



825 NE Multnomah, Suite 2000  
Portland, Oregon 97232

January 24, 2020

***VIA ELECTRONIC FILING***

Public Utility Commission of Oregon  
201 High Street SE, Suite 100  
Salem, OR 97301-3398

Attn: Filing Center

**Re: LC 70—PacifiCorp's Motion for a Modified Protective Order**

PacifiCorp d/b/a Pacific Power encloses for filing its Motion for Modified Protective Order in the above-referenced docket.

Informal inquiries may be directed to Cathie Allen, Regulatory Affairs Manager, at (503) 813-5934.

Sincerely,

Etta Lockey  
Vice President, Regulation

Enclosures

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
OF OREGON**

**LC 70**

In the Matter of  
PACIFICORP, d/b/a PACIFIC POWER,  
2019 Integrated Resource Plan.

---

PACIFICORP'S MOTION FOR  
MODIFIED PROTECTIVE ORDER

*Expedited Consideration  
Requested*

**I. INTRODUCTION**

Under OAR 860-001-0420 and OAR 860-001-0080(3), PacifiCorp d/b/a Pacific Power (PacifiCorp) moves the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission) for entry of a Modified Protective Order in its 2019 integrated resource plan (IRP) proceeding. Specifically, PacifiCorp requests the Administrative Law Judge issue the Modified Protective Order attached as Appendix A to this Motion. A Modified Protective Order would provide additional protection for highly commercially sensitive, non-public information related to PacifiCorp's coal supply agreements, fueling strategy at its coal-fired generation facilities, and the documents and records of affiliated coal mining companies.

On June 12, 2018, the Administrative Law Judge granted Protective Order No. 18-216 in this proceeding. Following the entry of this general protective order, intervenor Sierra Club issued a data request seeking work papers used to develop Bridger fuel cost assumptions in each integrated resource plan (IRP) portfolio and retirement scenario (Sierra Club Data Request 3.27). For the reasons set forth below, additional safeguards are necessary to protect the highly confidential nature of the information that is responsive to this request.

## II. REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL PROTECTION

OAR 860-001-0080(3)(a) contains five requirements for seeking a modified protective order. This motion addresses each of these requirements in the following subsections.

### A. Exact Nature of the Information Involved (OAR 860-001-0080(3)(a)(A)).

The work papers that are responsive to Sierra Club Data Request 3.27(c) include data from PacifiCorp's coal supply contracts including pricing and contract terms that, if disclosed, would reveal PacifiCorp's proprietary strategies for managing its coal supplies at all of its coal-fired generation facilities. Release of this information would put PacifiCorp at a commercial disadvantage when negotiating future coal supply agreements. This commercial disadvantage is particularly problematic given the current business climate for coal supply. The company (and other utilities) have released plans to close certain coal facilities. As a result, the coal supply market is subject to greater scrutiny and the company's bargaining power is reduced relative to its reduced share of this market.

### B. Legal Basis for the Claim the Information is Protected under ORCP 36(C)(1) (OAR 860-001-0080(3)(a)(B)).

ORCP 36(C)(1) provides protection against unrestricted discovery of "trade secrets or other confidential research, development, or commercial information." The fueling strategy documents that are responsive to Sierra Club's data request constitute "trade secret" information protected under ORCP 36(C)(1) and Oregon's Public Records Laws. Oregon's Uniform Trade Secrets Act, ORS 646.461 to 646.475, defines a "trade secret" as information, including cost data, that: (a) derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to the public or to other person who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use; and (b) is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy. The Oregon Public Records Law, ORS 192.311 to 192.338, exempts

from disclosure public records that are “trade secrets” that “may include but are not limited to, any formula, plan, pattern, process, tool, mechanism, compound, procedure, production data, or complication of information which is not patented, which is known only to certain individuals within an organization and which is used in a business it conducts, having actual or potential commercial value, and which gives its user an opportunity to obtain a business advantage over competitors who do not know or use it.”<sup>16</sup>

The information that is responsive to Sierra Club Data Request 3.27 is non-public information that is highly proprietary and commercially sensitive. As described above, PacifiCorp and other utilities are reducing their reliance on coal units. As a result, the coal fuel supply market is becoming smaller and this reduces the company’s bargaining power in contract negotiations. For this reason, the competitive disadvantage that would result from release of the information that is responsive to Sierra Club 3.27 is particularly problematic. This harm would ultimately flow through to customers in the form of higher costs and less advantageous terms and conditions in future contracts.

