From:	DAVIS Diane
To:	"PUC.Notify@state.or.us"
Cc:	BATMALE JP; CONWAY Bryan; DOUGHERTY Michael; RIEMENSCHNEIDER Johanna; MOSER Nolan
Bcc:	"dockets@mrg-law.com"; "mannis@idahopower.com"; "mbarlow@newsunenergy.net"; "jp.batmale@state.or.us"; "shawn.bonfield@avistacorp.com"; "kacia.brockman@state.or.us"; "rebecca.brown@nwnatural.com"; "christopher.clark@oregon.gov"; "blc@dvclaw.com"; "bryan.conway@state.or.us"; "angela@oseia.org"; "diane.davis@state.or.us"; "memery@oregoncable.com"; "mfreese@rflawlobby.com"; "jog@dvclaw.com"; "max@renewablenw.org"; "sarah.l.hall@state.or.us"; "paul.kimball@avistacorp.com"; "erica.lee-pella@nwnatural.com"; "shannon.lipp@ziply.com"; "com@dvclaw.com"; "heather@nwenergy.org"; "nolan.moser@state.or.us"; "eric.nelsen@nwnatural.com"; "lnordstrom@idahopower.com"; "dockets@idahopower.com"; "barbara.parr@pgn.com"; "tcp@dvclaw.com"; "nicolle.prehn@pgn.com"; "anne-marie.puustinen@nwnatural.com"; "samantha.ridderbusch@lumen.com"; "johanna.riemenschneider@doj.state.or.us"; "dromain@rflawlobby.com"; "irion@sanger-law.com"; "joni@sanger-law.com"; "george.thomson@ziply.com"; "jennifer@staytonlaw.com"; "alisha@mrg-law.com"; "marktrinchero@dwt.com"; "dockets@oregoncub.org"; "mike@oregoncub.org"; "dockets@idahopower.com"; "efiling@nwnatural.com"; "zdk@nwnatural.com"; "natasha.siores@nwnatural.com"; "oregondockets@pacificorp.com"; "cathie.allen@pacificorp.com"; "jennifer.angell@pacificorp.com"; "etta.lockey@pacificorp.com"; "matthew.mcvee@pacificorp.com"; "mary.penfield@pacificorp.com"; "betsy.watkins@pacificorp.com"; "pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com"; "doug.tingey@pgn.com"; "mary.widman@pgn.com"; "alison.lackey@state.or.us"; "diane@utilityadvocates.org"; "frederick@utilityadvocates.org"
Subject:	AR 641 email - Recap of last-week"s workshop, important information and questions for the upcoming workshop
Date:	Friday, February 19, 2021 12:29:00 PM

Good afternoon everyone,

Thank you very much for participating in our initial workshop last week on AR 641.
We focused our discussion on scoping and priorities. We offered a presentation on the rules encompassed by division 1, and outlined AHD's objectives for the rulemaking.

After that, we heard from you and identified some common themes. Then, we discussed how to move this sprawling rulemaking forward, and determined that we would break up action by subject area, addressing one series of issues together at a time.

The first areas we will address are confidentiality, discovery, and data requests. Many division 1 rules are interdependent, so when we consider changes to the rules listed below, that will have impacts on other sections. Accordingly, throughout this rulemaking we will be doing a holistic review to see if particular proposals impact other sections. That noted, to begin we will review the following rules at our next workshop:

- Confidential Information 860-001-0070
- Discovery in Contested Case Proceedings 860-001-0500
- Data Requests 860-001-0540
- General Rate Revision 860-022-0019 (Because of reference to standard data requests only)
- Filing Requirements in Contested Case and Declaratory Ruling Proceedings 860-001-0170 (consideration of confidential information filings only)

We need to find a time that works for the primary participants in this rulemaking for the next workshop. Below you'll find a doodle poll with options for the workshop the week of March 8th. If you could, please respond to the poll no later than close of business next Tuesday, February 23rd. I'll then send a notification out with the workshop time next Wednesday, February 24th.

https://doodle.com/poll/ykfv4zd94aqragnv?utm_source=poll&utm_medium=link

As for the agenda of our next conversation, I'd like to spend time discussing broad issues, and then dive into the rule sections themselves. I think understanding your issues and priorities with regard to the handling of contested information, and our approach to discovery and data requests, would be very helpful. Accordingly, I plan to ask participants to develop and circulate comments prior to the meeting. At this stage, we are not soliciting redlines to the above sections, but of course if that is how you prefer to offer your perspective on these rules, feel free. Our main objective for the next conversation is to understand more generally the issues and problems participants are having around confidentiality, discovery, and data requests – and what you think potential solutions to these issues are. Since we don't have a date yet for the workshop, I don't have a date by which comments should be sent to the filing center. I will outline those expectations in detail next Wednesday, after we have selected the next workshop date.

Thank you, and please let me know if you have any questions.

Nolan Moser | Chief Administrative Law Judge
Oregon Public Utility Commission | 201 High St. SE, Suite 100 | Salem, OR 97301
503-689-3622 | nolan.moser@state.or.us