

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

LC 70

In the Matter of

PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER,
2019 Integrated Resource Plan.

NOTICE OF SPECIAL PUBLIC
MEETING AND AGENDA

The Commission will hold a special public meeting to review the relationship between PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power's transmission planning and its Integrated Resource Plan (IRP), as described in Orders No. 20-186 and 20-473. This notice contains the Zoom meeting information and an agenda for our discussion.

DATE: February 11, 2021

TIME: 1:30 p.m. – 4:30 p.m.

MEETING TYPE: Public Hearing and Commission Work Session

PARTICIPATION: Zoom Meeting

<https://opuc-state-or-us.zoom.us/j/85390583209?pwd=SDBKcWZJekdWUTd1N1R4STBuUEJzZz09>

Dial-In: 971-247-1195

Meeting ID: 853 9058 3209

Passcode: 8502337570

AGENDA:

1. Overview of PacifiCorp Transmission Projects and Potential for Assessment of Alternatives:
 - a. **Long Term Transmission Plan** — PacifiCorp is asked to provide an overview of the projects in its long term transmission plan and explain whether each project is in its plan to meet load forecasts.
 - b. **IRP List of Optional Upgrades** — PacifiCorp is asked to provide an overview of the transmission upgrades available to the IRP model in the 2019 or 2021 IRP, categorizing the projects as driven by economics, customer requests, reliability, or to serve retail load.
 - c. **Visibility into Significant Costs** — PacifiCorp is asked to address how it provides the Commission with visibility into plans for transmission projects that will involve acknowledgement and will have significant retail customer costs, and how such visibility could be improved.

- d. **Non Wires Alternative** — PacifiCorp is asked to address what a non-wires assessment would look like in the IRP, and how lower-cost options may be considered against larger, higher cost upgrades in the IRP.

2. How the Upgrades are Compared in the IRP:

- a. **Modeling Process** — PacifiCorp is asked to explain how the IRP evaluates the transmission options. PacifiCorp should explain whether the IRP models make a distinction on the drivers (economics, reliability, signed contracts, native load service) of the different transmission upgrades.
- b. **Analysis on the Economics of Upgrades** — PacifiCorp is asked to address whether IRP modeling selects transmission upgrades from lowest to highest cost, or if the IRP chooses transmission based on electrical significance, need, or other benefits.
- c. **Action Plan** — PacifiCorp is asked to address how it decides what transmission upgrades are included in its action plan. PacifiCorp should address whether Commission acknowledgement is needed for long term transmission plan projects if those projects are not selected in the preferred portfolio.

3. Questions and Roundtable Discussion:

- a. We ask that PacifiCorp’s slides include discussion of the below issues. During the meeting these issues may be part of the earlier discussion or discussed separately:
 - i. Interaction between Large Generator Interconnection Agreements and the IRP;
 - ii. Wheeling revenues – rate treatment alignment with IRP modeling;
 - iii. IRP modeling of Boardman to Hemingway line;
 - iv. Nodal pricing congestion costs relationship to IRP.
- b. Following any remaining Commissioner and Staff questions, the Commission will invite stakeholder questions for the company. Time permitting, stakeholders may present brief statements of their general perspectives and priorities.

If you have questions, please contact the Administrative Hearings Division by telephone (503-378-6678) or by e-mail (puc.hearings@state.or.us).

Dated this 27th day of January, 2021, at Salem, Oregon.

Megan W. Decker

Letha Tawney

Mark R. Thompson

Chair

Commissioner

Commissioner

<p>IF YOU HAVE A DISABILITY AND NEED ACCOMMODATION TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS CONFERENCE, PLEASE LET US KNOW (503) 378-6678, Oregon Relay Service: 7-1-1, or e-mail puc.hearings@state.or.us</p>