Public disclosure of this information would also harm the competitive position of the company’s suppliers and joint venture parties, and may expose PacifiCorp to claims from those entities for breach of contract. The information requested is specific to issues in the current proceedings and may not be requested by parties in subsequent proceedings.

**C. Exact Nature of the Relief Requested (OAR 860-001-0080(3)(a)(C)).**

PacifiCorp requests that the Commission enter the proposed Modified Protective Order that is attached to this Motion as Appendix A. The terms of the Modified Protective Order are narrowly tailored and intended to apply to only PacifiCorp’s most sensitive information. The proposed Modified Protective Order will allow the transmission of Highly Protected

---

<sup>1</sup> ORS 192.501(2).

Information to all of the parties that sign on to the Modified Protective Order. By identifying specific individuals that are qualified to access Highly Protected Information, the parties to the Modified Protective Order will have certainty regarding the permissible disclosure of Highly Protected Information.

**D. Specific Reasons the Relief Requested is Necessary (OAR 860-001-0080(3)(a)(D)).**

Entry of a Modified Protective Order with additional protections will allow PacifiCorp to make the information available to the parties in a manner consistent with the fact that it is highly sensitive commercial information. Granting the requested additional protection also will significantly limit the risk of an inadvertent breach of confidentiality, which could adversely affect PacifiCorp, its joint venture parties, its coal suppliers, its coal transporters, and its customers.

The standard protective order is insufficient because it does not provide adequate safeguards against the disclosure of highly confidential information. Reliance on the Standard Protective Order also would delay discovery and interfere with the expeditious handling of the highly confidential information in this proceeding.

**E. Description of the Intermediate Measures Explored by the Parties (OAR 860-001-0080(3)(a)(E)).**

The information sought by Sierra Club is relevant to review of the 2019 IRP. However, without the additional protection requested pursuant to this motion, the standard protective order will be insufficient to protect the company's highly sensitive commercial information. Intermediate measures are not available. Specifically, because the responsive information is to be provided as work papers selected redaction is not possible. The company is, however, authorized to represent that Sierra Club does not object to this motion.<sup>2</sup>


---

<sup>2</sup> The company also conferred with counsel for Commission staff and can represent that staff does not object to this motion.  
LC 70—PACIFICORP'S MOTION FOR MODIFIED PROTECTIVE ORDER

### III. CONCLUSION

For these reasons, PacifiCorp respectfully requests that the Commission issue a Modified Protective Order in the format provided as Appendix A to this Motion for the purposes of docket LC 70, to allow PacifiCorp to provide its complete response to Sierra Club Data Request 3.27, without unnecessary risk to the company, its suppliers and joint venture parties.

Respectfully submitted this 24<sup>th</sup> day of January 2020.

By:   
Jessica Buno Ralston  
Attorney, PacifiCorp  
825 NE Multnomah Street, Suite 2000  
Portland, OR 97232  
Phone: (503) 813-5817  
Email: [jessica.ralston@pacificorp.com](mailto:jessica.ralston@pacificorp.com)

**APPENDIX A**

PROPOSED MODIFIED PROTECTIVE ORDER

**MODIFIED PROTECTIVE ORDER**

DOCKET NO. LC 70

**Scope of this Order:**

1. This order supplements General Protective Order No. 18-216 and governs the acquisition and use of “Highly Protected Information” produced or used by any party to docket LC 70.

