

# Davison Van Cleve PC

Attorneys at Law

TEL (503) 241-7242 • FAX (503) 241-8160 • mail@dvclaw.com  
Suite 400  
333 S.W. Taylor  
Portland, OR 97204

October 27, 2006

*Via Electronic and U.S. Mail*

Public Utility Commission  
Attn: Filing Center  
550 Capitol St. NE #215  
P.O. Box 2148  
Salem OR 97308-2148

Re: In the Matter of PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY  
Request for a General Rate Revision  
**Docket Nos. UE 180/UE 181/UE 184**

Dear Filing Center:

Enclosed please find an original and six copies of the Prehearing Brief of the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities ("ICNU") in the above-referenced docket numbers.

Please return one file-stamped copy of each document in the self-addressed, stamped envelope provided. Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely yours,

/s/ Ruth A. Miller  
Ruth A. Miller

Enclosures

cc: Service List

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have this day served the foregoing Prehearing Brief of the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities upon the parties, on the official service list, by causing the same to be electronically served, to those parties with an email address, as well as mailed, postage-prepaid, through the U.S. Mail.

Dated at Portland, Oregon, this 27th day of October, 2006.

/s/ Ruth A. Miller  
Ruth A. Miller

JIM DEASON  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
1 SW COLUMBIA ST, SUITE 1600  
PORTLAND OR 97258-2014  
jimdeason@comcast.net

ROBERT VALDEZ  
PO BOX 2148  
SALEM OR 97308-2148  
bob.valdez@state.or.us

**AF LEGAL & CONSULTING SERVICES**  
ANN L FISHER  
PO BOX 25302  
PORTLAND OR 97298-0302  
energlaw@aol.com

**BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY**  
KURT J BOEHM  
36 E SEVENTH ST - STE 1510  
CINCINNATI OH 45202  
kboehm@bkllawfirm.com

**BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY**  
MICHAEL L. KURTZ  
36 E SEVENTH ST - STE 1510  
CINCINNATI OH 45202  
kboehm@bkllawfirm.com

**BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION**  
GEOFFREY M KRONICK LC7  
PO BOX 3621  
PORTLAND OR 97208-3621  
gmkronick@bpa.gov

**BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION**  
CRAIG SMITH  
PO BOX 3621--L7  
PORTLAND OR 97208-3621  
cmsmith@bpa.gov

**BRUBAKER & ASSOCIATES, INC.**  
JAMES T SELECKY  
1215 FERN RIDGE PKWY, SUITE 208  
ST. LOUIS MO 63141  
jtselecky@consultbai.com

**CABLE HUSTON BENEDICT HAAGENSEN & LLOYD LLP**  
TAMARA FAUCETTE  
1001 SW 5TH AVE STE 2000  
PORTLAND OR 97204  
tfaucette@chbh.com

**CABLE HUSTON BENEDICT HAAGENSEN & LLOYD, LLP**  
CHAD M STOKES  
1001 SW 5TH - STE 2000  
PORTLAND OR 97204  
cstokes@chbh.com

**CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON**

JASON EISDORFER  
610 SW BROADWAY - STE 308  
PORTLAND OR 97205  
jason@oregoncub.org

**CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON**

LOWREY R BROWN  
610 SW BROADWAY - STE 308  
PORTLAND OR 97205  
lowrey@oregoncub.org

**COMMUNITY ACTION DIRECTORS OF OREGON -**

JIM ABRAHAMSON  
PO BOX 7964  
SALEM OR 97301  
jim@cado-oregon.org

**CONSTELLATION NEW ENERGY INC**

WILLIAM H CHEN  
2175 N CALIFORNIA BLVD STE 300  
WALNUT CREEK CA 94596  
bill.chen@constellation.com

**DANIEL W MEEK ATTORNEY AT LAW**

DANIEL W MEEK  
10949 SW 4TH AVE  
PORTLAND OR 97219  
dan@meek.net

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

STEPHANIE S ANDRUS  
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL  
REGULATED UTILITY & BUSINESS SECTION  
1162 COURT ST NE  
SALEM OR 97301-4096  
stephanie.andrus@state.or.us

