

**From:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [LOCKWOOD Charles \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 20, 2021 4:52:30 PM

---

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** BOYLE Phil \* PUC <Phil.BOYLE@puc.oregon.gov>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 20, 2021 8:52:40 AM  
**To:** SHIERMAN Eric \* PUC <Eric.SHIERMAN@puc.oregon.gov>  
**Cc:** TOEWS Kimberly \* PUC <Kimberly.TOEWS@puc.oregon.gov>; MENZA Candice \* PUC <Candice.MENZA@puc.oregon.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165

UM 2165

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Burge.sherry@everyactioncustom.com <Burge.sherry@everyactioncustom.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 16, 2021 10:43 PM  
**To:** PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
**Subject:** Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward

ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sharon Burge

5030 Cumberland Ct SE Salem, OR 97306-2011 [Burge.sherry@yahoo.com](mailto:Burge.sherry@yahoo.com)

**From:** [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [LOCKWOOD Charles \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Monday, September 20, 2021 4:52:19 PM

---

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** BOYLE Phil \* PUC <Phil.BOYLE@puc.oregon.gov>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 20, 2021 8:53:06 AM  
**To:** SHIERMAN Eric \* PUC <Eric.SHIERMAN@puc.oregon.gov>  
**Cc:** TOEWS Kimberly \* PUC <Kimberly.TOEWS@puc.oregon.gov>; MENZA Candice \* PUC <Candice.MENZA@puc.oregon.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165

UM 2165

-----Original Message-----

**From:** rpneff@everyactioncustom.com <rpneff@everyactioncustom.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 19, 2021 8:50 AM  
**To:** PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
**Subject:** Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward

ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Ray Neff  
524 E 32nd Ave Eugene, OR 97405-3761  
rpneff@efn.org