**Designation of Protected Information and “Highly Protected Information”:**

2. Any party may designate as Highly Protected Information any information the party reasonably determines:
  - (a) Falls within the scope of ORCP 36(C)(1) (a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information);
  - (b) Is not publicly available; and
  - (c) Is not adequately protected by the general protective order.
3. To designate information as Highly Protected Information, a party must place the following legend on the material:

HIGHLY PROTECTED INFORMATION  
SUBJECT TO MODIFIED PROTECTIVE ORDER NO. 20-\_\_\_\_\_

The party should make reasonable efforts to designate as Highly Protected Information only the portions of the information that satisfies paragraph 2 of this Modified Protective Order.

4. Each page of a document containing Highly Protected Information filed with the Commission and served on persons qualified to access Highly Protected Information under this order must be printed on green paper and placed in a sealed envelope or other appropriate container. Only the portions of a document that fall within ORCP 36(C)(1) may be placed in the envelope/container. The envelope/container must bear the legend:

THIS ENVELOPE IS SEALED UNDER ORDER NO. 20-\_\_\_\_\_  
AND CONTAINS HIGHLY PROTECTED INFORMATION. THE  
INFORMATION MAY BE SHOWN ONLY TO PERSONS  
QUALIFIED TO ACCESS HIGHLY PROTECTED INFORMATION  
AS DEFINED IN THE ORDER.

5. Highly Protected Information disclosed by a designating party to a person qualified to access Highly Protected Information through informal discovery or by means of the Commission’s Huddle website will be marked “Highly Protected Information” and uploaded to a file folder designated “highly protected” in Huddle, if applicable.
6. A party may designate as Highly Protected Information any information previously provided by giving written notice to the Commission and other parties. Parties in



possession of newly designated Highly Protected Information must make reasonable efforts to ensure that all copies of the material containing the information bear the above legend if requested by the designating party.

7. A designating party must make reasonable efforts to ensure that information designated as Highly Protected Information continues to warrant protection under this order. If designated information becomes publically available or no longer falls within the scope of ORCP 36(C)(1), the designating party should make reasonable efforts to remove the protected designation and provide written notice to the Commission and other parties.

**Challenge to Designation of Information as Highly Protected:**

8. A party may informally challenge any designation of Highly Protected Information by notifying the designating party. Once notified, the designating party bears the burden of showing that the challenged information is covered by ORCP 36(C)(1) and that the “Highly Protected Information” designation is necessary. Any party may request that the ALJ hold a conference to help resolve disputes about proper designation.
9. If the dispute cannot be resolved informally, the challenging party may file a written objection with the ALJ. The objection need only identify the information in dispute and certify that reasonable efforts to achieve informal resolution have failed.
10. Within five business days of service of the objection, the designating party must either remove the challenged protected designation or file a written response. A written response must identify the factual and legal basis of how the challenged information is protected under the Oregon Public Records Act, ORS 192.311 *et seq.*, or the Uniform Trade Secrets Act, ORS 646.461(4). Broad allegations unsubstantiated by specific facts are not sufficient. If the designating party does not timely respond to the objection, the Commission will remove the protected designation from the challenged information.
11. The challenging party may file a written reply to any response within five business days of service of an objection. The designating party may file a sur-reply within three business days of service of a response. The ALJ will make all reasonable efforts to resolve the matter within ten business days of service of the last filing.

**Access to Highly Protected Information:**

12. Persons automatically bound and qualified to access Highly Protected Information are:
  - (a) Commission employees; and
  - (b) Assistant Attorneys General assigned to represent the Commission.
13. The following persons are qualified to access Highly Protected Information upon completing Appendix B:

- (a) Counsel for the party;
  - (b) An employee of the Regulatory Division at the Oregon Citizens' Utility Board.
14. A party bound by the General Protective Order No. 18-216 may seek to qualify other persons to access certain specific Highly Protected Information by having those persons complete and sign Appendix C, and submitting that information to the designating party and the Commission. Within five business days of receiving a copy of Appendix C, the designating party must either provide the access to the requested information designated as Highly Protected Information or file an objection under paragraph 15.

