

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:21:31 AM

---

First of 57 comments in UM 2165 received Thursday and Friday. All the same.

-----Original Message-----

From: wobobr123@everyactioncustom.com <wobobr123@everyactioncustom.com>

Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:06 AM

To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>

Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

William O'Brien

12520 SW Gem Ln Apt 202 Beaverton, OR 97005-1360 wobobr123@yahoo.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:22:07 AM

---

#2

-----Original Message-----

From: joosgalefamily@everyactioncustom.com <joosgalefamily@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:06 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Sandra Joos  
4259 SW Patrick Pl Portland, OR 97239-7202 joosgalefamily@comcast.net

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:22:37 AM

---

#3

-----Original Message-----

From: wobobr123@everyactioncustom.com <wobobr123@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:07 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Bill O'Brien  
12520 SW Gem Ln Apt 202 Beaverton, OR 97005-1360  
wobobr123@yahoo.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:24:33 AM

---

#4

-----Original Message-----

From: BOYLE Phil \* PUC  
Sent: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:23 AM  
To: SHIERMAN Eric \* PUC <Eric.SHIERMAN@puc.oregon.gov>  
Cc: TOEWS Kimberly \* PUC <Kimberly.TOEWS@puc.oregon.gov>; MENZA Candice \* PUC <Candice.MENZA@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165

-----Original Message-----

From: carlvz01@everyactioncustom.com <carlvz01@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:13 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need an approach to providing charging services for electric vehicles that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

Pilot programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. As a landlord, I can clearly state that renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well. The cost to add electric vehicle charging at my properties can be extremely high, especially when the utility requires that I replace the underground cabling from the street to the meters, as part of adding vehicle charging.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current approach by Pacific Power is creating huge barriers to the shift to electric vehicles. It should be change.

In addition, if Oregon is to meet its climate change goals, electric customers need convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Carl Vanderzanden  
3026 SE Salmon St Portland, OR 97214-4140 carlvz01@gmail.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:25:21 AM

---

#5

-----Original Message-----

From: elizabethdarby137@everyactioncustom.com <elizabethdarby137@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:19 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

E. Darby

1020 NW 9th Ave Portland, OR 97209-3473 elizabethdarby137@gmail.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:25:50 AM

---

#6

-----Original Message-----

From: jowins@everyactioncustom.com <jowins@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:25 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Joyce Winslow  
1984 Riverview St Eugene, OR 97403-2116 jowins@comcast.net

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:26:17 AM

---

#7

-----Original Message-----

From: mediapro1@everyactioncustom.com <mediapro1@everyactioncustom.com>

Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:24 AM

To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>

Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Bernstein  
1214 SE Flavel St Portland, OR 97202-5932 mediapro1@comcast.net

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:26:44 AM

---

#8

-----Original Message-----

From: gcmings@everyactioncustom.com <gcmings@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:26 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
George Cummings  
3816 NE 17th Ave Portland, OR 97212-1335  
gcmings@gmail.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:28:06 AM

---

#9

-----Original Message-----

From: greg.radich@everyactioncustom.com <greg.radich@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:36 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Greg Radich  
5240 SE Hawthorne Blvd Portland, OR 97215-3364 greg.radich@wk.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:28:30 AM

---

#10

-----Original Message-----

From: bsand@everyactioncustom.com <bsand@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:43 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Sandra Thompson  
1940 NW Monterey Pines Dr Unit 1 Bend, OR 97703-5288 bsand@bendbroadband.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:28:55 AM

---

#11

-----Original Message-----

From: alon7715@everyactioncustom.com <alon7715@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 11:10 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Nola Becket  
9728 N Syracuse St Portland, OR 97203-1432 alon7715@gmail.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:29:30 AM

---

#12

-----Original Message-----

From: gglenc@everyactioncustom.com <gglenc@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 11:23 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Glen Comuntzis  
12220 SW Quail Creek Ln Tigard, OR 97223-2876 gglenc@aol.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:30:49 AM

---

#13

-----Original Message-----

From: barbtroxel@everyactioncustom.com <barbtroxel@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 11:44 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Troxel  
1285 NW Riverview Ave Gresham, OR 97030-4956 barbtroxel@comcast.net

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:31:10 AM

---

#14

-----Original Message-----

From: hap@everyactioncustom.com <hap@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 11:47 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Harry Freiberg  
610 Mardon Ct Brookings, OR 97415-9686  
hap@alumni.stanford.edu

