

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
OF OREGON**

UE 399, UM 1964, UM 2134, UM 2142, UM 2167, UM 2185, UM 2186, UM 2201

In the Matters of

PACIFICORP dba PACIFIC POWER

Request for a General Rate Revision  
(UE 399),

Application for Approval of Deferred  
Accounting for a Balancing Account Related  
to the Transportation Electrification Program  
(UM 1964),

Application to Defer Costs Relating to Cedar  
Springs II (UM 2134),

Application for Approval of Deferred  
Accounting for Cholla Unit 4-Related  
Property Tax Expense (UM 2142),

Application for Approval of Deferred  
Accounting for Revenues Associated with  
Renewable Energy Credits from Pryor  
Mountain, (UM 2167),

Application for Approval of Deferred  
Accounting and Accounting Order Related to  
Non-Contributory Defined Benefit Pension  
Plans (UM 2185),

Application for Approval of Deferred  
Accounting for Costs Relating to a Renewable  
Resource Pursuant to ORS 469A.120  
(UM 2186), and

Alliance of Western Energy Consumers,  
Application for an Accounting Order  
Requiring PacifiCorp to Defer Fly Ash  
Revenues (UM 2201).

RULING

DISPOSITION: PROCEDURAL SCHEDULING MOTION GRANTED IN PART;  
EXPEDITED ANSWER ORDERED ON REMAINDER.

PacifiCorp d/b/a/ Pacific Power (PacifiCorp) filed a motion proposing bifurcation of this proceeding as well as a new procedural schedule for both bifurcated phases. PacifiCorp states that its motion is generally unopposed except that intervenor NewSun Energy, LLC does not support the proposed schedule.

I agree that the proceeding should be bifurcated as proposed by PacifiCorp and therefore grant its motion for bifurcation. I also adopt its proposed schedule for the first phase of the proceeding as set forth below.

PacifiCorp does not indicate whether NewSun opposes the schedule for the first phase of the bifurcated proceeding or whether its opposition is solely due to the dispute over hearing dates referenced in PacifiCorp's motion. However, the first date in PacifiCorp's schedule is October 7, 2022, one day after its motion was filed. Therefore, in the interests of expediency, I will grant this schedule prior to receiving a response from NewSun. To the extent NewSun believes that future dates in this schedule need to be altered, it may state so in its response.

In order that a schedule may be set in the second phase of this proceeding in a timely manner, NewSun should file any response to PacifiCorp's motion by 3:00 pm on Tuesday, October 11, 2022.

Finally, the parties should be aware that while we will attempt to accommodate the hearing dates proposed by the parties (whether on the faster or slower proposed schedules), other Commission proceedings may make that impossible. Therefore, the parties may be asked to consider additional dates.

The schedule on which the first three stipulations filed in this case, as well as the remaining Direct Access issue, will be considered is as follow:

<b>Event</b>	<b>Date</b>
Cross-examination Exhibits	Oct. 7, 2022
Simultaneous Opening Briefs	Oct. 13, 2022
Simultaneous Reply Briefs	Oct. 20, 2022
Target Commission Order Date	Dec. 16, 2022

Dated this 6<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2022 at Salem, Oregon.



---

Katharine Mapes  
Administrative Law Judge