

LC 41

Adm. Hearings Division
550 Capitol St. NE #215
P. B. Box 2148
Salem, Oregon 97308-2148

February 20, 2009

RECEIVED

2009 FEB 23 A 8:41

RECEIVED

FEB 23 2009

P.U.C.

Attn: Law Judge
Allen J. Arlow
RE: Idaho Power Company "Addendum" to 2006 IRP

Public Utility Commission of Oregon
Administrative Hearings Division

Dear Sir,

I am writing in response to the Addendum to the 2006 Integrated Resource Plan submitted by Idaho Power.


I have had a chance to briefly look at this plan and have noticed some inconsistencies:

1. I did not see any maps of alternate routes for the proposed power lines.
2. I am not sure how they got to what they showed for need.
3. Idaho Power is showing much potential for growth in Malheur County, I do not see where or how this can happen with our planning and zoning currently.
4. What is the hurry up in submitting an addendum to a 3 year old plan when a new plan is to be submitted this summer?
5. Is there sufficient information to make the case for Idaho Power at this time?
6. I am just a layman and wonder if PUC has the jurisdiction on this matter in the current form?
7. Are the facts correct on the cost benefit analysis?
8. Does Idaho Power need to address in this document renewable power sources?
9. Is this "Addendum" consistent with the Project Order?
10. In Oregon, I do not see how Idaho Power can claim that additional acres of land can be brought into production with our current water allotments.

I appreciate your looking into this matter for me.

If you could answer the above questions for me it would be most beneficial to me.

Thank you



John W Faw
3990 Halliday Road
Vale, Oregon 97918

CC: Governor Ted Kulongoski
Adam Bless
Representative Ferrioli
Senator Bentz
Matt Muldoon