

1 **BEFORE THE OREGON PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

2
3 **DR 10/UE 88/UM 989**

4
5 **In the Matters of**

6
7 **The Application of Portland General**
8 **Electric Company for an Investigation into**
9 **Least Cost Plan Plant Retirement. (DR 10)**

10
11 **Revised Tariffs Schedules for Electric**
12 **Service in Oregon Filed by Portland**
13 **General Electric Company. (UE 88)**

14
15 **Portland General Electric Company's**
16 **Application for an Accounting Order and**
17 **for Order Approving Tariff Sheets**
18 **Implementing Rate Reduction. (UM 989)**

STATEMENT OF
INTEREST BY
UTILITY REFORM
PROJECT AND
THE CLASS
ACTION
PLAINTIFFS

19
20
21 The Utility Reform Project (URP) and associated parties and the Class Action
22 Plaintiffs (CAPs) wish to participate in the oral argument in these dockets on August
23 9, 2006. Each seeks to reserve 30 minutes for oral argument as suggested below.

24 The argument time should be allocated predominantly to the long-term
25 participants in the case: PGE, Staff, URP, and the CAPs. Much less time should
26 be allowed to the recent intervenors and single issue commentators. The
27 Commission should consider whether CUB should be allowed to argue, or to argue
28 separately from PGE, as CUB has agreed by contract to agree with and support
29 PGE's statements in these dockets and is therefore not an independent
30 commentator. See the limits on its autonomy contained in the August 2000

1 Settlement Agreement with PGE covering these dockets (documented in the Reply
2 Comments of URP).

3 We propose a total of 30 minutes for each of the previously active parties to
4 the remand phases of these dockets (PGE, Staff, URP, CAPs) and 10 minutes for
5 each other intervenor/commentator. The ordinary order of appearance in rate
6 cases is acceptable, with the utility arguing first, then Staff, then the other parties.
7 We prefer that URP argue before the CAPs. All parties should be allowed to
8 reserve part of the time for rebuttal argument, after the opening round is completed.

9
10 Dated: August 1, 2007

Respectfully Submitted,

11 _____
DANIEL W. MEEK
OSB No. 79124
10949 S.W. 4th Avenue
Portland, OR 97219
503-293-9021 voice
503-293-9099 fax
dan@meek.net

12 Attorney for Utility Reform
Project, et al.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I filed the original and 5 copies of the foregoing by email to the Filing Center and by mail, postmarked this date, and that I served a true copy of the foregoing STATEMENT OF INTEREST BY UTILITY REFORM PROJECT AND THE CLASS ACTION PLAINTIFFS by email to the physical and email addresses shown below, which comprise the service list on the Commission’s web site as of this day (email service only to those who have waived physical service).

STEPHANIE S ANDRUS DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 1162 COURT ST NE SALEM OR 97301-4096 stephanie.andrus@state.or.us	PAUL A GRAHAM DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 1162 COURT ST NE SALEM OR 97301-4096 paul.graham@state.or.us
PATRICK G. HAGER PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC 121 SW SALMON ST 1WTC0702 PORTLAND OR 97204 patrick_hager@pgn.com	JEFFREY DUDLEY PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC 121 SW SALMON ST 1WTC1301 PORTLAND OR 97204 jay_dudley@pgn.com
bkline@idahopower.com bob@oregoncub.org docketing-pdx@lanepowell.com jason@oregoncub.org katherine@mcd-law.com kim@mcd-law.com lisa@mcd-law.com lnordstrom@idahopower.com lowrey@oregoncub.org mmoen@idahopower.com myoungblood@idahopower.com natalie.hocken@pacificcorp.com oregondockets@pacificcorp.com rgale@idahopower.com williamsr@lanepowell.com	Linda K. Williams Attorney 10266 S.W. Lancaster Road Portland, OR 97219 linda@lindawilliams.net

Dated: August 1, 2007

Daniel W. Meek