

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:47:16 AM

Found 30 UM 2165 comments in a junk folder. Here is #1.

-----Original Message-----

From: purrmarshal@everyactioncustom.com <purrmarshal@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, September 10, 2021 2:33 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Susan Drew
37770 Highway 26 Sandy, OR 97055-6554
purrmarshal@yahoo.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:47:49 AM

2

-----Original Message-----

From: bagsieslap@everyactioncustom.com <bagsieslap@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 11, 2021 1:00 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

Electric vehicles need charging options. Without that support, EV use can not become practical for most drivers or meet clean power goals. We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Curtis Porach
5035 NE Mason Ct Portland, OR 97218-2135 bagsieslap@gmail.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:48:08 AM

3

-----Original Message-----

From: blueboxconst@everyactioncustom.com <blueboxconst@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 11, 2021 10:05 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

I agree with the remarks below. As President Biden has mentioned, we're in a planetary emergency. Electric utilities must understand they're no longer in the business of converting fossil fuels into electricity, then wheeling the energy to consumers. Their job is to facilitate all the various forms of sustainable energy, including storage and then manage the grid that distributes that energy. As noted below, part of that mandate is facilitating conversion of our transportation system to use sustainable energy.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Bob Fankhauser
8935 SW Camille Ter Portland, OR 97223-7036
blueboxconst@hevanet.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:48:34 AM

4

-----Original Message-----

From: bjclark@everyactioncustom.com <bjclark@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 11, 2021 4:15 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Clark
5035 N Depauw St Portland, OR 97203-4418
bjclark@siderial.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:48:56 AM

5

-----Original Message-----

From: melanie.plaut@everyactioncustom.com <melanie.plaut@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 12, 2021 6:03 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Melanie Plaut
3082 NE Regents Dr Portland, OR 97212-1760
melanie.plaut@gmail.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:49:24 AM

6

-----Original Message-----

From: lltodd@everyactioncustom.com <lltodd@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Monday, September 13, 2021 7:33 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Laurie Todd
3756 SE Stephens St Portland, OR 97214-5152
lltodd@mindspring.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:49:58 AM

7

-----Original Message-----

From: marwal53@everyactioncustom.com <marwal53@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 9:31 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mary Wall
2150 Adams St Eugene, OR 97405-2135
marwal53@gmail.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:50:18 AM

8

-----Original Message-----

From: bsand@everyactioncustom.com <bsand@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 10:10 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sandra Thompson
1940 NW Monterey Pines Dr Unit 1 Bend, OR 97703-5288
bsand@bendbroadband.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:50:45 AM

9

-----Original Message-----

From: jynxcd@everyactioncustom.com <jynxcd@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 10:12 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jynx Houston
7605 SE Lincoln St Portland, OR 97215-4153 jynxcd@gmail.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:51:21 AM

10

-----Original Message-----

From: marta@everyactioncustom.com <marta@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 10:28 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Marta Boyett
89819 Demming Rd Elmira, OR 97437-9618
marta@epud.net

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:51:42 AM

11

-----Original Message-----

From: jbob@everyactioncustom.com <jbob@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 10:29 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jeffery Adams
89819 Demming Rd Elmira, OR 97437-9618
jbob@epud.net

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:52:04 AM

12

-----Original Message-----

From: mickrob@everyactioncustom.com <mickrob@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 10:39 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ruth Robinson
6970 SW Arranmore Way Portland, OR 97223-7580
mickrob@comcast.net

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:52:26 AM

13

-----Original Message-----

From: roryjamesisbell@everyactioncustom.com <roryjamesisbell@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 10:40 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

Participation in transportation electrification should not be limited to certain communities. Instead, Oregon should provide TE opportunities equitably.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rory Isbell
141 SW 17th St Ste 3 Bend, OR 97702-3194
roryjamesisbell@gmail.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:52:46 AM

14

-----Original Message-----

From: relivholly@everyactioncustom.com <relivholly@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 1:48 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Holly E Stern
7533 SE 16th Ave Portland, OR 97202-6000
relivholly@gmail.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:53:18 AM

15

-----Original Message-----

From: mcgavinski@everyactioncustom.com <mcgavinski@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 1:57 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Linda McGavin
13149 SE Pennywood Ct Milwaukie, OR 97222-3113
mcgavinski@gmail.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:53:43 AM

16

-----Original Message-----

From: dan.jaynes@everyactioncustom.com <dan.jaynes@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 3:10 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Daniel Jaynes
2411 NE 48th Ave Portland, OR 97213-1921
dan.jaynes@gmail.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:54:06 AM

17

-----Original Message-----

From: mccuen7691@everyactioncustom.com <mccuen7691@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 4:18 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Annie McCuen
1825 Fairmount Ave S Salem, OR 97302-5209
mccuen7691@comcast.net

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:54:27 AM

18

-----Original Message-----

From: ssiegner3@everyactioncustom.com <ssiegner3@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 4:53 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sandra Siegner
9640 SW Lancaster Rd Portland, OR 97219-6349
ssiegner3@gmail.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:54:47 AM

19

-----Original Message-----

From: fnchaichi@everyactioncustom.com <fnchaichi@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 5:41 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

