Law Office of Richard A. Finnigan 2112 Black Lake Blvd. SW Olympia, Washington 98512

Richard A. Finnigan
. (360) 956-7001
rickfinn@localaccess.com

Candace Shofstall
Legal Assistant
(360) 753-7012
candaces@localaccess.com

September 22, 2014

VIA EFILING AND U.S. MAIL

Public Utility Commission of Oregon 3930 Fairview Industrial Drive SE PO Box 1088 Salem OR 97308-1088

Re: UM 1481(III) - Joint Motion and Explanatory Brief and Stipulations

Dear Sir/Madam:

Attached you will find the original and five copies of the Joint Motion and Explanatory Brief together with two supporting Stipulations to resolve all issues in this matter. Also enclosed is a certificate of service.

The Joint Motion should also be viewed as the Status Report required to be submitted on this date.

The parties respectfully request that the Commission consider this matter at its earliest convenience.

Richard A. Finnigan

RAF/cs

cc: Service List (via email)
Clients (via email)

26

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE - 1

1	RICHARD B SEVERY	G. CATRIONA MCCRACKEN
_	VERIZON	LEGAL COUNSEL/STAFF ATTY
2	2775 MITCHELL DR, BLDG. 8-2	CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OR
2	WALNUT CREEK CA 94598	610 SW BROADWAY, SUITE 400
3	richard.b.severy@verizon.com	PORTLAND, OR 97205
4		catriona@oregoncub.org
4		
5	CARLA BUTLER	ADAM HAAS
3	QWEST CORPORATION	WSTC
6	310 SW PARK AVE 11TH FL	10425 SW HAWTHORNE LN
U	PORTLAND OR 97205-3715	PORTLAND, OR 97225
7	carla.butler@centurylink.com	adam.haas@warmspringstelecom.com
_ ′		
8	DOUG COOLEY	MARSHA SPELLMAN
١	GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS MANAGER	WARM SPRINGS
9	COMCAST BUSINESS COMMUNICATIONS	TELECOMMUNICATIONS
	LLC	10425 SW HAWTHORNE LN
10	1710 SALEM INDUSTRIAL DRIVE NE	PORTLAND, OR 97225
	SALEM, OR 97303	marsha.spellman@warmspringstelecom.com
11	doug_cooley@cable.comcast.com	
	MADIC EDDICATED O	DOM CODIN L DIGED
12	MARK TRINCHERO	RON L TRULLINGER
	DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP	MANAGER - OREGON REGULATORY
13	1300 SW FIFTH AVE STE 2300	CENTURYLINK
	PORTLAND, OR 97201-5682	310 SW PARK AVE 11TH FL
14	marktrinchero@dwt.com	PORTLAND, OR 97205
		ron.trullinger@centurylink.com
15	DIRDIRI MOVELO	
	BARBARA YOUNG	RENEE WILLER, EXTERNAL AFFAIRS
16	EMBARQ COMMUNICATIONS INC.	MANAGER
	902 WASCO ST - ORHDRA0412	FRONTIER COMMUNICATIONS
17	HOOD RIVER, OR 97031-3105	NORTHWEST INC.
10	barbara.c.young@centurylink.com	20575 NW VON NEUMANN DR
18		BEAVERTON, OR 97006-6982
19		renee.willer@ftr.com
19	TENTED SEXX OF CAMPAI	MENI CNIONI
20	JEFFRY H. SMITH	KEN SNOW
20	VICE PRESIDENT & DIVISION MANAGER	CONSULTING MANAGER
21	GVNW CONSULTING INC.	GVNW CONSULTING INC.
_1	PO BOX 2330	PO BOX 2330
22	TUALATIN, OR 97062	TUALATIN, OR 97062
عد	jsmith@gvnw.com	ksnow@gvnw.com
23		
24		
25		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE - 2

1	DOUGLAS K. DENNEY	JASON W JONES
2	INTEGRA 18110 SE 34 ST	PUC STAFFDEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BUSINESS ACTIVITIES SECTION
2	BUILDING ONE, SUITE 100	1162 COURT ST NE
3	VANCOUVER, WA 98683	SALEM OR 97301-4096
	dkdenney@integratelecom.com	jason.w.jones@state.or.us
4	MICHAEL DEWEY	CRAIG PHILLIPS
5	MICHAEL DEWEY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR	OREGON EXCHANGE CARRIER ASSN
	OREGON CABLE AND	1104 MAIN ST #300
6	TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSN	VANCOUVER, WA 98660
7	1249 COMMERCIAL ST SE	cphillips@oeca.com
	SALEM, OR 97302 mdewey@oregoncable.com	
8		
9	ROGER WHITE, PROGRAM MANAGER	KAY MARINOS
	PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON	PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON
10	PO BOX 1088	PO BOX 1088
11	SALEM, OR 97308	SALEM OR 97308-2148
1.	roger.white@state.or.us	kay.marinos@state.or.us
12	LISA F RACKNER	ADAM LOWNEY
13	MCDOWELL RACKNER & GIBSON PC	MCDOWELL RACKNER & GIBSON PC
13	419 SW 11TH AVE, STE 400	419 SW 11TH AVE, STE 400
14	PORTLAND OR 97205	PORTLAND OR 97205
15	dockets@mcd-law.com	adam@mcd-law.com
15	BRANT WOLF	TIM SPANNRING
16	OREGON TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSN	OPERATIONS MANAGER
17	777 13TH ST SE STE 120	COMSPAN COMMUNICATIONS INC
1/	SALEM OR 97301-4038 bwolf@ota-telecom.org	278 NW GARDEN VALLEY BLVD ROSEBURG OR 97470
18	a worksom tolebom.org	tims@comspancomm.com
1.0		
19	ROBERT JENKS	JIM RENNARD CONSULTING MANAGER
20	CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON 610 SW BROADWAY, SUITE 400	GVNW CONSULTING, INC.
	PORTLAND, OR 97205	PO BOX 2330
21	bob@oregoncub.org	TUALATIN, OR 97062
22		jrennard@gvnw.com
23		
24		
_		
/) E		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE - 3

1	GEORGE BAKER THOMSON	GREGORY T. DIAMOND
2	ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL FRONTIER COMMUNICATIONS	VICE-PRESIDENT, REGULATORY TW TELECOM
3	1800 41ST STREET EVERETT, WA 98201	223 TAYLOR AVE STE 250 SEATTLE, WA 98109
4	george.thomson@ftr.com	greg.diamond@twtelecom.com
5	OPUC DOCKETS CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OR	
6	610 SW BROADWAY, SUITE 400 PORTLAND, OR 97205	
7	dockets@oregoncub.org	
8	Dated at Olympia, WA this 22nd d	ay of September 2014.
9		
10		By: RICHARD A. FINNIGAN, OSB No. 965357
11		Attorney for the Oregon Telecommunications Association
12		Association
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	1	
21		
22	, i	
23		
24		
25		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE - 4

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

UM 1481 (Phase III)

In the Matter of PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

Staff investigation of the Oregon Universal Service Fund.