**EPCOR MERCHANT & CAPITAL (US) INC**

LORNE WHITTLES  
1161 W RIVER ST STE 250  
BOISE ID 83702  
lwhittles@epcor.ca

**GRESHAM CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE**

DAVID R. RIS  
SR. ASST. CITY ATTORNEY  
1333 NW EASTMAN PARKWAY  
GRESHAM, OR 97030  
david.ris@ci.gresham.or.us

**CITY OF GRESHAM**

JOHN HARRIS  
TRANSPORTATION OP'S SUPERINTENDENT  
1333 NW EASTMAN PARKWAY  
GRESHAM, OR 97030  
john.harris@ci.gresham.or.us

**KAFOURY & MCDUGAL**

LINDA K WILLIAMS  
10266 SW LANCASTER RD  
PORTLAND OR 97219-6305  
linda@lindawilliams.net

**LEAGUE OF OREGON CITIES**

ANDREA FOGUE  
PO BOX 928  
1201 COURT ST NE STE 200  
SALEM OR 97308  
afogue@orcities.org

**SMIGEL ANDERSON & SACKS**

SCOTT H DEBROFF  
RIVER CHASE OFFICE CENTER  
4431 NORTH FRONT ST  
HARRISBURG PA 17110  
sdebroy@sasllp.com

**MCDOWELL & ASSOCIATES PC**

ATHERINE A MCDOWELL  
520 SW SIXTH AVENUE, SUITE 830  
PORTLAND OR 97204  
katherine@mcd-law.com

**NORTHWEST ECONOMIC RESEARCH INC**

LON L PETERS  
607 SE MANCHESTER PLACE  
PORTLAND OR 97202  
lpeters@pacifier.com

Waive Paper Service

**NORTHWEST NATURAL GAS COMPANY**

ELISA M LARSON  
220 NW 2ND AVE  
PORTLAND OR 97209  
elisa.larson@nwnatural.com

**NORTHWEST NATURAL GAS COMPANY**

ALEX MILLER  
220 NW SECOND AVE  
PORTLAND OR 97209-3991  
alex.miller@nwnatural.com

**PACIFICORP**  
LAURA BEANE  
825 MULTNOMAH STE 800  
PORTLAND OR 97232-2153  
laura.beane@pacificorp.com

**PORTLAND CITY OF - OFFICE OF  
TRANSPORTATION**  
RICHARD GRAY  
1120 SW 5TH AVE RM 800  
PORTLAND OR 97204  
richard.gray@pdxtrans.org **Waive Paper Service**

**PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC  
RATES & REGULATORY AFFAIRS**  
121 SW SALMON ST 1WTC0702  
PORTLAND OR 97204  
pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com

**PRESTON GATES ELLIS LLP**  
HARVARD P SPIGAL 222 SW COLUMBIA ST  
STE 1400  
PORTLAND OR 97201-6632  
hspigal@prestongates.com

**SEMPRA GLOBAL**  
LINDA WRAZEN  
101 ASH ST, HQ8C  
SAN DIEGO CA 92101-3017  
lwrazen@sempraglobal.com

**PORTLAND CITY OF - OFFICE OF CITY  
ATTORNEY**  
BENJAMIN WALTERS  
1221 SW 4TH AVE - RM 430  
PORTLAND OR 97204  
bwalters@ci.portland.or.us **Waive Paper Service**

**PORTLAND CITY OF ENERGY OFFICE**  
DAVID TOOZE  
721 NW 9TH AVE -- SUITE 350  
PORTLAND OR 97209-3447  
dtooze@ci.portland.or.us **Waive Paper Service**

**PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC**  
DOUGLAS C TINGEY  
121 SW SALMON 1WTC13  
PORTLAND OR 97204  
doug.tingey@pgn.com

**SEMPRA GLOBAL**  
THEODORE E ROBERTS  
101 ASH ST HQ 13D  
SAN DIEGO CA 92101-3017  
troberts@sempra.com

**SMIGEL ANDERSON & SACKS**  
SCOTT H DEBROFF  
RIVER CHASE OFFICE CENTER  
4431 NORTH FRONT STREET  
HARRISBURG PA 17110  
sdebroy@sasllp.com

