

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

UM 1234

In the Matter of)	
)	
PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC)	PREHEARING CONFERENCE
COMPANY)	REPORT
)	
Application for Deferred Accounting of)	
Excess Power Costs Due to Plant Outage.)	

DISPOSITION: PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE ESTABLISHED

On February 28, 2006, a prehearing conference was held in the above captioned proceeding. The primary purpose of the prehearing conference was to establish a full procedural schedule for the docket.

Appearances were entered as follows: David Hatton (in lieu of Stephanie Andrus) appeared on behalf of Staff of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission); Doug Tingey appeared on behalf of Portland General Electric Company (PGE); Jason Eisdorfer appeared on behalf of the Citizens' Utility Board (CUB); and Brad Van Cleve appeared on behalf of the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities (ICNU).

Parties agreed to address the following issues in the first phase of this proceeding: 1) Whether PGE's application meets deferral requirements; 2) What deferral mechanism should be used; and 3) What are the rate implications of the deferral. A second phase would address amortization and prudency issues. Following issuance of an order that authorizes a second phase, a prehearing conference will be held to establish a procedural schedule for the second phase. Parties agreed to, and I adopted, the following procedural schedule for the first phase:

PGE files direct testimony	April 14, 2006
Response testimony due	June 1, 2006
Rebuttal testimony due	July 17, 2006
Hearing	August 3, 2006 (Details TBD)
Opening briefs due	August 22, 2006
Reply briefs due	September 5, 2006

All filing dates are considered "in hand." For further information regarding procedure, I refer the parties to the Administrative Hearings Procedures for contested case proceedings, located at www.puc.state.or.us under the heading "Commission Overview."

Dated this 2nd day of March, 2006, at Salem, Oregon.

Traci A. G. Kirkpatrick
Administrative Law Judge

