

**From:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Friday, September 10, 2021 8:01:36 AM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: phyllis.jaszkwia44@everyactioncustom.com <phyllis.jaszkwia44@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:04 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Phyllis Jaszkwia44  
3012 Furman Dr Lake Oswego, OR 97034  
phyllis.jaszkwia44@gmail.com

**From:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Friday, September 10, 2021 8:05:31 AM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: bklopp@everyactioncustom.com <bklopp@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 11:22 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

As the west coast burns and the east coast floods, it is Code Red action time to stop the effects of climate change. Here's one thing we need to do immediately -

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Basey Klopp  
1808 NW Vicksburg Ave Bend, OR 97703-1440 bklopp@gmail.com

**From:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Friday, September 10, 2021 8:05:15 AM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: darfd@everyactioncustom.com <darfd@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 11:11 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
D. Deloff  
4430 SW 202nd Ave Beaverton, OR 97078-2254 darfd@aol.com

**From:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Friday, September 10, 2021 8:04:58 AM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: bird\_house1965@everyactioncustom.com <bird\_house1965@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 11:08 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Jan Bird  
59929 Lake Shore Rd Joseph, OR 97846-8467 bird\_house1965@yahoo.com

**From:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Friday, September 10, 2021 8:04:45 AM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: a.martino40@everyactioncustom.com <a.martino40@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:57 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Amanda Martino  
1102 Cedar St La Grande, OR 97850-1366  
a.martino40@yahoo.com

**From:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Friday, September 10, 2021 8:04:30 AM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: dregan02@everyactioncustom.com <dregan02@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:54 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
David Regan  
623 SW Park Ave Apt 703 Portland, OR 97205-3129 dregan02@msn.com

**From:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Friday, September 10, 2021 8:04:05 AM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: edgarbrandt@everyactioncustom.com <edgarbrandt@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:47 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Rev Edgar Brandt  
390 N Kings Valley Hwy Dallas, OR 97338-9623 edgarbrandt@q.com

**From:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Friday, September 10, 2021 8:03:48 AM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: heydonm84@everyactioncustom.com <heydonm84@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:46 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Margaret Heydon  
2352 NE 150th Ave Portland, OR 97230-4552 heydonm84@gmail.com

**From:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Friday, September 10, 2021 8:03:30 AM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: powellrichard99@everyactioncustom.com <powellrichard99@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:29 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Richard Powell  
2248 Parkview Ct West Linn, OR 97068-1948 powellrichard99@gmail.com

**From:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Friday, September 10, 2021 8:03:10 AM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: nkanderson5@everyactioncustom.com <nkanderson5@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:19 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
NANCY ANDERSON  
330 SE 75th Ave Portland, OR 97215-1458 nkanderson5@comcast.net

**From:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Friday, September 10, 2021 8:02:54 AM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: mikeyx3@everyactioncustom.com <mikeyx3@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:18 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
MICHAEL MARTIN  
8515 N Oswego Ave Portland, OR 97203-3140 mikeyx3@comcast.net

**From:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Friday, September 10, 2021 8:02:41 AM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: sherry.monie@everyactioncustom.com <sherry.monie@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:12 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Sherry Monie  
23665 SE Borges Rd Damascus, OR 97089-6521 sherry.monie@gmail.com

**From:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Friday, September 10, 2021 8:02:20 AM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: morrisgreen@everyactioncustom.com <morrisgreen@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:09 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

Hey guys:

In order to make charging available to everyone it needs to be available to people where they sleep. This allows slower charging which avoids peaks which helps the system. The best way to pay for this is to allow electric utilities to install charger banks at private apartments/rentals/condos and add the investment to the rate base. In addition, we need to require new apartment/rental/condo construction to have the charger wiring to 100% of their off street parking spaces provided for tenants. That way a tenant who wants to install a charger could do so without incurring huge fees for the wiring. We need to put this in place NOW so we can all enjoy cleaner air to breathe and more healthy living conditions for everyone.

Thanks for listening!

Morris Green

=====

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Morris Green  
15565 SE Fair Oaks Ave Milwaukie, OR 97267-3536 morrisgreen@comcast.net

**From:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Friday, September 10, 2021 8:01:55 AM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: beclippmann@everyactioncustom.com <beclippmann@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 9, 2021 10:04 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Becky Lippmann  
3962 Somerset Dr NE Albany, OR 97322-4519 beclippmann@yahoo.com