

ISSUED: May 11, 2011

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

LC 52

In the Matter of

PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER

20011 Integrated Resource Plan.

PREHEARING CONFERENCE
MEMORANDUM

DISPOSITION: SCHEDULE ESTABLISHED

On May 9, 2011, a prehearing conference was held in this docket at the office of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission). The primary purpose of the conference was to establish a procedural schedule for the docket.

Appearances

Appearances were entered on behalf of PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power (Pacific Power) and Commission Staff (Staff). Representatives participated by telephone on behalf of the Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon (CUB), the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities (ICNU), the Renewable Northwest Project and the Northwest Energy Coalition.

Petitions to Intervene

As the period for objection was already past at the time of the prehearing conference, I granted the petitions to intervene of: ICNU; the Renewable Northwest Project; the Oregon Department of Energy; the Community Action Partnership of Oregon; and Portland General Electric Company. I also recognized the intervention by right of CUB.

The petition to intervene of the Northwest Energy Coalition will be granted as of the close of business on May 13, 2011, without further notice should no objection be received by then.

Procedural Schedule

The conference participants agreed to, and I adopted, a procedural schedule:

Petitions to Intervene due	June 20, 2011
Proposed Budgets for Intervenor Funding due	June 20, 2011
Presentation at Commission Public Meeting	August 9, 2011
Workshop	August 9, 2011
Staff's and Intervenors' Comments on Pacific Power's IRP due	August 25, 2011
Reply Comments by Pacific Power due	September 14, 2011
Staff's Final Comments due	October 6, 2011
Pacific Power's and Intervenors' Reply to Staff's Final Comments due	November 3, 2011
Staff Memorandum due	December 1, 2011
Commission Public Meeting	December 6, 2011

Conference participants also agreed to reduce the discovery response time from 10 days to 7 days between the dates of August 9, 2011, and September 14, 2011.

Dated this 11th day of May, 2011, at Salem, Oregon.



Traci A. G. Kirkpatrick
Administrative Law Judge

NOTICE OF CONTESTED CASE RIGHTS AND PROCEDURES

Oregon law requires state agencies to provide parties written notice of contested case rights and procedures. Under ORS 183.413, you are entitled to be informed of the following:

Hearing: The time and place of any hearing held in this proceeding will be noticed separately. The Commission will hold the hearing under its general authority set forth in ORS 756.040 and use procedures set forth in ORS 756.518 to 756.610 and OAR Chapter 860, Division 001. Copies of these statutes and rules may be accessed via the website at www.puc.state.or.us. The Commission will hear issues as identified by the parties.

Right to Attorney: As a party to this hearing, you may be represented by counsel. Should you desire counsel but cannot afford one, legal aid may be able to assist you; parties are ordinarily represented by counsel. The Commission staff, if participating in the case, will be represented by the Department of Justice. Once a hearing has begun, you will not generally be allowed to postpone the hearing to obtain counsel.

Administrative Law Judge: The Commission has delegated the authority to preside over hearings to Administrative Law Judges (ALJs). The scope an ALJ's authority is defined in OAR 860-001-0090. The ALJ make evidentiary and other procedural rulings, analyze the contested issues, and present legal and policy recommendations to the Commission.

Hearing Rights: You have the right to respond to all issues identified, and present evidence and witnesses on those issues. *See* OAR 860-001-0450 to OAR 860-001-0490. You may obtain discovery from other parties through depositions, subpoenas, and data requests. *See* ORS 756.538 and 756.543; OAR 860-001-0500 to 860-001-0540.

Evidence: Evidence is generally admissible if it is of a type relied upon by reasonable persons in the conduct of their serious affairs. *See* OAR 860-001-0450(1)(b). Objections to the admissibility of evidence must be made at the time the evidence is offered. Objections are generally made on grounds that the evidence is unreliable, irrelevant, repetitious, or because its probative value is outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusion of the issues, or undue delay. The order of presenting evidence is determined by the ALJ. The burden of presenting evidence to support an allegation rests with the person raising the allegation. Once a hearing is completed, the ALJ will not generally allow the introduction of additional evidence without good cause.

Record: The hearing will be recorded, either by a court reporter or by audio/digital tape, to preserve the testimony and other evidence presented. Parties may contact the court reporter about ordering a transcript or request the Commission for a copy of the tape for a fee set forth in OAR 860-001-0060(3)(e)(B). The hearing record will be made part of the evidentiary record that serves as the basis for the Commission's decision and, if necessary, the record on any judicial appeal.

Final Order and Appeal: After the hearing, the ALJ will prepare a draft order resolving all issues and present it to the Commission. The ALJ's draft order is not open to party comment. The Commission will make the final decision in the case and may adopt, modify, or reject the ALJ's recommendation. If you disagree with the Commission's decision, you may request reconsideration of the final order within 60 days. *See* ORS 756.561 and OAR 860-001-0720. You may also file a petition for review with the Court of Appeals within 60 days. *See* ORS 756.610.