me.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:42:43 AM

#37

-----Original Message-----

From: lizschilling46@everyactioncustom.com <lizschilling46@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 2:18 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Schilling
2743 NW Savier St Portland, OR 97210-2415 lizschilling46@gmail.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:43:02 AM

#38

-----Original Message-----

From: thomasholley@everyactioncustom.com <thomasholley@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 3:03 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Thomas Holley
1711 NE 125th Ave Portland, OR 97230-1802
thomasholley@icloud.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:43:28 AM

#39

-----Original Message-----

From: cnclm1@everyactioncustom.com <cnclm1@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 3:14 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Craig Marburger
5606 S Riverside Ln Apt 5 Portland, OR 97239-5959
cnclm1@gmail.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:43:48 AM

#40

-----Original Message-----

From: bmanildi@everyactioncustom.com <bmanildi@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 3:35 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Barbara Manildi
3525 Red Cedar Way Lake Oswego, OR 97035-3523
bmanildi@earthlink.net

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:44:19 AM

#41

-----Original Message-----

From: nelo@everyactioncustom.com <nelo@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 4:10 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Richard Payne
18925 SW Cascadia St Beaverton, OR 97078-1418 nelo@frontier.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:44:38 AM

#42

-----Original Message-----

From: yowsa_99@everyactioncustom.com <yowsa_99@everyactioncustom.com>

Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 4:14 PM

To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>

Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jeanine Yows
1265 Albert Dr SE Salem, OR 97302-1809
yowsa_99@hotmail.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:45:00 AM

#43

-----Original Message-----

From: bird041167@everyactioncustom.com <bird041167@everyactioncustom.com>

Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 6:10 PM

To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>

Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Stacy Drake
2777 SW Wake Robin Pl Corvallis, OR 97333-1606
bird041167@yahoo.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:45:23 AM

#44

-----Original Message-----

From: dhermann@everyactioncustom.com <dhermann@everyactioncustom.com>

Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 6:36 PM

To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>

Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

David Hermanns

9442 N Tioga Ave Portland, OR 97203-2457

dhermann@earthlink.net

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:45:52 AM

#45

-----Original Message-----

From: irdlts@everyactioncustom.com <irdlts@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 6:36 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Susan Ward-McCurdy
3005 NE Tillamook St Portland, OR 97212-5137 irdlts@yahoo.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:46:15 AM

#46

-----Original Message-----

From: helgesusan@everyactioncustom.com <helgesusan@everyactioncustom.com>

Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 7:00 PM

To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>

Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Helge Berg
29601 NE David Ln Newberg, OR 97132-6457
helgesusan@comcast.net

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:46:39 AM

#47

-----Original Message-----

From: marymcgaughey@everyactioncustom.com <marymcgaughey@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 7:34 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mary McGaughey
381 NE Village Squire Ave Unit 2 Gresham, OR 97030-1142
marymcgaughey@yahoo.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:47:02 AM

#48

-----Original Message-----

From: infomavn@everyactioncustom.com <infomavn@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 9:55 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Melissa Hathaway
601 NE 162nd Ave Apt 74 Portland, OR 97230-5778
infomavn@teleport.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:47:51 AM

#49

-----Original Message-----

From: WYDERSHINS@everyactioncustom.com <WYDERSHINS@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, September 10, 2021 6:24 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Roger Widenoja

85955 Raven Ridge Ln Silver Lake, OR 97638-9627 WYDERSHINS@CHRISTMASVALLEY.ORG

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:48:10 AM

#50

-----Original Message-----

From: terryr@everyactioncustom.com <terryr@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, September 10, 2021 8:59 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rod Terry
1010 NW 32nd St Corvallis, OR 97330-4412
terryr@peak.org

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:48:36 AM

#51

-----Original Message-----

From: 7c15odt6f@everyactioncustom.com <7c15odt6f@everyactioncustom.com>

Sent: Friday, September 10, 2021 9:14 AM

To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>

Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kevin Brown
916 Sun Valley Ave Silverton, OR 97381-8750
7c15odt6f@relay.firefox.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:49:07 AM

#52

-----Original Message-----

From: r_jinx@everyactioncustom.com <r_jinx@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, September 10, 2021 9:47 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Phil Miotto
4015 SW Pasadena St Portland, OR 97219-9524
r_jinx@hotmail.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:49:47 AM

#53

-----Original Message-----

From: swfcook@everyactioncustom.com <swfcook@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, September 10, 2021 9:56 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
S Cook
17875 SE Division St Portland, OR 97236-1085 swfcook@aol.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:50:06 AM

#54

-----Original Message-----

From: kfrance@everyactioncustom.com <kfrance@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, September 10, 2021 10:06 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kurt France
5335 SE Washington St Portland, OR 97215-1870
kfrance@brenntag.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:50:26 AM

#55

-----Original Message-----

From: heydonm84@everyactioncustom.com <heydonm84@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, September 10, 2021 10:42 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Margaret Heydon
2352 NE 150th Ave Portland, OR 97230-4552
heydonm84@gmail.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:50:50 AM

#56 - Last one

-----Original Message-----

From: danieluck@everyactioncustom.com <danieluck@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, September 10, 2021 8:18 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Diane Luck
3204 NE 27th Ave Portland, OR 97212-2524
danieluck@mac.com