JOINT MOTION TO ADOPT STIPULATION AND EXPLANATORY BRIEF

I. MOTION TO ADOPT STIPULATION

- 1. The Parties to this docket, as defined in Paragraph 3, below, have agreed to settle the issues in Phase III of this docket as described in this Joint Motion. The settlement is memorialized in the Stipulation attached to this Joint Motion. This Joint Motion and the Stipulation are subject to Commission approval.
- 2. This Joint Motion is filed pursuant to OAR 860-001-0350(7). By this Joint Motion, the Parties request that the Commission approve the Stipulation. As part of this Joint Motion, an Explanatory Brief in support of the Stipulation is included in accordance with OAR 860-001-0350(7)(a). The Parties offer the Stipulation and Explanatory Brief as evidence in this proceeding.

A. PARTIES

3. The Parties to the attached Stipulation are as follows: 1

Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon
Comcast Phone of Oregon, LLC (Comcast)
CenturyLink²
Commission Staff
Frontier³
GVNW Consulting, Inc.
Oregon Cable Telecommunications Association (OCTA)⁴
Oregon Telecommunications Association (OTA)
tw telecom of oregon llc
Verizon⁵
Warm Springs Telecommunications Company

4. The Parties, by signing this Joint Motion, agree that the Commission should approve the Stipulation as a full and complete resolution of the issues presented in Phase III of this case, as set forth below. The Parties agree to support the Stipulation at a hearing, should one be deemed necessary, and recommend that the Commission issue an order adopting the entire Stipulation. If, however, the Commission does not

¹ AT&T Communications of the Pacific Northwest, Inc., TCG Joint Venture Holdings, Inc. d/b/a/ TCG Oregon and AT&T Mobility LLC and its subsidiaries operating in Oregon (collectively "AT&T") and Telecommunications Ratepayers Association for Cost-Based and Equitable Rates ("TRACER") are not parties to the Stipulation but do not oppose this Joint Motion.

² The CenturyLink companies that are parties to this docket are Qwest Corporation d/b/a CenturyLink QC, United Telephone Company of the Northwest, d/b/a CenturyLink, CenturyTel of Oregon, Inc., and CenturyTel of Eastern Oregon, Inc.

Frontier Communications Northwest Inc. and Citizen's Telecommunications Company of Oregon.

⁴ OCTA signed the Stipulation on behalf of its members other than Charter and BendBroadband,

⁵ The Verizon affiliates that are parties to this proceeding include MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC, d/b/a Verizon Access Transmission Services; MCI Communication Services, Inc., d/b/a Verizon Business Services LLC; Teleconnect Long Distance Services and Systems Company d/b/a Telecom*USA; TTI National, Inc.; Verizon Long Distance LLC; Verizon Enterprise Solutions LLC; and Verizon Select Services, Inc.

adopt the entire Stipulation, the Parties reserve the right to withdraw from the Stipulation and ask the Administrative Law Judge to resume Phase III of this docket.

- B. BACKGROUND AND REQUEST TO HOLD THE DOCKET SCHEDULE IN ABEYANCE
- 5. This phase of Docket UM 1481 was initiated by the Commission's acceptance of a Stipulation in Docket UM 1481 (Phase II) as set out in Order No. 13-162 dated May 2, 2013.
- 6. A prehearing conference in this matter was originally scheduled for October 29, 2013. The prehearing conference was rescheduled for November 13, 2013. After the prehearing conference, the Parties agreed to a procedural schedule which was approved by a Ruling issued November 25, 2013. Subsequently, the schedule was amended by a Ruling issued on February 21, 2014. Opening Testimony was filed April 24, 2014. The schedule was further amended by a Ruling issued June 20, 2014, on the basis that progress was being made on settlement. Then, by Ruling issued July 23, 2014, the procedural schedule was temporarily suspended with a status report due by September 22, 2014. The Parties ask that this Joint Motion be considered the status report. The Parties now request that the schedule be held in abeyance to allow the Commission to consider the Stipulation.
- 7. The Parties commenced settlement negotiations at a Settlement Workshop held on May 5, 2014. The Parties engaged in further settlement discussion at a Settlement Workshop on July 14, 2014. Prior to that date, OCTA, OTA, Comcast,

CenturyLink and Frontier engaged in settlement discussions on their own. Those Parties reached settlement in principle among themselves. The settlement in principle was presented to the other Parties and, after discussion at a Settlement Workshop held on August 13, 2014, and with some adjustment, the settlement was accepted (or not opposed) by all Parties.

II. EXPLANATORY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION

8. Oregon Administrative Rule 860-001-0350(7) allows the Parties to file an "explanatory brief" in support of a stipulation. The Parties provide the following discussion in support of their Stipulation.

A. SUMMARY OF THE STIPULATION

- 9. The Stipulation will result in material reductions to the overall size of the Oregon Universal Service Fund ("OUSF"). The reductions will come in the form of a phase-down of the OUSF receipts as described below.
- 10. For Non-Rural Companies⁶ there are reductions in OUSF support over five years beginning January 1, 2017.⁷ This phase-down will lead to support levels set out in Table 1, displayed on the next page. The end-point of the five year reduction is OUSF support of \$12.688 million. This compares to a starting point of \$17.5 million dollars of OUSF support in 2016. This is a twenty seven and one-half percent (27.5%) reduction in OUSF support for the Non-Rural Companies. This reduction should also

⁶ The Non-Rural Companies are Qwest Corporation and Frontier Communications Northwest Inc.

⁷ Phase II of UM 1481 covers the period up to January 1, 2017. See, Order No. 13-162, dated May 2, 2013.

be compared to the starting point in the Phase II settlement of \$27.2 million in OUSF support for the Non-Rural Companies. Thus, the cumulative effect of Phase II and Phase III is a reduction in OUSF support of \$14.512 million, over half of the support the companies were receiving at the start of Phase II. Non-Rural Companies' OUSF receipts will not be affected by line counts, except to the extent that line counts may influence the trigger for the surcharge cap set forth in Paragraph 12 below.