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
OF OREGON**

**UE 180/UE 181/UE 184**

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC	)	
COMPANY	)	
	)	
Request for a General Rate Revision	)	PREHEARING BRIEF OF ICNU
(UE 180),	)	
_____	)	
	)	
In the Matter of	)	
	)	
PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC	)	
COMPANY	)	
	)	
Annual Adjustments to Schedule 125 (2007	)	
RVM Filing) (UE 181),	)	
_____	)	
	)	
In the Matter of	)	
	)	
PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC	)	
COMPANY	)	
	)	
Request for a General Rate Revision relating	)	
to the Port Westward plant (UE 184).	)	
_____	)	

The Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities (“ICNU”) submits this prehearing brief, summarizing ICNU’s position on certain issues before the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (“OPUC” or the “Commission”) in these consolidated Dockets. The issues that Portland General Electric Company (“PGE” or the “Company”) raised in its initial filing have been

substantially narrowed as a result of multiple stipulations in this proceeding. ICNU's prehearing brief addresses the following issues:<sup>1/</sup>

1. Net variable power costs;
2. Forced outage rates;
3. PGE's proposed power cost adjustment mechanism (the annual variance tariff);
4. PGE's proposed annual update tariff;
5. Cost of capital, including capital structure and rate of return; and
6. PGE's proposed economic replacement power tariff.

PGE filed voluminous sur-surrebuttal testimony on October 25, 2006, in which the Company responded to issues raised by Staff and intervenors and put forth new information and proposals that the parties had not previously addressed. ICNU's prehearing brief does not, for the most part, discuss the issues and evidence raised in PGE's sur-surrebuttal testimony due to the voluminous nature of that filing and the short time interval prior to filing this brief.

#### **I. Net Variable Power Costs**

PGE's net variable power costs ("NVPC") are the variable production costs related to fuel and purchased power expenses, net of power sales revenue. PGE estimated its NVPC for this proceeding using its Monet production cost model. PGE requested approximately \$847.3 million in total NVPC in this case. ICNU proposed multiple adjustments that reduce PGE's requested NVPC by approximately \$16.7 million.

- ***Extrinsic Value Adjustment.*** ICNU proposes an adjustment related to PGE's failure to consider the extrinsic value of the Company's generating facilities in forecasting

---

<sup>1/</sup> The content and format for ICNU's brief is based on the guidance regarding prehearing briefs in previous dockets. See, e.g., Re PacifiCorp, OPUC Docket No. UE 170, Memorandum (June 14, 2005).

NVPC. PGE's Monet model uses a single point price forecast for fuel inputs rather than a stochastic model, which could be used to estimate the extrinsic value of marginal plants. The Commission should adopt an extrinsic value adjustment to account for increased sales from, and the off-loading of gas plants due to, market conditions. ICNU's proposed adjustment for PGE's generating facilities reduces net variable power costs by approximately \$5.9 million.

- ***Capacity Tolling Agreements.*** PGE includes \$3.0 million in NVPC for the demand charges for two capacity tolling agreements, the "cold snap" contract and the "super peak" contract. Based on the gas and electric market price projections that PGE included in Monet, the Company does not expect these contracts to dispatch in 2007. ICNU recommends that the Commission disallow the costs of at least one, if not both, of these agreements.

PGE's "cold snap" contract will not be used and useful to ratepayers in the rate year. The contract is not expected to dispatch in 2007, just as it was not dispatched in 2005 or 2006, even though PGE included the demand charges in power costs. The contract has no extrinsic value; it merely increases NVPC without providing an identifiable benefit. ICNU recommends disallowing the cost of the cold snap contract, which reduces NVPC by approximately \$1.8 million.

ICNU recommends that the Commission disallow the cost of PGE's "super peak" contract if the Commission rejects ICNU's extrinsic value adjustment described above. PGE justified the cost of the super peak contract on the basis of extrinsic value. As a result, determining that the super peak contract is prudent requires the Commission to accept extrinsic value analysis for ratemaking purposes. If the Commission rejects ICNU's extrinsic value

adjustment, then it should remove the super peak contract from rates. Removing the cost of the super peak contract reduces PGE's proposed NVPC by approximately \$1.4 million.

