

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

UG 287

In the Matter of

CASCADE NATURAL GAS
CORPORATION,Request for a General Rate Revision.PREHEARING CONFERENCE
MEMORANDUM

On April 21, 2015, the Public Utility Commission of Oregon held a prehearing conference in this docket. Representatives appeared on behalf of Cascade Natural Gas Corporation, Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon, Northwest Industrial Gas Users, and Commission Staff.

Petitions to Intervene

Before the conference, a petition to intervene was filed by NWIGU. No party attending the conference objected to the petition. Upon review of the petition, I find that NWIGU has sufficient interest in the proceedings to participate and that its participation will not unreasonably broaden the issues, burden the record, or delay the proceedings.¹ The petition to intervene is therefore granted. In addition, CUB filed a notice of intervention as permitted by ORS 774.180.

Procedural Schedule

I have made two minor modifications to the parties' proposed schedule. First, I omitted the deadline for petitions to intervene, because OAR 860-001-0300(7) governs the filing and review of such petitions. Second, I omitted the August 4, 2015 Commissioner Workshop. If a Commissioner workshop is needed, that event will be scheduled at a later date. I adopt the parties' remaining proposed schedule, which is set forth below:

EVENT	DATE
Proposed Intervenor Funding Budgets	June 15, 2015
Staff and Intervenor Opening Testimony	July 31, 2015
Settlement Conference ²	August 11, 2015
Second Settlement Conference	August 14, 2015
Cascade Reply Testimony	September 3, 2015

¹ See OAR 860-001-0300.

² Settlement conference dates are included in the schedule for the parties' convenience. The parties do not need Commission approval to reschedule settlement conferences.

Staff and Intervenor Rebuttal Testimony	September 24, 2015
Cascade Surrebuttal Testimony	October 8, 2015
All Parties' Prehearing Briefs	October 19, 2015
Hearing ³	October 27-28, 2015
Cascade Opening Brief	November 12, 2015
Staff and Intervenor Reply Briefs	December 1, 2015
Cascade Closing Brief	December 15, 2015
Closing Oral Arguments ⁴	December 22, 2015
Target Date for Commission Order	January 15, 2016
Effective Date	February 1, 2016

The parties agreed to a seven business day data response turnaround after Cascade files its reply testimony on September 3, 2015, and a five business day turnaround after Staff and intervenors file rebuttal testimony on September 24, 2015.

Parties are reminded that attorneys not licensed in Oregon wanting to appear before the Commission in this docket must file an application for admission to appear pro hac vice.⁵

Dated this 22nd day of April, 2015, at Salem, Oregon.



Sarah Rowe
Administrative Law Judge

Attachment: Notice of Contested Case Rights and Procedures

³ Tentative as to Commissioner availability.

⁴ Tentative as to Commissioner availability.

⁵ See UTCR 3.170, OAR 860-001-0320.

NOTICE OF CONTESTED CASE RIGHTS AND PROCEDURES

Oregon law requires state agencies to provide parties written notice of contested case rights and procedures. Under ORS 183.413, you are entitled to be informed of the following:

Hearing: The time and place of any hearing held in these proceedings will be noticed separately. The Commission will hold the hearing under its general authority set forth in ORS 756.040 and use procedures set forth in ORS 756.518 through 756.610 and OAR Chapter 860, Division 001. Copies of these statutes and rules may be accessed via the Commission's website at www.puc.state.or.us. The Commission will hear issues as identified by the parties.

Right to Attorney: As a party to these proceedings, you may be represented by counsel. Should you desire counsel but cannot afford one, legal aid may be able to assist you; parties are ordinarily represented by counsel. The Commission Staff, if participating as a party in the case, will be represented by the Department of Justice. Generally, once a hearing has begun, you will not be allowed to postpone the hearing to obtain counsel.

Administrative Law Judge: The Commission has delegated the authority to preside over hearings to Administrative Law Judges (ALJs). The scope of an ALJ's authority is defined in OAR 860-001-0090. The ALJs make evidentiary and other procedural rulings, analyze the contested issues, and present legal and policy recommendations to the Commission.

Hearing Rights: You have the right to respond to all issues identified and present evidence and witnesses on those issues. *See* OAR 860-001-0450 through OAR 860-001-0490. You may obtain discovery from other parties through depositions, subpoenas, and data requests. *See* ORS 756.538 and 756.543; OAR 860-001-0500 through 860-001-0540.

Evidence: Evidence is generally admissible if it is of a type relied upon by reasonable persons in the conduct of their serious affairs. *See* OAR 860-001-0450. Objections to the admissibility of evidence must be made at the time the evidence is offered. Objections are generally made on grounds that the evidence is unreliable, irrelevant, repetitious, or because its probative value is outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusion of the issues, or undue delay. The order of presenting evidence is determined by the ALJ. The burden of presenting evidence to support an allegation rests with the person raising the allegation. Generally, once a hearing is completed, the ALJ will not allow the introduction of additional evidence without good cause.

Record: The hearing will be recorded, either by a court reporter or by audio digital recording, to preserve the testimony and other evidence presented. Parties may contact the court reporter about ordering a transcript or request, if available, a copy of the audio recording from the Commission for a fee set forth in OAR 860-001-0060. The hearing record will be made part of the evidentiary record that serves as the basis for the Commission's decision and, if necessary, the record on any judicial appeal.

Final Order and Appeal: After the hearing, the ALJ will prepare a draft order resolving all issues and present it to the Commission. The draft order is not open to party comment. The Commission will make the final decision in the case and may adopt, modify, or reject the ALJ's recommendation. If you disagree with the Commission's decision, you may request reconsideration of the final order within 60 days from the date of service of the order. *See* ORS 756.561 and OAR 860-001-0720. You may also file a petition for review with the Court of Appeals within 60 days from the date of service of the order. *See* ORS 756.610.