TABLE 1

Annual OUSF Support	College and Bergin Company on the proposed commenced of the control of the college of the colleg	A				эмгийн ийн ийн ийн ийн ийн ийн ийн ийн ийн
Annual Mariana Commission of the State of th	Phase II Stipulation			Phase III Stipu	lation	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O
Samuel California e refereible de Million e vergione de April (no de la competito de la competito de la compet	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Frontier Northwest	\$7,000,000	\$6,615,000	\$6,230,000	\$5,845,000	\$5,460,000	\$5,075,000
Owest Corporation	\$10,500,000	\$9,922,500	\$9,345,000	\$8,767,500	\$8,190,000	\$7,612,500
Total	\$17,500,000	\$16,537,500	\$15,575,000	\$14,612,500	\$13,650,000	\$12,687,500

11. The Rural Companies⁸ OUSF support is also reduced. See Table II, set out on next page. For the Rural Companies, the support for the 2015 fiscal year (July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016) will be \$14,650,935. The Rural Companies are to be moved to a

⁸ Asotin Telephone Company d/b/a TDS Telecom, Beaver Creek Cooperative Telephone Company, Canby Telephone Association d/b/a Canby Telecom, Cascade Utilities, Inc., d/b/a Reliance Connects, CenturyTel of Oregon, Inc., d/b/a CenturyLink, Citizens Telecommunications Company of Oregon, Clear Creek Mutual Telephone Company, Colton Telephone Company d/b/a ColtonTel, Eagle Telephone System, Inc., Gervais Telephone Company, Helix Telephone Company, Home Telephone Company d/b/a TDS Telecom, Molalla Telephone Company d/b/a Molalla Communications Company, Monitor Cooperative Telephone Company, Monroe Telephone Company, Mt. Angel Telephone Company, Nehalem Telecommunications, Inc., d/b/a RTI Nehalem Telecom, North-State Telephone Co., Oregon-Idaho Utilities, Inc., Oregon Telephone Corporation, People's Telephone Co., Pine Telephone Systems, Inc., Pioneer Telephone Cooperative, Roome Telecommunications Inc., St. Paul Cooperative Telephone Association, Scio Mutual Telephone Association, Stayton Cooperative Telephone Company, Trans-Cascades Telephone Company d/b/a Reliance Connects, and United Telephone Company of the Northwest d/b/a CenturyLink.

calendar year basis with support for calendar year 2016 set at \$14,431,170. The aggregate support for the Rural Companies will be reduced in five equal annual steps.

Over the five year period, the support will be reduced fifteen and two-tenths percent (15.2%). Rural Companies' OUSF receipts will not be affected by line counts, per Order No. 13-162 in Docket UM 1481 Phase II dated May 2, 2013, page 4.

TABLE 2

			the state of the s	Contract the fact of the fact of the section of the	**************************************	Section and the fact of the principal in the section of the sectio	
Annual OUSF Support				and an decommend the photography of the product of the party of the pa	to produce the second s		
3 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Phase II Stipulation			Phase III Stipula	ition		
**************************************	2016	2017	2,018	2019	2020	2021	
Rural Companies	\$14,431,170	\$13,991,643	13,552,115	\$13,112,587	\$12,673,059	\$12,233,531	

This compares to the support levels for the Rural Companies prior to the Phase II Stipulation of \$15.7 million per year, a reduction of approximately 25%. It should be noted that the Rural Company support levels prior to the Phase II Stipulation were the result of prior Stipulations adopted by the Commission in docket UM 1017. In Phase II of this docket, Commission Staff submitted prefiled testimony that calculated a theoretical level of OUSF support for the Rural Companies under the approach previously adopted by the Commission in UM 1017 of over \$30 million per year in OUSF support.

12. The Parties have agreed to an OUSF surcharge cap. The OUSF surcharge will be capped at 9.5% beginning January 1, 2017. If the surcharge would otherwise exceed 9.5%, a pro rata reduction in support until the 9.5% cap is reached will be determined for the Rural Companies in aggregate and the Non-Rural Companies. The

adjusted aggregate support amount for the Rural Companies will be allocated to them based on their relative shares of support received (modified for any re-allocation of funding per provisions of Paragraph 23, below) to the total adjusted support amount.

- 13. In addition, the Parties agree that the Stipulation resolves the following issues in this Docket:
- (a) Accountability for the non-rural companies.
- (b) Consideration of a methodology for allocation of ILEC network costs between basic telephone service and other services.
- (c) Consideration of a methodology for identifying areas in which there is unsubsidized competition and whether OUSF support should be provided in such areas.
- (d) Any other issues reasonably related to issues (a) through (c), above.

Issues (b) and (c) are focused on controlling the size of the OUSF. The reductions set out in the Stipulation accomplish that goal.

- 14. In light of the five-year term of this Stipulation, the Parties request that the Commission cancel any directions to perform a triennial review to calculate per line support that may be contained in its prior orders. See, e.g., Order No. 03-082 in Docket UM 1017.
- 15. It is recognized that the Warm Springs Telecommunications Company is in a unique situation as it builds a new network to serve the Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs Reservation and surrounding area. Pursuant to Order No. 13-162, Warm Springs Telecommunications Company will be capped at \$1,500,000 per year from the OUSF. Beginning with calendar year 2017 and each year thereafter for a period of five

(5) years, Warm Springs Telecommunications Company's adjusted cap will be calculated by reducing the \$1,500,000 cap by three percent (3%) per year.

[Intentionally left blank]

- 16. For the period January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2021, a qualified CLEC that is designated as an ETC for purposes of OUSF will draw at the ILEC per-line amount for the area it serves. The ILEC per line support amount will begin with the base per-line support value contained in the "Base Per Line Support Amounts," which is found on the PUC website. The per-line amount will be adjusted to reflect the percent reduction that has occurred for the specific non-rural company that owns the wire center between 2016 and the date the support amount is being calculated. This reduction method does not apply to the Warm Springs wire center.
- 17. The Parties agree that after 2021, the OUSF support shall continue at the 2021 level, subject to the OUSF surcharge cap of 9.5 percent, unless the Commission orders otherwise.
- 18. The Parties recommend that the Commission should review the OUSF during 2019 to determine if something other than as set out in Paragraph 17 above, should occur after the term of this Stipulation.

- 19. The companies represented by the signing parties and the affiliates of those companies or their parent company, as applicable, will collect the OUSF surcharge based on the company's intrastate retail revenues (including, but not limited to, revenues from Voice over Internet Protocol) and pay that amount to the OUSF. This obligation will commence January 1, 2017.
- 20. The Parties recommend that the Commission continue to have its Staff perform the following functions: 1) monitor company quarterly OUSF fillings of intrastate telecommunications revenues to ensure the reporting of such information is correct and that the companies are applying the surcharge to all local exchange service revenues; 2) continue to investigate company filings where there appear to be discrepancies; and 3) develop recommendations on how to reduce the surcharge rate, within the boundaries set by statute. However, the scope of recommendations related to reducing the surcharge rate will not include reducing the amounts of distributions as set out in this Stipulation during the term of this Stipulation.
- 21. In Order 00-312 in Docket UM 731, Non-Rural Companies were directed to use OUSF support to ensure that basic telephone service is available at a reasonable and affordable rate. In Order 03-082 in Docket UM 1017, Rural Companies were ordered to use OUSF support for the same purpose. The Parties agree to recommend to the Commission that the Commission modify the purpose for which OUSF support is to be used, pursuant to the powers granted to it by ORS 759.425. Beginning January 2017,

the use of the OUSF will be for the following: investment, construction, operation, maintenance, and repair to ensure that basic telephone service is available at reasonable and affordable rates. The use of the funds will be restricted geographically to the non-rural high-cost areas established by order No. 12-065 for reporting purposes and to the areas served by the Rural Companies.