- ***Port Westward Dispatch Benefit.*** PGE underestimates the value of the Port Westward dispatch benefit. PGE's methodology is unrealistic because it assumes that the dispatch benefit is proportional to load, rather than relying on the dispatch cost of the unit and the forward curve. Incorporating more realistic assumptions regarding how much the plant will actually run in 2007 reduces PGE's proposed NVPC by approximately \$1.9 million. This adjustment would apply only after March 1, when PGE proposes to include Port Westward's costs in rates.

## **II. Forced Outage Rates**

ICNU recommends that the Commission reconsider using the four-year rolling average methodology to determine forced outage rate assumptions for thermal generating facilities. Using the four-year rolling average provides no incentive for utilities to improve plant reliability. Indeed, PGE's reliability record for thermal generating facilities in recent years exceeds the averages for comparable facilities according to National Electric Reliability Council ("NERC") outage data. As a result, PGE's actual outage rates do not reflect a normalized expectation of plant availability in the rate year.

ICNU recommends that the Commission adopt a methodology for developing forced outage rate assumptions that uses industry-wide statistics such as NERC data. Using objective, verifiable data will help to estimate power costs without relying on the efficiency of PGE's operations. ICNU witness Randy Falkenberg describes in his testimony a stochastic model that compares the outage rates of a utility's generation resources to those in a similar peer



group based on NERC national average data. Using NERC average outage rates would reduce PGE's proposed NVPC by approximately \$5.7 million.

Even if the Commission continues to use the four-year rolling average, PGE should not be allowed to include the 2005 Boardman outage in forced outage rates. PGE has not demonstrated that including the Boardman outage in forced outage rate assumptions will result in forced outage rates that reflect normalized plant availability in the rate year. Furthermore, PGE has not demonstrated that the Company acted prudently with respect to this outage, and the Commission should not include such an event in outage rate assumptions without first determining that the utility has acted prudently.

### **III. Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism (Annual Variance Tariff)**

The Commission should reject PGE's proposed annual variance tariff. PGE's proposal is merely the latest in a long line of Company-sponsored mechanisms that would inequitably shift the risk of power cost variations to customers. PGE's annual variance tariff would track the difference between forecasted NVPC and actual NVPC each year. In rejecting PGE's most recent proposal for a hydro-related power cost adjustment, the Commission stated that an acceptable mechanism must: 1) be limited to unusual events; 2) not adjust rates if overall earnings are reasonable; 3) be revenue neutral; and 4) operate over the long-term. Re PGE, OPUC Docket Nos. UE 165 and UM 1187, Order No. 05-1261 at 8 (Dec. 21, 2005). PGE's proposal fails to acknowledge these criteria in any meaningful manner.

In order to ensure that a PCA is limited to unusual events, the Commission stated that "[t]he inclusion of a deadband around expected power costs is a reasonable way to identify if an event is unusual." Id. at 9. PGE's proposed mechanism does not contain a deadband. Rather,

PGE proposes a 90/10 sharing of *all* variances in NVPC, whether unusual or not. Furthermore, PGE does not even address any sort of earnings test, revenue neutrality, or long-term operation. PGE's proposal effectively seeks to shift almost all risk of power cost variation to customers.

PGE's proposal also would not give the Company any incentive to address the actual causes of power cost variability and would violate the principles of price finality. Instead, adoption of a PCA would allow the Company to stand pat and insulate itself from the risk of power cost variation.

#### **IV. Annual Update Tariff**

In addition to the PCA, PGE proposes an Annual Update tariff that is similar to the current annual adjustment under the Resource Valuation Mechanism in Schedule 125. PGE claims that it needs the Annual Update tariff to help insulate the Company from year-to-year power cost variations as well as the Annual Variance tariff that addresses power cost variations within the year. PGE simply does not need both mechanisms. The goal of each tariff is to shift the risk of power cost variation to customers. ICNU urges the Commission to reject the Annual Update tariff, especially if the Commission authorizes a PCA.

#### **V. Cost of Capital and Return on Equity**

ICNU and the Citizens' Utility Board jointly sponsored Michael Gorman's testimony addressing cost of capital, return on equity, and rate of return issues. Mr. Gorman's total rate of return adjustments, if adopted, would reduce PGE's requested revenue requirement by \$27.5 million.

