

From: KathyMoyd-gmail
To: [PUC PUC.FilingCenter * PUC](#)
Cc: [BAKER Zachariah * PUC](#); [HERB Kim * PUC](#)
Subject: CEP Roadmap Comments
Date: Friday, June 10, 2022 4:25:36 PM

After looking over the specific questions, I have decided that is premature to get to that level of detail. I was glad to see Path 1 selected, so the issue of acknowledgement of the CEP is not as critical. I am more concerned about some top level issues.

The relationship among the CEP, IRP, and DSP needs to be established.

Given the attention on Community involvement, a major requirement for the CEP should be that it be understandable for someone for whom this is the first PUC document they are reading. That means limited use of acronyms and a glossary written in understandable language.

It should be focused on Oregon with references to other states only as necessary.

It should be detailed with respect to the next HB 2021 target date, but also show how it expects to meet the 2040 nonemitting requirement. We don't want them to plan just for the intermediate dates.

Kathy