

April 23, 2009

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

UE 210

In the Matter of

PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER

Request for a General Rate Revision.

PREHEARING CONFERENCE
MEMORANDUM

**DISPOSITION: PETITION TO INTERVENE GRANTED;
PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE ESTABLISHED**

On April 21, 2009, a prehearing conference was held in the above-captioned docket. The purpose of the conference was to identify the parties and interested persons and set a procedural schedule.

Identification of Conference Participants

Appearances were entered by PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power (Pacific Power), the Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon (CUB), the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities (ICNU), the Klamath Water Users Association, and the Public Utility Commission of Oregon Staff (Staff).

Petitions to Intervene

On April 9, 2009, CUB filed its Notice of Intervention pursuant to ORS 774.180 and became a party to the proceeding. ICNU filed a Petition to Intervene on April 8, 2009. The time for objecting to the petition has passed, and no objections to the petition were received. We find that ICNU has sufficient interest in the proceeding and that its appearance and participation will not unreasonably broaden the issues, burden the record, or unreasonably delay the proceeding. *See* OAR 860-012-0001. ICNU's Petition to Intervene is granted.

Portland General Electric Company (PGE) filed a Petition to Intervene on April 16, 2009. The time for objecting to PGE's petition has not yet passed. The petition is conditionally granted. Any person wishing to contest the petition may do so within 10 days of service of the petition. If no person contests the petition, the decision to grant the petition will be final.

Procedural Schedule

On April 14, 2009, the Administrative Law Judges (ALJs) issued a proposed procedural schedule. The parties discussed the proposed schedule during the prehearing conference, and the ALJs adopted the following schedule:

EVENT	DATE ¹
Staff & Intervenors File Proposed Information Requests for Pacific Power's Supplemental Opening Testimony	May 12, 2009
ALJ Ruling Regarding Pacific Power's Supplemental Opening Testimony	May 15, 2009
Public Comment Open House – Bend	May 27, 2009
Pacific Power Files Supplemental Opening Testimony (if necessary)	June 5, 2009
Public Comment Open House – Portland	June 9, 2009
Public Comment Open House – Medford	June 18, 2009
Settlement Conference	June 24 & 26 ²
Deadline to File Petitions to Intervene	July 2, 2009
Deadline to File Proposed Budgets for Intervenor Funding	July 2, 2009
Staff & Intervenors File Opening Testimony	July 24, 2009
Opening Oral Arguments Before the Commission ³	August 18, 2009
Pacific Power Files Reply Testimony	August 31, 2009
Staff & Intervenors File Rebuttal Testimony	September 21, 2009
Pacific Power Files Surrebuttal Testimony	October 5, 2009
All Parties File Cross-Examination Statements	October 9, 2009
Hearing	October 19-21, 2009
Simultaneous Opening Briefs Due	November 20, 2009
Simultaneous Reply Briefs Due	December 11, 2009
Closing Oral Arguments Before the Commission	December 16, 2009
Target Date for Commission Decision	January 29, 2010
End of Second Tariff Suspension	February 2, 2010

From July 24, 2009, through August 31, 2009, parties must respond to data requests within seven business days of receipt. From August 31, 2009, through October 5, 2009, parties must respond to data requests within five business days of receipt.

¹ Parties must serve non-confidential information via electronic mail by 5:00 p.m. on the date due. Service of confidential material is considered timely if sent by overnight mail on the date due.

² This date is included in the schedule for the parties' convenience. The parties do not need Commission approval to reschedule settlement conferences.

³ If no stipulations have been filed, parties' witnesses do not need to attend the opening oral argument. Bench requests will be issued to obtain any information that could not be provided during the oral argument. If a stipulation has been filed, the witnesses who testified in support of the stipulation will be expected to attend the oral argument and be prepared to answer questions about the stipulation.

As discussed during the prehearing conference, any procedural motion filed in this docket must contain a statement explaining whether the other parties in the docket support or oppose the motion. If other parties cannot be reached, the motion must describe efforts to contact other parties. Any motion seeking expedited treatment must make clear that expedited treatment is requested and explain the necessity of expedited treatment. Even when expedited treatment is requested, other parties should be afforded adequate time to respond, preferably at least five days before any applicable deadline.

Dated this 22nd day of April, 2009, at Salem, Oregon.

Sarah K. Wallace
Administrative Law Judge

Lisa D. Hardie
Administrative Law Judge