The Parties agree to recommend to the Commission that the amounts of OUSF support for each Rural Company within the agreed amount set forth in Paragraph 5 of this Stipulation will be subject to review to ensure that relative support amounts for each company are consistent with the model-based process laid out in Order 03-082, Appendix B. Unless there is an unresolved dispute, the first review will be completed by September 30, 2015 and will be effective beginning January 1, 2017. Similarly, the second review will be completed by September 30, 2018 and will be effective beginning January 1, 2020. The reviews will be conducted by the Commission Staff in conjunction with the Rural Companies. The model will be updated to reflect changes in the federal support programs. For each of the reviews, the model will incorporate a company's projected investment, reserve, and expense inputs. Should a company disagree with the model results, the company will present Commission Staff with support for its position and Staff and the company will enter into negotiations to develop an agreed re-allocation. If Staff and the affected company(ies) cannot reach agreement, the dispute will be set for hearing. In the case of an unresolved dispute, the re-allocation will go into effect in January of the respective adjustment year and adjustments will be made to the re-allocation when the dispute is resolved. If any re-allocation results in a Rural Company receiving a twenty percent or greater reduction in OUSF support in one period, such reduction shall be phased-in using a three year, equal step, glide path. Any reduction in support to a Rural Company shall be passed on to the other Rural Companies as an increase in their support according to their relative draw from the OUSF.

B. THE STIPULATION IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST

- 23. The Parties agree that the Stipulation results in a settlement of the issues in Phase III of this docket. The Stipulation fulfills the requirements that the universal service fund established and implemented by the Commission be used "to ensure basic telephone service is available at a reasonable and affordable rate." By approving the Stipulation, the Commission will continue to provide support for universal service, provide carriers with clarity and certainty about funding levels for a five-year period beginning after the end of the time covered by the Phase II Stipulation, and stabilize the financial burden on Oregon consumers that contribute to the program.
- 24. The Parties agree that the terms of the Stipulation meet the requirements of the statute. The Stipulation is a reasonable compromise among the Parties and, by

⁹ ORS 759.425(1).

entering into it, the Parties have avoided litigation that would have created uncertainty and delayed the benefits of the Stipulation.

C. THE COMMISSION SHOULD APPROVE THE STIPULATION

25. The Parties request that the Commission adopt the Stipulation with no changes. The Parties have agreed to the Stipulation as an integrated document. Within 15 days of the filing of a stipulation, a party not entering into the stipulation may file written objections to the stipulation or request a hearing, pursuant to OAR 860-001-0350(8). If there are no objections, the Commission should approve the Stipulation promptly.

III. PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

The Parties waive cross examination of one another at any hearing held to consider this Motion and Stipulation. In the event that Phase III of this docket resumes, the Parties agree to work cooperatively to develop a new procedural schedule taking into consideration the delay resulting from the filing and consideration of this Motion and Stipulation.

CONCLUSION

Therefore, the Parties, respectfully hereby, request that the Commission approve the attached Stipulation without modification or condition.

DATED: September 2014	
Qwest Corporation d/b/a CenturyLink QC,	Frontier Communications Northwest
CenturyTel of Oregon, Inc. d/b/a	Inc.,
CenturyLink,	Citizens Telecommunications Compan
CenturyTel of Eastern Oregon, Inc.	of Oregon
d/b/a CenturyLink,	
United Telephone Company of the	By:
Northwest (/b/a Century Link	By: George Thomson
By: NSUN	Attorney for Frontier
William E. Hendricks III	
Attorney for CenturyLink	Date:
Date: 4/17/2014	
The state of the s	
Oregon Telecommunications Association	Oregon Cable Telecommunications Association*
and the control of th	
Ву:	Ву:
Richard A. Finnigan	Mark Trinchero
Attorney for OTA	Of Attorneys for OCTA
Date:	Date:

DATED: September, 2014			
Qwest Corporation d/b/a CenturyLink QC CenturyTel of Oregon, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink, CenturyTel of Eastern Oregon, Inc.	Frontier Communications Northwest Inc., Citizens Telecommunications Company of Oregon		
d/b/a CenturyLink, United Telephone Company of the Northwest d/b/a CenturyLink	By: George Thomson		
By: William E. Hendricks III	Attorney for Frontier		
Attorney for CenturyLink	Date: 9/12/14		
Date:			
Oregon Telecommunications Association	Oregon Cable Telecommunications Association*		
By: Richard A. Finnigan	By: Mark Trinchero		
Attorney for OTA	Of Attorneys for OCTA		
Date:	Date:		

^{*}OCTA is signing on behalf of its members other than Charter and BendBroadband.

DATED: September, 2014	
Qwest Corporation d/b/a CenturyLink QC, CenturyTel of Oregon, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink, CenturyTel of Eastern Oregon, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink, United Telephone Company of the Northwest d/b/a CenturyLink	Frontier Communications Northwest Inc., Citizens Telecommunications Company of Oregon By: George Thomson
By: William E. Hendricks III	Attorney for Frontier
Attorney for CenturyLink	Date:
Date:	
Oregon Telecommunications Association	Oregon Cable Telecommunications Association*
By: Free Richard A. Finnigan	By: Mark Trinchero
Attorney for OTA	Of Attorneys for OCTA
Date: 9/18/2014	Date:

 $^{{}^{*}\}mathrm{OCTA}$ is signing on behalf of its members other than Charter and BendBroadband.

DATED: September, 2014	
Qwest Corporation d/b/a CenturyLink QC, CenturyTel of Oregon, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink, CenturyTel of Eastern Oregon, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink,	Frontier Communications Northwest Inc., Citizens Telecommunications Company of Oregon
United Telephone Company of the	By:
Northwest d/b/a CenturyLink	By: George Thomson
By: William E. Hendricks III	Attorney for Frontier
Attorney for CenturyLink	Date:
Date:	
Oregon Telecommunications Association	Oregon Cable Telecommunications Association*
By: Richard A. Finnigan	By: Mark Trinchero
Attorney for OTA	Of Attorneys for OCTA
Date:	Date: September 12, 2014

Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon	Public Utility Commission of Oregon Staff
Ву:	By: Jason W. Jones
G. Catriona McCracken Sommek Kamput	Jason W. Jones
Of Attorneys for CUB	Of Attorneys for the Public Utility Commission of Oregon Staff
Date: 9/17/14	Date:
Warm Springs Telecommunications Company	GVNW CONSULTING, INC.
Ву:	Ву:
By: Marsha Spellman, JD	James Rennard
Regulatory Director for Warm Springs Telecommunications Company	Date:
Date:	

Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon	Public Utility Commission of Oregon Staff
By: G. Catriona McCracken	By. Milo L. Fason W. Jones Jalon Form
Of Attorneys for CUB	Of Attorneys for the Public Utility Commission of Oregon Staff
Date:	Date: 7/12/14
Warm Springs Telecommunications Company	GVNW CONSULTING, INC.
By:	By:
Regulatory Director for Warm Springs Telecommunications Company	Date:
Date:	

Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon	Public Utility Commission of Oregon Staff
By: G. Catriona McCracken	By: Jason W. Jones
	Justic VII Jores
Of Attorneys for CUB	Of Attorneys for the Public Utility Commission of Oregon Staff
Date:	Date:
Warm Springs Telecommunications Company	GVNW CONSULTING, INC.
By Marsha Spellman, JD	By: James Rennard
Regulatory Director for Warm Springs Telecommunications Company	Date:
Olio Land	

Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon	Public Utility Commission of Oregon Staff
By: G. Catriona McCracken	By: Jason W. Jones
G. Catriona McCracken	Jason W. Jones
Of Attorneys for CUB	Of Attorneys for the Public Utility
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Commission of Oregon Staff
Date:	Date:
Warm Springs Telecommunications	GVNW CONSULTING, INC.
Company	
	a 1
Ву:	By: Jane (Lemmin) he
Marsha Spellman, JD	By: <u>James Rennand</u> jhy
Regulatory Director for Warm Springs	Date: 9/12/14
Telecommunications Company	

Date:

MCI Communications Services, Inc. d/b/a tw telecom of oregon lic Verizon Business Services, MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC d/b/a Verizon Access Transmission Services, TTI National, Inc., Teleconnect Long Distance Services and Systems Co. d/b/a/Telecom *USA, Version Select Services Inc., Verizon Enterprises Solutions LLC, Verizon Long Distance LLC

By: Mark Trinchero

Attorney for tw telecom of oregon llc

Date:

Attorney for Verizon

ber 18,2014

MCI Communications Services, Inc. d/b/a
Verizon Business Services,
MCImetro Access Transmission Services
LLC d/b/a Verizon Access Transmission
Services,
TTI National, Inc,.
Teleconnect Long Distance Services and
Systems Co. d/b/a/ Telecom *USA,
Version Select Services Inc.,
Verizon Enterprises Solutions LLC,
Verizon Long Distance LLC

By:	
Richard B. Severy	
Attorney for Verizon	
Date:	

tw telecom of oregon llc

y: //faw// Mark Trinchero

Attorney for tw telecom of oregon llc

Date: September 12, 2014

UM 1481 Phase III Stipulation

- ٣ accordingly and OUSF support for said companies in 2016 shall be as set out in Paragraph 5, footnote 2, the term of the UM 1481 Phase Π Stipulation adopted in Order No. 13-162 is modified begin on January 1, 2017 and end on December 31, 2021. As a result, for Rural Companies, see Except to the extent expressly provided herein, this Stipulation has a five-year term which will
- 7 this will result in a decrease of twenty-seven and one-half percent (27.5%). Non-Rural Companies Northwest, the funding will be reduced in five equal annual steps. Over the life of the stipulation Rural Companies, consisting of \$10.5 million for Qwest Corporation and \$7.0 million for Frontier Beginning with the 2016 OUSF funding level of \$17.5 million of annual OUSF support for Noninfluence the trigger for the surcharge cap set forth in Paragraph 6 below. OUSF receipts will not be affected by line counts, except to the extent that line counts may
- \odot following schedule: The phase-down of OUSF support for the Non-Rural Companies will occur each January according to the

STANDAGMONOMONOMONOMONOMONOMO	\$16.537.500 \$15.575.000 \$	Owest Corporation \$10,500,000 \$9,922,500 \$9,345,000 \$8,767,500	Frontier Northwest \$7,000,000 \$6,615,000 \$6,230,000 \$5,845,000	2016 2017 2018 2019	Phase II Stipulation Phase III Stipulation	Annual OUSF Support
sa rawe manasa di a menona meralim memenana di dia menona di manasa di manasa di manasa di manasa di manasa di	\$14,612,500	\$8,767,500	\$5,845,000	2019	hase III Stipula	and considerate and considerate and the constant of the consta
A Control of the Cont	\$13,650,000	\$8,190,000	\$5,460,000	2020		
voissassonian on annual out and an annual of the second of	\$12,687,500	\$7,612,500	\$5,075,000	2021	MATERIAL PROGRAMMENT OF THE CONTRACT OF THE CO	an a commander annual agreed agreed from a community (Special agreed agreed agreed to a first agreed to a trans-

¹ The Non Rural Companies are Qwest Corporation d/b/a CenturyLink QC and Frontier Communications Northwest Inc. ("Frontier Northwest").

- 4 dated May 2, 2013, page 4 stipulation this will result in a decrease in the level of support of fifteen and two-tenths percent (15.2%). Rural Companies' OUSF receipts will not be affected by line counts, per Order No. 13-162 in Docket UM 1481 Phase II Beginning with the 2016 calendar year OUSF funding level of \$14,431,170 of annual OUSF support for Rural Companies,² the funding will be reduced in five equal steps, taking effect annually. Over the life of the
- (ড schedule: The phase-down of OUSF support for the Rural Companies will occur each January according to the following

Rural Companies \$14,431,170 \$13,991,643 13,552,115 \$13,112,587 \$12,6	2016	Phase II Stipulation Phase III Stipulation	Annual OUSF Support
13,552,1	2017 2,018	ACCOUNTS ACCOUNTS ACCOUNTS OF A COMMENCACION OF A COMMENCACION OF A CONTRACTOR	
13,552,115 \$13,112,587)18 2019	Phase III Stipulation	
\$12,673,059	2020	Ition	And the state of t
73,059 \$12,233,531	2020 2021	VPRICYAPPRIMACOCARIONALA ALIANA MANAGAMANA ALIANA A	

9 be allocated to them based on their relative shares of support received (modified for any re-allocation of funding 9.5%, a pro rata reduction in support until the 9.5% cap is reached will be determined for the Rural Companies in per provisions of Paragraph 16, below) to the total adjusted support amount. aggregate and the Non-Rural Companies. The adjusted aggregate support amount for the Rural Companies will The OUSF surcharge will be capped at 9.5% beginning January 1, 2017. If the surcharge would otherwise exceed