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:31:35 AM

---

#15

-----Original Message-----

From: momoneal77@everyactioncustom.com <momoneal77@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 11:48 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Maureen O'Neal  
9100 SW 80th Ave Tigard, OR 97223-8981  
momoneal77@gmail.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:31:59 AM

---

#16

-----Original Message-----

From: flmalery@everyactioncustom.com <flmalery@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 11:50 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Fred Mallery  
1930 Graham Dr Eugene, OR 97405-1714  
flmalery@efn.org

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:32:40 AM

---

#17

-----Original Message-----

From: barbarabackstrand@everyactioncustom.com <barbarabackstrand@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 11:56 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Backstrand  
1420 NW Lovejoy St Apt 205 Portland, OR 97209-2735 barbarabackstrand@icloud.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:33:03 AM

---

#18

-----Original Message-----

From: ran6711@everyactioncustom.com <ran6711@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 12:06 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Randy Harrison  
4051 Wagner St Eugene, OR 97402-8725  
ran6711@comcast.net

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:33:31 AM

---

#19

-----Original Message-----

From: bill.fischer.77@everyactioncustom.com <bill.fischer.77@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 12:07 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

Pollution from transportation has contributed in large part to the many environmental and climate problems we are all dealing with. Electrification of transportation and alternative energy sources for power are a key part of the solution. A big part of this solution is convincing the majority of the population to convert from fossil fuel technology.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Bill Fischer  
1408 Crown Ave Medford, OR 97504-7002  
bill.fischer.77@gmail.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:34:05 AM

---

#20

-----Original Message-----

From: tlew4002@everyactioncustom.com <tlew4002@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 12:10 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Carolyn Eckel  
18542 NE Wasco St Portland, OR 97230-7152  
tlew4002@earthlink.net

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:35:21 AM

---

#21

-----Original Message-----

From: bcshelby@everyactioncustom.com <bcshelby@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 12:13 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Public and school transportation should not be overlooked in this effort either. More emphasis needs to be placed on other forms of transportation besides the private automobile. One "environmental" issue electric cars won't eliminate is traffic which impacts the city centre and surrounding inner neighbourhoods. Parking is another matter as well particularly with the projected growth in the Portland metro area. Most local streets and even some major thoroughfares will not to support a large increase in single occupant vehicle traffic. resulting longer commutes due to traffic delays, and even gridlock, which also impacts transit, emergency, and commercial vehicles.

TriMet has been evaluating a number of all electric buses and plans to eventually replace it's diesel fleet with them but it will require recharging infrastructure at layover points throughout he service area. There is also a national effort to replace petrol and diesel school buses with electric ones as well which should be part of the plan.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
BC Shelby  
1040 NW 10th Ave Apt 525 Portland, OR 97209-3464 bcselby@gmail.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:35:44 AM

---

#22

-----Original Message-----

From: davemult@everyactioncustom.com <davemult@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 12:29 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
David Nichols  
5107 NE Couch St Portland, OR 97213-3021  
davemult@aol.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:36:07 AM

---

#23

-----Original Message-----

From: rolsen@everyactioncustom.com <rolsen@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 12:53 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs. We need to ensure that disadvantaged communities and low-income households will have access to electric transportation.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Randall Olsen  
13509 SW King Lear Way King City, OR 97224-2231  
rolsen@caowash.org

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:36:28 AM

---

#24

-----Original Message-----

From: wakefieldm\_2000@everyactioncustom.com <wakefieldm\_2000@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 1:00 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Marie Wakefield  
3054 Highway 20 Newport, OR 97365-9519  
wakefieldm\_2000@yahoo.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 9:46:26 AM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: BOYLE Phil \* PUC On Behalf Of PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC  
Sent: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:37 AM  
To: SHIERMAN Eric \* PUC <Eric.SHIERMAN@puc.oregon.gov>  
Cc: TOEWS Kimberly \* PUC <Kimberly.TOEWS@puc.oregon.gov>; MENZA Candice \* PUC <Candice.MENZA@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165

#25

-----Original Message-----

From: jpn5710@everyactioncustom.com <jpn5710@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 1:16 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

John Nettleton  
4311 SE 37th Ave Apt 21 Portland, OR 97202-3265 jpn5710@yahoo.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:37:28 AM

---

#26

-----Original Message-----

From: serfurth@everyactioncustom.com <serfurth@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 1:23 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Furth  
1890 Tigertail Rd Eugene, OR 97405-1726 serfurth@comcast.net

