

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

UM 1129

In the Matter of)	
)	
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF)	RULING
OREGON STAFF)	
)	
Investigation Relating to Electric Utility)	
Purchases From Qualifying Facilities.)	

**DISPOSITION: REVISED CROSS-EXAMINATION
SCHEDULE ESTABLISHED**

Attachment A to this ruling outlines a final order of witnesses, issues, and times allotted.

Dated this 26th day of October, at Salem, Oregon.

Traci A. G. Kirkpatrick
Administrative Law Judge

ATTACHMENT A
UM 1129 CROSS-EXAMINATION SCHEDULE
(October 27 – 28, 2004)

Witness (Party)	Examined By	Issue(s)	Time
Dr. Logan (PGE)	Sherman County Court & J. R. Simplot	PURPA implementation policies; PURPA machines	20 minutes
	Weyerhaeuser	General purpose and benefits of PURPA; FERC QF efficiency standards; QF performance	20 minutes
Drennan & Kuns (PGE)	Sherman County Court & J. R. Simplot	PURPA implementation policies; Contract length; Contract term; Avoided cost methodologies; Surplus period	40 minutes
	Weyerhaeuser	QF development potential in Oregon; Size limit for standard QF rates; QF/utility negotiation; Factors affecting avoided cost rates; Resource sufficiency period	20 minutes
Widmer (PacifiCorp)	Sherman County Court & J. R. Simplot	QF size; Contract length; Tariff content; SAR Methodology	30 minutes
	Weyerhaeuser	Buy all/sell all option	5 minutes
Wessling (PacifiCorp)	Sherman County Court & J. R. Simplot	Security requirements	30 minutes
Gale (Idaho Power)	Sherman County Court & J. R. Simplot	Applicability of SAR to Idaho; Performance band	30 minutes
	Weyerhaeuser	Idaho QF regulations and avoided costs; Idaho's experience with QFs; QF/utility negotiations	15 minutes
Breen (Staff)	PGE	Utility tariff contract; Standard contract rates	15 minutes
	PacifiCorp	Issue Nos. 3 and 4	20 minutes
	Idaho Power	Tariff content; Avoided cost calculation methods; Dispute resolution; Liability insurance; Reconciliation of standard contract terms and tariff provisions between OR and ID	30 minutes
	Weyerhaeuser	QF/utility negotiations; Factors affecting avoided cost rates for large QFs; Avoided cost calculation methods for large QFs	15 minutes

	Sherman County Court & J. R. Simplot	Performance bond requirement for timely construction of projects; Mechanics for tariff filings by utilities of standard contract terms and conditions; Impact of deregulation on existing contracts; Methodologies for setting avoided cost rates; Capacity only payment during peak hours	20 minutes
Schwartz (Staff)	PacifiCorp	Issue No. 2	10 minutes
	Idaho Power	Pricing structures	15 minutes
	Sherman County Court & J. R. Simplot	Nature of avoided costs; Discriminatory treatment of QFs by limiting contract term; Unequal risks associated with banded forecast of natural gas price; Capacity costs during surplus period	20 minutes
Chriss (Staff)	PGE	Standard contract rates; Avoided cost methodology	15 minutes
	PacifiCorp	Issue No. 1	10 minutes
Morgan (Staff)	PacifiCorp	Issue No. 3	10 minutes
	Idaho Power	Pricing structure	15 minutes
	Sherman County Court & J. R. Simplot	Perceived need for performance bond	15 minutes
DeWinkel ¹ (ODOE)	Sherman County Court & J. R. Simplot	Policy question on PURPA in Oregon	5 minutes
Carver (ODOE)	PGE	Avoided cost methodology	15 minutes
	PacifiCorp	Issue No. 4	10 minutes
	Idaho Power	Pricing Structure	15 minutes
Keto (ODOE)	PGE	Standard contract term	15 minutes
Reading (Sherman County Court & J. R. Simplot)	PGE	Avoided cost methodology	15 minutes
	PacifiCorp	Issue Nos. 1-4	20 minutes
	Idaho Power	Contract length; Price structure; Avoided cost methods	20 minutes
Beach (Weyerhaeuser)	PGE	Standard contract terms	15 minutes
	Idaho Power	Contract length; Price structure; Size threshold	20 minutes

¹ The witness, Carel DeWinkel, is not available after 3:00 p.m. on October 27 or 28, 2004.