**Objection to Access to Protected Information:**

15. All persons qualified to have access to Highly Protected Information will have access to Highly Protected Information unless the designating party objects as provided in this paragraph. As soon as the designating party becomes aware of reasons to restrict access to a Qualified Person, or objects to a person seeking qualification under Paragraph 14, the designating party must provide the person and his or her counsel notice stating the basis for the objection. The parties must promptly confer and attempt to resolve the dispute on an informal basis.
16. If the parties are unable to resolve the matter informally, the designating party must file a written objection with the ALJ. The requesting party may file a response to the motion within five business days of service of an objection. The ALJ will make all reasonable efforts to resolve the matter within ten business days of the last filing. Pending the ALJ's decision, the specific Highly Protected Information may not be disclosed to the person subject to the objection.

**Use of Protected Information:**

17. All Qualified Persons must take reasonable precautions to keep Highly Protected Information secure. Qualified Persons may reproduce Highly Protected Information only to the extent necessary to participate in these proceedings. A Qualified Person may discuss Highly Protected Information obtained under this order only with other Qualified Persons who have obtained the same information under this order.
18. Without the written permission of the designating party, any person given access to Highly Protected Information under this order may not disclose Highly Protected Information for any purpose other than participating in these proceedings.
19. Nothing in this protective order precludes any party from independently seeking through discovery in any other administrative or judicial proceeding information or materials produced in this proceeding under this protective order.
26. Counsel of record may retain memoranda, pleadings, testimony, discovery, or other documents containing Highly Protected Information to the extent reasonably necessary to maintain a file of these proceedings or to comply with requirements imposed by another governmental agency or court order. Any other person retaining

Highly Protected Information must destroy or return it to the designating party within 90 days after final resolution of these proceedings unless the designating party consents in writing to retention of the Highly Protected Information. This paragraph does not apply to the Commission or its Staff.

**Duration of Protection:**

21. The Commission will preserve the designation of information as Highly Protected Information for a period of five years from the date of the final order in these proceedings, unless extended by the Commission at the request of the designating party. The Commission will notify the designating party at least two weeks prior to the release of Highly Protected Information.

**Duration of Protection:**

27. The Commission will preserve the designation of information as Protected Information or Highly Protected Information for a period of five years from the date of the final order in these proceedings, unless extended by the Commission at the request of the designating party. The Commission will notify the designating party at least two weeks prior to the release of Protected Information or Highly Protected Information.

**CONSENT TO BE BOUND AND SIGNATORY PAGE**  
**DOCKET NO. LC 70**

**I. Consent to be Bound:**

\_\_\_\_\_ (Party) agrees to be bound by the terms of this Modified Protective Order.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Printed Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**II. Persons Qualified pursuant to Paragraph 13: Highly Protected Information**

I have read the Modified Protective Order and agree to be bound by the terms of the order.

I certify that:

I understand that ORS 756.990(2) allows the Commission to impose monetary sanctions if a party subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission violates an order of the Commission.

The party I am associated with has a legitimate and non-competitive need for the Highly Confidential Information for this proceeding and not simply a general interest in the information.

By: Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Printed Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Employer: \_\_\_\_\_

Job Title: \_\_\_\_\_

By: Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Printed Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Employer: \_\_\_\_\_

Job Title: \_\_\_\_\_

### III. Persons Seeking Qualification under Paragraph 14:

I have read the modified protective order, agree to be bound by the terms of the order, and provide the following information to seek access to certain specific information designated as Highly Confidential Information.

<b>Signature:</b>		<b>Date:</b>
<b>Printed Name:</b>		
<b>Physical Address:</b>		
<b>Email Address:</b>		
<b>Employer:</b>		
<b>Associated Party:</b>		
<b>Job Title:</b>		
<b>If Not employee of party, description of practice and clients:</b>		

<p><b>I seek access to the following specific information designated as Highly Protected Information for the following reasons:</b></p>	
---	--