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:37:54 AM

---

#27

-----Original Message-----

From: wendycogan@everyactioncustom.com <wendycogan@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 1:29 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Wendy Cogan  
7219 SE 28th Ave Portland, OR 97202-8712  
wendycogan@comcast.net

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:38:23 AM

---

#28

-----Original Message-----

From: mkneuendorf@everyactioncustom.com <mkneuendorf@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 1:37 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Mary Neuendorf  
1933 Rockland Dr NW Salem, OR 97304-4349  
mkneuendorf@comcast.net

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:38:54 AM

---

#29

-----Original Message-----

From: ALAN@everyactioncustom.com <ALAN@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 1:41 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. We also need an approach that will lead to broad adoption of electric vehicles as cost-effective, convenient replacements for current petroleum-powered vehicles. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Alan Shusterman  
2905 NE 15th Ave Portland, OR 97212-3342 ALAN@REED.EDU

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:39:20 AM

---

#30

-----Original Message-----

From: lcardiff@everyactioncustom.com <lcardiff@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 1:42 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Lynn Cardiff  
No No Salem, OR 97301  
lcardiff@comcast.net

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:39:48 AM

---

#31

-----Original Message-----

From: baack@everyactioncustom.com <baack@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 1:56 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

I also urge you to supervise an effort to make it super easy to locate charging stations throughout the state, including the cost of same.

As an electric car owner I have not found it easy to locate charging stations, nor find what each charges.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Don Baack  
6495 SW Burlingame Pl Portland, OR 97239-7001  
baack@q.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:40:11 AM

---

#32

-----Original Message-----

From: [springkt4@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:springkt4@everyactioncustom.com) <[springkt4@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:springkt4@everyactioncustom.com)>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 1:59 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <[PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov](mailto:PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov)>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Karen Springer  
3165 SW 70th Ave Portland, OR 97225-3124  
[springkt4@yahoo.com](mailto:springkt4@yahoo.com)

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:40:43 AM

---

#33

-----Original Message-----

From: montag@everyactioncustom.com <montag@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 2:01 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Ted Saufley  
3019 N Center St Newberg, OR 97132-6023 montag@frontier.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:41:19 AM

---

#34

-----Original Message-----

From: bibleogirl@everyactioncustom.com <bibleogirl@everyactioncustom.com>

Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 2:10 PM

To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>

Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Debra Rehn  
5130 SE 30th Ave Apt 9 Portland, OR 97202-4557  
bibleogirl@aol.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:41:54 AM

---

#35

-----Original Message-----

From: jpn5710@everyactioncustom.com <jpn5710@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 2:15 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
John Nettleton  
4311 SE 37th Ave Portland, OR 97202-3276  
jpn5710@yahoo.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:42:16 AM

---

#36

-----Original Message-----

From: [amandakateyampolsky@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:amandakateyampolsky@everyactioncustom.com) <[amandakateyampolsky@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:amandakateyampolsky@everyactioncustom.com)>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 2:17 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <[PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov](mailto:PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov)>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Amanda Yampolsky  
3418 SE Johnson Creek Blvd Portland, OR 97222-9211  
[amandakateyampolsky@me.com](mailto:amandakateyampolsky@me.com)

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:42:43 AM

---

#37

-----Original Message-----

From: lizschilling46@everyactioncustom.com <lizschilling46@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 2:18 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Schilling  
2743 NW Savier St Portland, OR 97210-2415 lizschilling46@gmail.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:43:02 AM

---

#38

-----Original Message-----

From: thomasholley@everyactioncustom.com <thomasholley@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 3:03 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Holley  
1711 NE 125th Ave Portland, OR 97230-1802  
thomasholley@icloud.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:43:28 AM

---

#39

-----Original Message-----

From: cnclm1@everyactioncustom.com <cnclm1@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 3:14 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Craig Marburger  
5606 S Riverside Ln Apt 5 Portland, OR 97239-5959  
cnclm1@gmail.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:43:48 AM

---

#40

-----Original Message-----

From: bmanildi@everyactioncustom.com <bmanildi@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 3:35 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Manildi  
3525 Red Cedar Way Lake Oswego, OR 97035-3523  
bmanildi@earthlink.net

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:44:19 AM

---

#41

-----Original Message-----

From: nelo@everyactioncustom.com <nelo@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 4:10 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Richard Payne  
18925 SW Cascadia St Beaverton, OR 97078-1418 nelo@frontier.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:44:38 AM

