

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 10:04:03 AM

---

Comment in UM 2165.

-----Original Message-----

From: mchristianson@everyactioncustom.com <mchristianson@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 9:36 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Mike Christianson  
1033 SE Main St Portland, OR 97214-4589 mchristianson@energy350.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 10:04:33 AM

---

UM 2165

-----Original Message-----

From: eriklehr@everyactioncustom.com <eriklehr@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 9:35 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Erik Lehr  
616 NW Pacific Grove Dr Beaverton, OR 97006-8373 eriklehr@gmail.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 10:04:59 AM

---

UM 2165

-----Original Message-----

From: rljron@everyactioncustom.com <rljron@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 9:38 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Ronald Jungwirth  
7807 SW 50th Ave Portland, OR 97219-1419  
rljron@aol.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 10:05:23 AM

---

UM 2165

-----Original Message-----

From: wobobr123@everyactioncustom.com <wobobr123@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 9:47 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
William O'Brien  
12520 SW Gem Ln Apt 202 Beaverton, OR 97005-1360  
wobobr123@yahoo.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 1:32:51 PM

---

More UM 2165.

-----Original Message-----

From: heidihagler@everyactioncustom.com <heidihagler@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 10:07 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Heidi Hagler  
8873 NW Chaparral Dr Corvallis, OR 97330-3033 heidihagler@comcast.net

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 1:33:24 PM

---

UM 2165

-----Original Message-----

From: juanyrolando@everyactioncustom.com <juanyrolando@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 10:17 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Rolando Rodriguez  
PO Box 1277 Port Orford, OR 97465-1277  
juanyrolando@yahoo.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 1:33:44 PM

---

UM 2165

-----Original Message-----

From: langford@everyactioncustom.com <langford@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 10:31 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Charles Langford  
1640 NW Kings Blvd Corvallis, OR 97330-1904 langford@peak.org

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 1:34:03 PM

---

UM 2165

-----Original Message-----

From: christy.anderson.brekken@everyactioncustom.com <christy.anderson.brekken@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 10:41 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. We also need to support the communities that would most benefit from TE through reducing transportation related pollution and expense. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. I have an EV and have found it very difficult to go from Corvallis to the Oregon coast because of lack of charging stations in Newport and other communities. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Christy Anderson Brekken  
1453 NW 12th St Corvallis, OR 97330-4629  
christy.anderson.brekken@gmail.com



**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 1:34:21 PM

---

UM 2165

-----Original Message-----

From: zach.klonoski@everyactioncustom.com <zach.klonoski@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 10:45 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Zachary Klonoski  
2284 Rose Ln Eugene, OR 97403-2118  
zach.klonoski@gmail.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 1:34:41 PM

---

UM 2165

-----Original Message-----

From: zach.klonoski@everyactioncustom.com <zach.klonoski@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 10:45 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Zach Klonoski  
2284 Rose Ln Eugene, OR 97403-2118  
zach.klonoski@gmail.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 1:35:08 PM

---

UM 2165

-----Original Message-----

From: de5franco5@everyactioncustom.com <de5franco5@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 10:52 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

This is very important for our communities. Invest in solar energy to boost the amount available for electric cars.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
L DeFranco  
183 E Ashland Ln Ashland, OR 97520-9601  
de5franco5@gmail.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 1:36:52 PM

---

UM 2165

-----Original Message-----

From: lee@everyactioncustom.com <lee@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 11:06 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Lee Zucker  
1966 Orchard St Eugene, OR 97403-2040  
lee@thelocomotive.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 1:37:12 PM

---

UM 2165

-----Original Message-----

From: sandler@everyactioncustom.com <sandler@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 12:24 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Jennie Sandler  
25029 S Beeson Rd Beaver Creek, OR 97004-9783  
sandler@bctonline.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 4:44:35 PM

---

UM 2165.

-----Original Message-----

From: bev.terrace@everyactioncustom.com <bev.terrace@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 4:02 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

I recently moved onto a condominium complex in King City, in its over-55 community, where I was happy to finally have electric heat and a/c, instead of natural gas. I would have purchased an electric car too, if there had been convenient access to charge it. In order for communities and the state as a whole to move toward clean electric power we need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Beverly White  
12635 SW Prince Edward Ct Apt D Portland, OR 97224-2143 bev.terrace@gmail.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 4:44:56 PM

---

UM 2165.

-----Original Message-----

From: mike@everyactioncustom.com <mike@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 2:53 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- AND Retired folks
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Michael James-Long  
620 Horn Ln Eugene, OR 97404-2919  
mike@jamesgangpublishing.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 15, 2021 7:16:03 AM

---

UM 2165

-----Original Message-----

From: rstron@everyactioncustom.com <rstron@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 6:12 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Richard Tron  
3415 SE Steele St Portland, OR 97202-4161 rstron@msn.com



**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 15, 2021 7:16:22 AM

---

UM 2165

-----Original Message-----

From: joan@everyactioncustom.com <joan@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 8:23 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Joan Viers  
10660 SW Wilsonville Rd Unit 3 Wilsonville, OR 97070-8595  
joan@wbcable.net

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 15, 2021 7:16:46 AM

---

UM 2165

-----Original Message-----

From: ariela@everyactioncustom.com <ariela@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 8:30 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

I support the letter below. In addition there needs to be a plan to buy back peoples old cars and help them get into electric vehicles. Low income people are one of the biggest polluters as they drive old cars they can't afford to keep up. I suggest that you figure out how many old cars are still being driven and how many low income people drive old cars. Then figure out what the cost would be to replace them. To make it fair do this by county.

I suggest that every car or vehicle that is replaced the driver must take a class on how to operate and care for the vehicle.

We also need to think about electric trucks and SUV's and the needs of people, particularly families, people with disabilities, and elders.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Sylvia Zingeser  
16000 SE Powell Blvd Unit 27 Portland, OR 97236-1780  
ariela@hevanet.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 15, 2021 7:17:05 AM

---

UM 2165

-----Original Message-----

From: [jjenkins@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:jjenkins@everyactioncustom.com) <[jjenkins@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:jjenkins@everyactioncustom.com)>  
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 9:38 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <[PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov](mailto:PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov)>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Jacqueline Jenkins  
3789 5th Ave N Keizer, OR 97303-5931  
[jjenkins@volcano.net](mailto:jjenkins@volcano.net)

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 15, 2021 7:17:24 AM

---

UM 2165

-----Original Message-----

From: sage33@everyactioncustom.com <sage33@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Wednesday, September 15, 2021 1:30 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Ramona Crocker  
9720 SW Robbins Dr Beaverton, OR 97008-7943  
sage33@comcast.net

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 15, 2021 1:30:14 PM

---

UM 2165

-----Original Message-----

From: lldeyoung2@everyactioncustom.com <lldeyoung2@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Wednesday, September 15, 2021 8:48 AM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Larry Deyoung  
11058 SE Big Dog Ln Turner, OR 97392-9369  
lldeyoung2@gmail.com

**From:** [BOYLE Phil \\* PUC](#)  
**To:** [SHIERMAN Eric \\* PUC](#)  
**Cc:** [TOEWS Kimberly \\* PUC](#); [MENZA Candice \\* PUC](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment on UM 2165  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 15, 2021 1:30:39 PM

---

UM 2165

-----Original Message-----

From: bird041167@everyactioncustom.com <bird041167@everyactioncustom.com>  
Sent: Wednesday, September 15, 2021 12:11 PM  
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments \* PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>  
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Stacy Drake and Mr Paul Howard  
2777 SW Wake Robin Pl Corvallis, OR 97333-1606 bird041167@yahoo.com