Mutual Telephone Association, Stayton Cooperative Telephone Company, Trans-Cascades Telephone Company d/b/a Reliance Connects, and United Telephone Telephone Co., Pine Telephone Systems, Inc., Pioneer Telephone Cooperative, Roome Telecommunications Inc., St. Paul Cooperative Telephone Association, Scio Communications Company, Monitor Cooperative Telephone Company, Monroe Telephone Company, Mt. Angel Telephone Company, Nehalem Utilities, Inc., d/b/a Reliance Connects, CenturyTel of Oregon, Inc., d/b/a CenturyLink, CenturyTel of Eastern Oregon, Inc., d/b/a CenturyLink, Citizens Asotin Telephone Company d/b/a TDS Telecom, Beaver Creek Cooperative Telephone Company, Canby Telephone Association d/b/a Canby Telecom, Cascade Company of the Northwest d/b/a CenturyLink Telecommunications, Inc., d/b/a RTI Nehalem Telecom, North-State Telephone Co., Oregon-Idaho Utilities, Inc., Oregon Telephone Corporation, People's Gervais Telephone Company, Helix Telephone Company, Home Telephone Company d'b/a TDS Telecom, Molalla Telephone Company d'b/a Molalla Telecommunications Company of Oregon, Clear Creek Mutual Telephone Company, Colton Telephone Company d/b/a ColtonTel, Eagle Telephone System, Inc.,

- S perform a triennial review that may be contained in its prior orders. See, e.g., Order No. 03-082 in Docket UM In light of the five-year term of this Stipulation, the Parties request that the Commission cancel any directions to
- 8) This Stipulation resolves the following issues:
- (a) Accountability for the Non-Rural Companies. See the UM 1481(III)(A) stipulation found in Attachment 2 for proposed terms
- (b) Consideration of a methodology for allocation of ILEC network costs between basic telephone service and other services.
- (c) Consideration of a methodology for identifying areas in which there is unsubsidized competition and whether OUSF support should be provided in such areas
- (d) Any other issues reasonably related to issues (a) through (c), above.
- 9 occurred for the specific non-rural company that owns the wire center between 2016 and the date the support which is found on the PUC website. The per-line amount will be adjusted to reflect the percent reduction that has For the period January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2021, a qualified CLEC that is designated as an ETC for amount is being calculated. This reduction method does not apply to the Warm Springs wire center. amount will begin with the base per-line support value contained in the "Base Per Line Support Amounts," purposes of OUSF will draw at the ILEC per-line amount for the area it serves. The ILEC per line support
- 10) (3%) per year. network to serve the Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs Reservation and surrounding area. Pursuant to It is recognized that the Warm Springs Telecommunications Company is in a unique situation as it builds a new Telecommunications Company's adjusted cap will be calculated by reducing the \$1,500,000 cap by three percent OUSF. Beginning with calendar year 2017 and each year thereafter for a period of five (5) years, Warm Springs Order No. 13-162, Warm Springs Telecommunications Company will be capped at \$1,500,000 per year from the

- 11) not limited to, revenues from Voice over Internet Protocol) and pay that amount to the OUSF as applicable, will collect the OUSF surcharge based on the company's intrastate retail revenues (including, but The companies represented by the signing parties and the affiliates of those companies or their parent company,
- <u>[</u>2 percent, unless the Commission orders otherwise. After 2021, the OUSF support shall continue at the 2021 level of funding, subject to the OUSF surcharge cap of 9.5
- 13) other than as set out in Paragraph 12, above, should occur after the term of this Stipulation. The Parties agree to recommend to the Commission that the OUSF be reviewed in 2019 to determine if something
- 14) of recommendations related to reducing the surcharge rate will not include reducing the amounts of distributions recommendations on how to reduce the surcharge rate, within the boundaries set by statute. However, the scope revenues; 2) continue to investigate company filings where there appear to be discrepancies; and, 3) develop such information is correct and that the companies are applying the surcharge to all local exchange service monitor company quarterly OUSF filings of intrastate telecommunications revenues to ensure the reporting of as set out in this Stipulation during the term of this Stipulation. The Parties recommend that the Commission continue to have its Staff perform the following functions: 1)
- <u>15</u> service is available at reasonable and affordable rates. The use of the funds will be restricted geographically to following purpose: investment, construction, operation, maintenance, and repair to ensure that basic telephone powers granted to it by ORS 759.425. Beginning January 2017, the use of the OUSF funds will be for the the Commission that the Commission modify the purpose for which OUSF support is to be used, pursuant to the Rural Companies were ordered to use OUSF support for the same purpose. The Parties agree to recommend to basic telephone service is available at a reasonable and affordable rate. In Order No. 03-082 in Docket UM 1017, In Order 00-312 in Docket UM 731, Non-Rural Companies were directed to use OUSF support to ensure that

the Rural Companies the non-rural high-cost areas established by Order No. 12-065 for reporting purposes and to the areas served by

- 16) expense inputs. Should a company disagree with the model results, the company will present the programs. For each of the reviews, the model will incorporate a company's projected investment, reserve, and conjunction with the Rural Companies. The model will be updated to reflect changes in the federal support and will be effective beginning January 1, 2020. The reviews will be conducted by the Commission Staff in will be effective beginning January 1, 2017. Similarly, the second review will be completed by September 30, 2018 Appendix B. Unless there is an unresolved dispute, the first review will be completed by September 30, 2015 and relative support amounts for each company are consistent with the model-based process laid out in Order 03-082, a Rural Company shall be passed on to the other Rural Companies as an increase in their support according to period, such reduction shall be phased-in using a three year, equal step, glide path. Any reduction in support to allocation results in a Rural Company receiving a twenty percent or greater reduction in OUSF support in one adjustment year and adjustments will be made to the re-allocation when the dispute is resolved. If any rehearing. In the case of an unresolved dispute, the re-allocation will go into effect in January of the respective an agreed re-allocation. If Staff and the affected company(ies) cannot reach agreement, the dispute will be set for Commission Staff with support for its position and Staff and the company will enter into negotiations to develop within the agreed amount set forth in Paragraph 5 of this Stipulation will be subject to review to ensure that The Parties agree to recommend to the Commission that the amounts of OUSF support for each Rural Company their relative draw from the OUSF
- 13 whatever arguments it deems appropriate in any docket resulting from the filing of the aforementioned petition denies or takes other appropriate final action upon the petition. Finally, each Party reserves the right to make has filed a petition as described above, but will continue until the Commission issues a final order that grants, Stipulation. The Parties further agree that the Stipulation will not automatically terminate merely because a Party substantive change in Oregon law that materially affects the terms of this Stipulation or there is a substantive The Parties agree that any Party may file a petition to request Commission review of this Stipulation if there is change in federal law or Federal Communications Commission precedent that materially affects the terms of the 🕒

