

they must file an application with the Commission seeking approval of the agreement, or for approval of an amendment to an approved agreement on file with the Commission. The application must include the negotiated agreement and a completed Carrier-to-Carrier Agreement Checklist. A copy of the checklist is available on the Commission's website. The parties may also include any other supporting information with their application. The application and checklist must be filed electronically as required in OAR 860-001-0170.

(4) The Commission will approve or reject the agreement within 90 days of filing, with written findings as to any deficiencies. Prior to rejecting the agreement, the Commission will notify the negotiating parties of its intended action and provide an opportunity for the carriers to respond. The grounds for rejection are that the agreement:

(a) Discriminates against a carrier not a party to the agreement; or

(b) Is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. Applicable Commission policies will be a factor in public interest, convenience, and necessity determinations.

Analysis

As noted above, an interconnection agreement or amendment thereto is not legally enforceable until approved by a state commission. See 47 U.S.C. Sections 252(a) and (e). Accordingly, Staff notes that although the contracting parties may state in the agreement that each will abide by the agreement prior to its approval by the Commission, the legally enforceable date under 47 U.S.C. Section 252 of any submitted agreement or amendment is the date the Commission approves it.

Staff has reviewed the following new agreement and amendments organized by filing date and submitted for Commission approval:

Docket	Parties to the Agreement or Amendment
ARB 795(3)	Axxis Communications, Inc. and Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink QC
ARB 519(8)	ACN Communication Services, Inc. and Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink QC
ARB 519(9)	ACN Communication Services, Inc. and Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink QC
ARB 1175(1)	Level 3 Communications, LLC and Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink QC
ARB 976(2)	dishNET Wireline, LLC (fka liberty-bell Telecom,

ARB 976(3) LLC) and Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink QC
dishNET Wireline, LLC (fka liberty-bell Telecom,
LLC) and Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink QC

ARB 775(3) Electric Lightwave LLC dba Allstream (fka
Eschelon Telecom of Oregon, Inc.) and Qwest
Corporation dba CenturyLink QC

ARB 443(17) Electric Lightwave LLC dba Allstream (fka Electric
Lightwave, Inc.) and Qwest Corporation dba
CenturyLink QC

ARB 1066(1) Electric Lightwave LLC dba Allstream (fka United
Communications, Inc.) and Qwest Corporation dba
CenturyLink QC

ARB 510(7) Electric Lightwave LLC dba Allstream (fka World
Communications, Inc.) and Qwest Corporation dba
CenturyLink QC

ARB 665(13) Level 3 Communications, LLC and Qwest
Corporation dba CenturyLink QC

ARB 1213(1) DISH Wireless, LLC and CenturyTel of Eastern
Oregon, Inc. dba CenturyLink; CenturyTel of
Oregon, Inc. dba CenturyLink

ARB 879(1) BCM One, Inc. and Qwest Corporation dba
CenturyLink QC

ARB 879(2) BCM One, Inc. and Qwest Corporation dba
CenturyLink QC

ARB 655(5) Metropolitan Telecommunications of Oregon Inc.
and Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink QC

ARB 655(6) Metropolitan Telecommunications of Oregon Inc.
and Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink QC

ARB 1097(1) Pacific Wave Communications (fka Pacific Wave
Communications, LLC) and Qwest Corporation
dba CenturyLink QC

ARB 1097(2) Pacific Wave Communications (fka Pacific Wave
Communications, LLC) and Qwest Corporation
dba CenturyLink QC

ARB 1214(1) DISH Wireless, LLC and United Telephone
Company of the Northwest dba CenturyLink

ARB 873(1) CenturyLink Communications LLC (fka CenturyTel
Solutions LLC) and Qwest Corporation dba
CenturyLink QC

ARB 873(2) CenturyLink Communications LLC (fka CenturyTel
Solutions LLC) and Qwest Corporation dba
CenturyLink QC

ARB 1157(5) AIRUS, Inc. and Qwest Corporation dba
CenturyLink QC

Staff recommends approval of the agreement and amendments. Staff finds that the new and amended agreement does not discriminate against non-party telecommunications carriers and does not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. Accordingly, Staff concludes that there is no basis under the Act to reject the agreement or amendments.

PROPOSED COMMISSION MOTION:

Approve the new negotiated interconnection agreement and amendments listed above.