

July 11, 2008

Richard W. Ryan
Hunter Communications, Inc.
801 Enterprise Drive, Suite 101
Central Point, Oregon 97502

RE: ARB 842, Hunter Communications, Inc. (Hunter Communications) and
Qwest Corporation (Qwest)

On May 23, 2008, Qwest Corporation filed a petition for arbitration of an interconnection agreement involving Hunter Construction, Inc. dba Hunter Communications and dba Core Digital Services, with the Public Utility Commission of Oregon, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252(b) of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. Specifically, Qwest seeks approval of the TRO/TRRO Amendment attached to its petition.

Hunter Communications failed to file an answer to Qwest's petition. On June 20, 2008, my assistant, Cheryl Walker, talked to you about Hunter Communications' failure to respond. She stated that Hunter Communications' answer to Qwest's petition was due on June 17 and asked when the answer would be filed. You stated that you probably caused yourself more work, that you'll probably agree to do what Qwest wants, and asked what needed to be done. She informed you of the filing requirements, said that a simple letter would be okay for a filing, and suggested that you could contact Qwest's attorney to work things out and possibly file a joint letter. She also emphasized that the Commission needed a response.

The Commission has not yet received any response from Hunter Communications. The purpose of this letter is to again request you to either file a response or contact Qwest to determine whether the parties can agree to a negotiated interconnection agreement. **If no response is received by July 25, 2008, the Commission will conclude that Hunter Communications does not object to the approval of Qwest's proposed TRO/TRRO Amendment and proceed to review its approval on that basis.**

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

Michael Grant
Chief Administrative Law Judge

c: Alex Duarte, Qwest
Mark Reynolds, Qwest