GENERAL PROVISIONS

- 18) by the Commission The Parties understand that this Stipulation is not binding upon the Commission unless and until it is approved
- 19) Stipulation This Stipulation does not preclude a party from explaining, as a factual matter, what the Parties agreed to in this
- 20) no such prior understanding, agreement or representations shall be relied upon by any Party. and all prior oral or written understanding, agreements or representation related to this Stipulation, if any, and The Parties agree that this Stipulation represents the entire agreement of the Parties and that it supersedes any
- 21) The Parties shall cooperate in submitting this Stipulation promptly to the Commission for acceptance, and cooperate in supporting this Stipulation throughout the Commission's consideration of the Stipulation.
- 22) principles, methods, or theories employed in arriving at the terms of this Stipulation. Nor shall any Party be executing this Stipulation, no Party shall be deemed to have approved, admitted, or consented to the facts, proceeding, except to the extent expressly set forth in this Stipulation. deemed to have agreed that any provision of this Stipulation is appropriate for resolving issues in any other The Parties enter into this Stipulation to avoid further expense, inconvenience, uncertainty and delay. By
- <u>23</u> that Party document. A signed signature page that is faxed or emailed is acceptable as an original signature page signed by This Stipulation may be executed in counterparts and each signed counterpart shall constitute an original

This Stipulation is entered into by each Party as follows:

Qwest Corporation d/b/a CenturyLink QC,	Frontier Communications Northwest
CenturyTel of Oregon, Inc. d/b/a	Inc.,
CenturyLink,	Citizens Telecommunications Company
CenturyTel of Eastern Oregon, Inc.	of Oregon
d/b/a CenturyLink,	
United Telephone Company of the	Ву:
Northwest d/b/a CenturyLink	George Thomson
By: Mark Leynold for R. S. (Steve) Davis	Assoc. General Counsel
Executive Vice President Public Affairs & Regulatory Policy	Date:
Date:	
Oregon Telecommunications Association	Oregon Cable Telecommunications Association*
By: Richard A. Firmigan	By:
Attorney for OTA	Of Attorneys for OCTA
Date:	Date:

Qwest Corporation d/b/a CenturyLink QC,	
CenturyLink, CenturyTel of Eastern Oregon, Inc.	Citizens Telecommunications Company of Oregon
d/b/a CenturyLink, United Telephone Company of the Northwest d/b/a CenturyLink	By: George Thomson
By:	Assoc. General Counsel
Steve R. Davis	
Executive Vice President Public Affairs &	Date: 9/12/14
Regulatory Policy	
Date:	
Oregon Telecommunications Association	n Oregon Cable Telecommunications Association*
By: Richard A. Finnigan	By: Mark Trinchero
Attorney for OTA	Of Attorneys for OCTA
Dafe	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Qwest Corporation d/b/a CenturyLink QC,	Frontier Communications Northwest
Century Tel of Oregon, Inc. d/b/a	Inc.,
CenturyLink,	Citizens Telecommunications Company
CenturyTel of Eastern Oregon, Inc.	of Oregon
d/b/a CenturyLink,	й г.
Northwest d/b/a CenturyLink	George Thomson
Bv:	Assoc, General Counsel
R. S. (Steve) Davis	
Executive Vice President Public Affairs & Regulatory Policy	Date:
Date:	
Oregon Telecommunications Association	Oregon Cable Telecommunications Association*
By: Che HTTP	Ву:
Richard A. Finnigan	Mark Trinchero
Attorney for OTA	Of Attorneys for OCTA
Date: \(\frac{\(\frac{1}{2}\) \(\frac{2}{2}\)	Date:

Owest Corporation d/b/a CenturyLink QC,	Frontier Communications Northwest
CenturyTel of Oregon, Inc. d/b/a	Inc.
CenturyLink,	Citizens Telecommunications Company
Century Tel of Eastern Oregon, Inc.	of Oregon
d/b/a CenturyLink,	
United Telephone Company of the	By:
Northwest d'bla CenturyLink	George Thomson
Βγ.	Assoc General Counsel
R. S. (Steve) Davis	
Executive Vice President Public Affairs &	Date:
кедиакту голку	
Date:	
Oregon Telecommunications Association	Oregon Cable Telecommunications Association*
Richard A. Finnigan	Mark Trinchero
Attorney for OTA	Of Aftorneys for OCTA
Date:	Date Suppose 12, CO14

*OCTA is signing on behalf of its members other than Charter and BendBroadband.

Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon	Public Utility Commission of Oregon Staff
By: BNWWA-J	Ву:
Sunance Tong Life	Jason W. Jones
Date: 91714	Of Attorney for the Public Utility Commission of Oregon Staff
	Date:
Warm Springs Telecommunications Company	tw telecom of oregon IIc
By:	By:
Marsha Spellman, JD	Mark Trinchero
Regulatory Director for Warm Springs Telecommunications Company	Attorney for tw telecom of oregon lic
Date:	Date:
٠	

Citizens Utility Board of Oregon	Public Utility Commission of Oregon Start
By: G. Catriona McCracken	By: Mile Land for Jason W. Jones
Date:	Of Attorney for the Public Utility Commission of Oregon Staff Date: 7/2//4
Warm Springs Telecommunications Company	tw telecom of oregon llc
By: Marsha Spellman, JD	By:Mark Trinchero
Regulatory Director for Warm Springs Telecommunications Company	Attorney for tw telecom of oregon llc
Date:	Date:

Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon	Public Utility Commission of Oregon Staff
Ву:	Ву:
G. Catriona McCracken	Jason W. Jones
Date:	Of Attorney for the Public Utility Commission of Oregon Staff
	Date:
Warm Springs Telecommunications Company	tw telecom of oregon llc
By: Marsha Spellman, JD	By:Mark Trinchero
Regulatory Director for Warm Springs Telecommunications Company	Attorney for tw telecom of oregon llc
Date: 9/16/2014	Date:

Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon	Public Utility Commission of Oregon Staff
Ву:	By:
G. Catriona McCracken	Jason W. Jones
Date:	Of Attorney for the Public Utility Commission of Or
	Date:
Warm Springs Telecommunications Company	tw telecom of oregon llc
By: Marsha Spellman, JD	By: Mark Trinchero
Regulatory Director for Warm Springs Telecommunications Company	Attorney for tw telecom of oregon llc
Date:	Date: Systember 12, 2014

MCI Communications Services, Inc. d/b/a Verizon Business Services,	GVNW CONSULTING, INC
MCImetro Access Transmission Services	·
LLC d/b/a Verizon Access Transmission	By:
Services, TTI National, Inc.,	James Rennard
Teleconnect Long Distance Services and	•
Systems Co. d/b/a/ Telecom *USA,	Date:
Version Select Services Inc.,	
Verizon Enterprises Solutions LLC,	
Verizon Long Distance LLC	
By: Newl & Levery	
Richard B. Severy	

Verizon Business Services,

MCImetro Access Transmission Services

LLC d/b/a Verizon Access Transmission

Services, TTI National, Inc.,

Teleconnect Long Distance Services and

Systems Co. d/b/a/ Telecom *USA,

Version Select Services Inc.,

Verizon Enterprises Solutions LLC,

Verizon Long Distance LLC

MCI Communications Services, Inc. d/b/a

GVNW CONSULTING, INC.