---

#42

-----Original Message-----

From: yowsa\_99@everyactioncustom.com <yowsa\_99@everyactioncustom.com>

Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 4:14 PM

To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>

Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Jeanine Yows  
1265 Albert Dr SE Salem, OR 97302-1809  
yowsa\_99@hotmail.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:45:00 AM

---

#43

-----Original Message-----

From: bird041167@everyactioncustom.com <bird041167@everyactioncustom.com>

Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 6:10 PM

To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>

Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Stacy Drake  
2777 SW Wake Robin Pl Corvallis, OR 97333-1606  
bird041167@yahoo.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:45:23 AM

---

#44

-----Original Message-----

From: dhermann@everyactioncustom.com <dhermann@everyactioncustom.com>

Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 6:36 PM

To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>

Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
David Hermanns  
9442 N Tioga Ave Portland, OR 97203-2457  
dhermann@earthlink.net

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:45:52 AM

---

#45

-----Original Message-----

From: irdlts@everyactioncustom.com <irdlts@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 6:36 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Susan Ward-McCurdy  
3005 NE Tillamook St Portland, OR 97212-5137 irdlts@yahoo.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:46:15 AM

---

#46

-----Original Message-----

From: helgesusan@everyactioncustom.com <helgesusan@everyactioncustom.com>

Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 7:00 PM

To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>

Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Helge Berg  
29601 NE David Ln Newberg, OR 97132-6457  
helgesusan@comcast.net

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:46:39 AM

---

#47

-----Original Message-----

From: marymcgaughey@everyactioncustom.com <marymcgaughey@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 7:34 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Mary McGaughey  
381 NE Village Squire Ave Unit 2 Gresham, OR 97030-1142  
marymcgaughey@yahoo.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:47:02 AM

---

#48

-----Original Message-----

From: infomavn@everyactioncustom.com <infomavn@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 9:55 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Melissa Hathaway  
601 NE 162nd Ave Apt 74 Portland, OR 97230-5778  
infomavn@teleport.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:47:51 AM

---

#49

-----Original Message-----

From: WYDERSHINS@everyactioncustom.com <WYDERSHINS@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Friday, September 10, 2021 6:24 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Roger Widenoja

85955 Raven Ridge Ln Silver Lake, OR 97638-9627 WYDERSHINS@CHRISTMASVALLEY.ORG

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:48:10 AM

---

#50

-----Original Message-----

From: terryr@everyactioncustom.com <terryr@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Friday, September 10, 2021 8:59 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Rod Terry  
1010 NW 32nd St Corvallis, OR 97330-4412  
terryr@peak.org

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:48:36 AM

---

#51

-----Original Message-----

From: 7c15odt6f@everyactioncustom.com <7c15odt6f@everyactioncustom.com>

Sent: Friday, September 10, 2021 9:14 AM

To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>

Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Kevin Brown  
916 Sun Valley Ave Silverton, OR 97381-8750  
7c15odt6f@relay.firefox.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:49:07 AM

---

#52

-----Original Message-----

From: r\_jinx@everyactioncustom.com <r\_jinx@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Friday, September 10, 2021 9:47 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Phil Miotto  
4015 SW Pasadena St Portland, OR 97219-9524  
r\_jinx@hotmail.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:49:47 AM

---

#53

-----Original Message-----

From: swfcook@everyactioncustom.com <swfcook@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Friday, September 10, 2021 9:56 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
S Cook  
17875 SE Division St Portland, OR 97236-1085 swfcook@aol.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:50:06 AM

---

#54

-----Original Message-----

From: kfrance@everyactioncustom.com <kfrance@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Friday, September 10, 2021 10:06 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Kurt France  
5335 SE Washington St Portland, OR 97215-1870  
kfrance@brenntag.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:50:26 AM

---

#55

-----Original Message-----

From: heydonm84@everyactioncustom.com <heydonm84@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Friday, September 10, 2021 10:42 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Margaret Heydon  
2352 NE 150th Ave Portland, OR 97230-4552  
heydonm84@gmail.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 13, 2021 7:50:50 AM

---

#56 - Last one

-----Original Message-----

From: dianeluck@everyactioncustom.com <dianeluck@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Friday, September 10, 2021 8:18 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Diane Luck  
3204 NE 27th Ave Portland, OR 97212-2524  
dianeluck@mac.com