Four years ago the 1992 Nissan Sentra I had been gifted by my parents was well beyond the point anyone should still be driving it, there was mold from a leak in the trunk. The idea of getting a new car made me sad and the task itself felt daunting. But the process was further made difficult because in addition to trying to figure out which car would meet me and my partner's needs we also wanted to figure out how we could manage keeping an electric car charged in our apartment complex that would not agree to set up a charging station. It became evident quickly that just wasn't going to work and we had to go with a vehicle that runs extremely efficiently on fossil fuel, but that was not the choice either of us wanted to make. Because we cannot afford a house we are stuck in an apartment. And because we are in an apartment we have nowhere to plug the car in overnight. It should be easy for people to make healthy choices for ourselves and our communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Farrah Chaichi
1187 SW Kiley Way Apt 36 Beaverton, OR 97006-5093
fnchaichi@gmail.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:55:17 AM

20

-----Original Message-----

From: kathy_grant@everyactioncustom.com <kathy_grant@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 6:23 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

Oregon needs a comprehensive plan, particularly targeted at low income and multifamily housing as well as schools and public transportation. Please consider these community needs and replace the pilot program approach that serves only a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs!

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

With the effects of climate change, the Oregon utility customers need more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. It only makes sense that Oregon's investment framework should be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kathy Grant
1533 SE Division St Portland, OR 97202-1141 kathy_grant@me.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:55:53 AM

21

-----Original Message-----

From: ricel4444@everyactioncustom.com <ricel4444@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 7:41 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Laura Rice
2960 NW Taylor Ave Corvallis, OR 97330-5127 ricel4444@gmail.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:56:12 AM

22

-----Original Message-----

From: mikefbishop@everyactioncustom.com <mikefbishop@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 7:45 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Michael Bishop
2118 NE 17th Ave Portland, OR 97212-4601
mikefbishop@gmail.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:56:29 AM

23

-----Original Message-----

From: zheck24@everyactioncustom.com <zheck24@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 8:57 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Zechariah Heck
82 NW Riverside Blvd Apt 6 Bend, OR 97703-2540
zheck24@gmail.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:56:56 AM

24

-----Original Message-----

From: bissonnette_melanie@everyactioncustom.com <bissonnette_melanie@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 9:44 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Melanie Bissonnette
9217 N Willamette Blvd Portland, OR 97203-2956
bissonnette_melanie@yahoo.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:57:19 AM

25

-----Original Message-----

From: 7c15odt6f@everyactioncustom.com <7c15odt6f@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 15, 2021 10:32 AM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kevin Brown
916 Sun Valley Ave Silverton, OR 97381-8750
7c15odt6f@relay.firefox.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:57:39 AM

26

-----Original Message-----

From: jmess24@everyactioncustom.com <jmess24@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, September 17, 2021 11:18 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jess McGraw
777 Commercial St SE Salem, OR 97301-3421
jmess24@gmail.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:57:59 AM

27

-----Original Message-----

From: aheid@everyactioncustom.com <aheid@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 18, 2021 2:48 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Andrea Heid
21344 Liberty St NE Aurora, OR 97002-9238
aheid@uoregon.edu

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:58:16 AM

28

-----Original Message-----

From: amycarlson@everyactioncustom.com <amycarlson@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 18, 2021 9:03 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Amy Carlson
2006 N Emerson St Portland, OR 97217-3807
amycarlson@comcast.net

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:58:35 AM

29

-----Original Message-----

From: brian.yorgey@everyactioncustom.com <brian.yorgey@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 19, 2021 5:33 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Brian Yorgey
2220 NW 12th St Corvallis, OR 97330-1422
brian.yorgey@gmail.com

From: [BOYLE Phil * PUC](#)
To: [SHIERMAN Eric * PUC](#)
Cc: [TOEWS Kimberly * PUC](#); [MENZA Candice * PUC](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on UM 2165
Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 8:58:55 AM

30

-----Original Message-----

From: m_ingalsbe@everyactioncustom.com <m_ingalsbe@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 19, 2021 11:36 PM
To: PUC PUC.PublicComments * PUC <PUC.PUBLICCOMMENTS@puc.oregon.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on UM 2165

Dear Public Comments Oregon Public Utility Commission,

I would like to submit comments on UM 2165. We need transportation electrification investment frameworks that support the growth of electric vehicles and provide benefits to more communities.

We need a holistic approach that meets the ongoing need, not just pilot programs that help a few communities at a time. I'm asking you to consider a portfolio approach to TE investment planning that prioritizes:

- Renters
- Low-income Households
- Multifamily Housing
- Small Businesses
- Schools
- Public Transit

The pilot program approach is not working. These programs only serve a limited number of customers in a limited number of communities. Renters, especially those in multifamily housing, are left out of these programs as well.

Utilities have an obligation to serve the load that electric vehicles provide. We as customers have the right to expect that our charging needs will be met. The current investment framework is not helping meet our charging needs.

Customers deserve more convenient and affordable places to charge their electric vehicles. Public charging infrastructure in Oregon is not meeting demand and can cost three times more than charging at home. Utilities need to develop ongoing programs that provide affordable charging where people live and work. Oregon's investment framework must be structured to facilitate this.

Please consider using a portfolio approach to TE investment frameworks so we can move toward ongoing utility programs that meet the ongoing needs of communities.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Marita Ingalsbe
6214 SW 41st Ave Portland, OR 97221-3346
m_ingalsbe@yahoo.com