By: James Remard

Richard B. Severy

UM 1481 Phase IIIa Stipulation

- 1. The Parties to this Stipulation are Qwest Corporation d/b/a CenturyLink QC, Frontier Communications Northwest, Inc., Public Utility Commission of Oregon Staff, and Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon.
- 2. The purpose of the reporting that the Parties agree to under this Stipulation is to modify current reporting of information regarding CenturyLink QC and Frontier Northwest Inc. ("Reporting Parties") expenses in areas that are eligible for Oregon Universal Service Fund (OUSF) support. Both Reporting Parties currently provide their investment activities and expenses by wire center in the areas eligible for support. The Stipulation modifies the reporting of expenses.
- 3. **Reporting.** The reporting under this Stipulation for the Reporting Parties will be as depicted in the attached Oregon Universal Service Fund Accountability Report. The report data will be retained for a period of three years and it will be made available for Staff to audit upon request.
- 4. **Filing.** The Parties agree that the Reporting Parties and Staff, at the time any of the report data is submitted to the Commission, may submit to the Commission an explanation regarding which set of data from the report reflect the appropriate method to estimate how OUSF funding is being directed to operating expenses in high cost areas. The Oregon Universal Service Fund Accountability Report, being dependent upon Form O data, will be submitted annually no later than June 1st or approximately 60 days following the submission of the Form O.
- 5. **Applicability.** The Parties agree that the data provided in the report cannot be used to change the non-rural OUSF support amounts agreed to in the stipulation in Phase II of this proceeding, approved by the Commission in Order 13-162, or the non-rural OUSF support amounts agreed to in the stipulation in Phase III of this proceeding.
- 6. **Term.** This Stipulation will have the same termination date as the stipulation settling Parts IIIb and IIIc of this proceeding.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

7. The Parties understand that this Stipulation is not binding upon the Commission unless and until it is approved by the Commission.

- 8. This Stipulation does not preclude a party from explaining, as a factual matter, what the Parties agreed to in this Stipulation.
- 9. The Parties agree that this Stipulation represents the entire agreement of the Parties and it supersedes any and all prior oral or written understandings, agreements or representation related to this Stipulation, if any, and no such prior understanding, agreement or representation shall be relied upon by any Party.
- 10. The Parties shall cooperate in submitting this Stipulation promptly to the Commission for acceptance, and cooperate in supporting this Stipulation throughout the Commission's consideration of the Stipulation.
- 11. The Parties enter into this Stipulation to avoid further expense, inconvenience, uncertainty and delay. By executing this Stipulation, no Party shall be deemed to have approved, admitted, or consented to the facts, principles, methods, or theories employed in arriving at the terms of this Stipulation. Nor shall any Party be deemed to have agreed that any provision of this Stipulation is appropriate for resolving issues in any other proceeding, except to the extent expressly set forth in this Stipulation.

12. This Stipulation may be executed in counterparts and each signed counterpart shall constitute an original document. A signed signature page that is faxed or emailed is acceptable as an original signature page signed by that Party.

CenturyLink QC	Frontier Communications
By:ACA	By:
William E. Hendricks	George Thompson
Senior Corporate Counsel	Associate General Counsel
Date: 9 17 8019	
en de la companya de La companya de la co	Date:
Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon	Public Utility Commission of Oregon Staf
Ву:	By:
G. Catriona McCracken	Jason W. Jones
Date	Date:

12. This Stipulation may be executed in counterparts and each signed counterpart shall constitute an original document. A signed signature page that is faxed or emailed is acceptable as an original signature page signed by that Party.

-CenturyLink QC	Frontier Communications
By: William E. Hendricks	By: George Thomson
Senior Corporate Counsel	Associate General Counsel
Date:	Date: 9/17/14
Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon	Public Utility Commission of Oregon Staff
By:	Ву:
G. Catriona McCracken	Jason W. Jones
Date	Date:

12. This Stipulation may be executed in counterparts and each signed counterpart shall constitute an original document. A signed signature page that is faxed or emailed is acceptable as an original signature page signed by that Party.

CenturyLink QC	Frontier Communications
Ву:	B ₁₇ ,
William E. Hendricks	By:George Thomson
Senior Corporate Counsel	Associate General Counsel
Date:	Date:
Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon	Public Utility Commission of Oregon Staff
ву: 8 7	Ву:
G. Catriona McCracken SWWMLTUMAN	Jason W. Jones
Date 9/11/14	Date:

12. This Stipulation may be executed in counterparts and each signed counterpart shall constitute an original document. A signed signature page that is faxed or emailed is acceptable as an original signature page signed by that Party.

CenturyLink QC	Frontier Communications
Ву:	By:
William E. Hendricks	George Thomson
Senior Corporate Counsel	Associate General Counsel
Date:	Date:
Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon	Public Utility Commission of Oregon Staff
Ву:	By: 24 265 for
G. Catriona McCracken	Jason W. Jones
Date	Date: Systembac 18, 2014

OREGON UNIVERSAL SERVICE FUND ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT COMPANY:

Expenses by Supported Wire Center

Estimated Plant Related
Estimated Labor
Wire Centers

Column A)

Occiumn A)

Idal Supported Wice

Idal Supported Wice

Estimated Plant Related
Estimated Plant Rela

Report 1:

Column A: Amounts estimated using actual work hours by wire center multiplied by a loaded labor rate.

Report 2:				
Estimated Network Expense: Plant Related Expenses Allocated to Wire Centers Using Technician Hours and Access Lines (Column A)	Estimated Network Expense: Provisioning & Network Operations Expenses Allocated to Wire Centers Using Access Lines (Column B)	Estimated Other Operating Expenses Allocated to Wire Centers Using Access Lines (Column C)	Estimated Depreciation Expense Allocated to Wire Centers Using Plant in Service (Column D)	Total of Columns A, B, C, and D (Column E)
				1 ,
				1 1
				t h
				b.
				1
				I
				-
				1
				1
				1
				1 1
				1
				,
				1
) ;
				1
				1
:				1
				-
				1
				1
				1
				1
				,
				1

Report 2:

Column A: Network related Costs: Based on booked expenses recorded in accounts 621X, 623X and 64XX reported in Form O. Amounts by wire center developed using tracked technician hours by wire center to allocate expenses.

Column B: Network related Costs: Based on booked Provisioning and Network Operations expense recorded in accounts 6512 and 653X reported in Form O. Amounts by wire center developed using expenses in these accounts allocated to wire centers based on access lines.

Column C: Based on booked expenses recorded in expense accounts 6110-6114, 6120-6124, 6610-6613, 662X, 672X and 7240 (Property Tax only) reported in Form O. Amounts by wire center developed using expenses in these accounts allocated to wire centers based on access lines.

Column D: Based on booked amounts recorded in accounts 6561 excluding subaccounts not tracked in Plant in Service report (e.g. Gen Purp Computers, Furniture, Office Equip, Tools & Oth Work Equip, Vehicles, Amortizable assets). Amounts by wire center developed based on Plant in Service balances.