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Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ORGANIZATION.

A. My name is Diane Broad. I am a Senior Policy Analyst for the Planning and Innovation Division within the Oregon Department of Energy (ODOE). The business address is 625 Marion St. NE, Salem, Oregon. I am testifying on behalf of ODOE.

Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR QUALIFICATIONS.

A. I am a policy analyst with particular expertise in electric utility transmission and distribution systems and operations, renewable generator interconnection standards and procedures, and integration of variable energy resources. I gained this expertise through eighteen years of practice as an electrical engineer in consulting, serving electric utilities and renewable project developers, and in two years as a policy analyst at ODOE. I am a registered Professional Engineer in the State of Oregon.

Q. PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR TESTIMONY.

A. This testimony is in response to the Direct Testimony filed June 1, 2016, by Oregon Public Utility Commission (PUC) Staff, in particular the report by Arne Olson. Mr. Olson was hired by the PUC to create a methodology for the Resource Value of Solar (RVOS) for Oregon.
The parties to UM 1716 identified potential “security, reliability and resiliency” benefits as an element of value for distributed solar.¹ However, the consultant decided not to include these benefits in the proposed RVOS model, arguing:

1. The party that could potentially have value for utility ratepayers. However, this would depend on solar being deployed in a microgrid application that would provide electric service to utility ratepayers who do not adopt solar PV.²

As there are no such microgrid applications in Oregon at this time, to his knowledge, “I have not incorporated any quantification of these potential benefits into the RVOS Model.”³

The Department believes the decision not to include this element may warrant additional research, including the consideration of scenarios such as:

1. Solar facilities installed near the end of feeders where they may provide voltage support
2. Modern smart inverter improvements which broaden voltage and frequency ride through capabilities
3. Storage facilities coupled with solar facilities outside of microgrid scenarios. Storage may be installed for purposes other than local backup power. For example, storage may be coupled with facilities that wheel power to flatten the production profile and thereby reduce the capacity based fees in wheeling charges.

¹ [http://edocs.puc.state.or.us/efdocs/HAC/um1716hac165740.pdf](http://edocs.puc.state.or.us/efdocs/HAC/um1716hac165740.pdf)
² Staff/200, Olson/25, lines 22-25.
³ Staff/200, Olson/26, lines 1-2.
Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

A. Yes. The Department appreciates the work of Staff and Mr. Olson in developing the RVOS model, and the opportunity to provide comments.