- **Capital Structure.** The Commission should reject PGE's proposed capital structure and adopt values that reflect a more reasonable balance of common equity and debt.

PGE's proposed capital structure consists of 55.96% common equity, 0.29% preferred equity, and 43.75% long-term debt. PGE's proposed 55.96% common equity is excessive and out of line with the capital structures for utilities both in the northwest and across the country. Mr. Gorman demonstrated that the typical capital structure includes an equity ratio between 40% and 50%. PGE's excessive common equity unreasonably inflates the overall rate of return and the claimed revenue deficiency in this proceeding, because common equity is the most expensive form of capital.

Mr. Gorman proposes a more reasonable capital structure of 50% common equity, 0.29% preferred stock, and 49.71% long-term debt. This capital structure is more comparable to the industry average and will allow PGE to maintain its current credit rating. Adopting Mr. Gorman's proposed capital structure reduces PGE's revenue requirement by \$11.6 million.

- ***Return on Equity.*** The utility's return on common equity is the return that investors expect, or require, in order to make an investment. PGE's proposed return on equity of 10.75% is excessive, because it relies on significantly overstating PGE's current cost of common equity. Mr. Gorman recommends a return on common equity of 9.9% for PGE and an overall rate of return of 8.3%. Mr. Gorman's recommended 9.9% return on equity is based on the midpoint of a range of 9.5% to 10.4% that was calculated using three separate rate of return modeling techniques: the Discounted Cash Flow Model, the Risk Premium Model, and the Capital Asset Pricing Model. A 9.9% return on equity will allow PGE to maintain its financial integrity and will support the Company's current bond rating. These adjustments reduce the Company's requested revenue requirement by \$15.9 million.

## **VI. Economic Replacement Power Tariff**

The Commission should adopt ICNU's proposed pricing options for a partial-requirements customer that elects to take economic replacement power. A partial-requirements customer is an industrial customer that has its load served by both PGE and their own generation resource. Economic replacement power is a service that provides a partial-requirements customer the opportunity to purchase power from PGE at market prices when it is more economical than running their own generation. The parties agree that economic replacement power is an important aspect of partial-requirements service, but have proposed different pricing options.

In direct and rebuttal testimony, PGE proposed to continue using its current economic replacement power tariff that allows a partial requirements customer to purchase power in hourly increments that is priced at the Dow Jones Mid-Columbia Hourly Price Index, plus a 5% adder, wheeling and losses. The hourly index price is a real-time price that is not known until after the fact. Use of a real-time price makes it difficult for a partial-requirements customer to determine whether buying economic replacement energy is an economic option. In surrebuttal testimony, PGE proposed using PacifiCorp's economic replacement power tariff. PacifiCorp's economic replacement power tariff provides a partial requirements customer with the option to purchase replacement power one day, month or quarter ahead. PacifiCorp's economic replacement power tariff provides more price certainty, but imposes significant transactional costs for use of economic replacement power (especially for non-block purchases), and provides less flexibility than PGE's existing economic replacement power tariff.

ICNU has proposed new options that would replace PGE's economic replacement power tariff. Specifically, ICNU proposes to: 1) substitute the daily-market pricing option under proposed Schedules 83/89 for the hourly market pricing provisions in PGE's proposal; 2) allow partial-requirements customers to use direct access service to purchase economic replacement power in the same manner as the buy-through arrangements in Schedule 576R; and 3) allow partial-requirements customers to purchase Schedule 87, Experimental Real Time Pricing Service economic replacement power, subject to the provisions of that experimental tariff, which impose limitations on size and the number of customers. These proposals are similar to the existing market pricing options available to PGE's other industrial customers and do not unduly shift risk to PGE or its other customers.

## **VII. Conclusion**

ICNU appreciates the opportunity to comment on the issues in this case and urges the Commission to adopt ICNU's proposed adjustments to establish just and reasonable rates.

Dated this 27th day of October, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

DAVISON VAN CLEVE, P.C.

/s/ Matthew Perkins

S. Bradley Van Cleve

Matthew Perkins

333 S.W. Taylor, Suite 400

Portland, Oregon 97204

(503) 241-7242 phone

(503) 241-8160 facsimile

mail@dvclaw.com

Of Attorneys for the Industrial Customers of  
Northwest Utilities