

TAYLOR Annette M

From: PINES Shani
Sent: Monday, November 05, 2012 11:07 AM
To: dockets@oregoncub.org; dockets@idahopower.com; oregondockets@pacificorp.com; greg@richardsonandoleary.com; ANDRUS Stephanie; COLVILLE Erik; pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com; bryce.dalley@pacificorp.com; mjd@dvclaw.com; mail@dvclaw.com; megan@rnp.org; wendy@nwenergy.org; bob@oregoncub.org; jdj@racinelaw.net; JOHNSON Judy; catriona@oregoncub.org; lnordstrom@idahopower.com; elo@racinelaw.net; dockets@mcd-law.com; dreading@mindspring.com; peter@richardsonandoleary.com; ias@dvclaw.com; dws@r-c-s-inc.com; stephens@eslerstephens.com; mec@eslerstephens.com; doug.tingey@pgn.com; sarah.wallace@pacificorp.com; tony@yankel.net
Cc: TAYLOR Annette M
Subject: UE 233 Briefs and Oral Argument

Parties to UE 233:

The oral argument date for UE 233 is currently set for November 20, simultaneous with the oral argument in UE 246. There is a public meeting scheduled for the morning of November 20 that may be lengthy. To ensure that parties have sufficient time for oral argument, the Commission has determined that November 20 should be reserved for oral argument in UE 246. Oral argument for UE 233 will be scheduled for a later date.

Given that oral argument in UE 233 is being postponed, I ask that parties to UE 233 notify me today whether they support or oppose an extension of time for the filing of briefs, currently due on November 7, 2012.