

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF OREGON**

**IN THE MATTER OF PORTLAND)
GENERAL ELECTRIC'S)
APPLICATION FOR)
TRANSPORTATION)
ELECTRIFICATION PROGRAMS)**

DOCKET NO. UM 1811

SIEMENS EXHIBIT 100

REPLY TESTIMONY OF CHRIS KING

September 19, 2017

**CHRIS KING
Siemens Digital Grid
4000 E. Third Ave., Suite 400
Foster City, CA 94404**

Contents

1 I. Introduction 3

2 II. Siemens is a market participant offering a wide range of TE products and services..... 4

3 III. The Stipulation would accelerate rather than “hamper” transportation electrification in
4 Portland General Electric’s (PGE’s) service territory 5

5 IV. The Stipulation would actually “stimulate innovation, competition and customer choice in
6 electric vehicle charging and related infrastructure and services” by promoting the overall growth
7 of the electric vehicle (EV) market through reduction of the barriers to ownership and operation
8 for EV owners..... 6

9 V. Contrary to the Testimony’s claim, the Stipulation does not prevent customers from
10 choosing charging equipment and services, nor does it result in a “lack of options” that would
11 cause would-be EV drivers to “forego electric transportation options altogether.” 6

12 VI. Without disputing the importance of customer choice, the “linchpin” that determines
13 whether transportation electrification is successful (or not), is not customer choice BUT the
14 overall cost of EV ownership and operation for the customer..... 7

15 VII. Adding PGE to the market will do more to stimulate rather than “dampen” competition... 7

16 VIII. RFPs and the Stipulation are important steps toward “widespread electrification” and, in
17 contrast to the Testimony’s claims, will “provide consumers with increased options in the use of
18 charging equipment.” 8

19 IX. Siemens, a market participant, expects to “benefit from the learnings” of Electric Avenue.
20 8

21 X. We agree with the Testimony that this is a nascent market and that “the most prudent use of
22 ratepayer funds for transportation electrification would be to use those funds to stimulate a self-
23 sustaining market for publicly available charging stations” – and believe that the Stipulation is
24 an important step in stimulating a self-sustaining market in the long run. 9

25 XI. We agree with the Testimony that the Commission provide direction on the appropriate
26 role of the utility in the transportation electrification effort, and we provide some examples of the
27 benefits of utility participation..... 9

28 a. Oregon needs to fully leverage utility assets and capabilities to maximize the benefits
29 associated with EV ownership and operation to animate the market..... 10

30 b. Oregon needs to fully leverage utility assets and capabilities to minimize the costs
31 associated with EV ownership and operation to animate the market..... 11

32 XII. Qualifications 13

1 **I. Introduction**

2

3 In accordance with ALJ Ruth Harper’s ruling of September 11, 2017, Siemens offers
4 testimony in this proceeding in reply to ChargePoint’s Testimony Opposing Stipulation filed
5 August 25 2017 (“Testimony”). Our testimony responds to the following points raised in the
6 Testimony:

7

- 8 - We believe the Stipulation would *accelerate* rather than “hamper”¹
9 transportation electrification in Portland General Electric’s (PGE’s) service
10 territory.
11
- 12 - We believe the Stipulation would actually “stimulate innovation, competition
13 and customer choice in electric vehicle charging and related infrastructure and
14 services”² by stimulating the overall growth of the electric vehicle (EV) market
15 by reducing the barriers to ownership and operation for EV owners.
16
- 17 - Contrary to the Testimony’s claim, the Stipulation does not prevent customers
18 from choosing charging equipment and services,³ nor does it result in a “lack of
19 options” that would cause would-be EV drivers to “forego electric
20 transportation options altogether.”⁴
21
- 22 - Without disputing the importance of customer choice, the “linchpin”⁵ that
23 determines whether transportation electrification is successful (or not), is not
24 customer choice BUT the overall cost of EV ownership and operation for the
25 customer.
26
- 27 - Adding PGE to the market will do more to *stimulate* rather than “dampen”
28 competition.⁶
29
- 30 - RFPs and the Stipulation are important steps toward “widespread
31 electrification” and, in contrast to the Testimony’s claims, will “stimulate

¹ Testimony at line 18, page 3.

² Ibid at line 17-18, page 4.

³ Ibid at line 14-16, page 5.

⁴ Ibid at line 20-22, page 20.

⁵ Ibid at line 16-17, page 6.

⁶ Ibid at line 2-2, page 8.

1 innovation” and “provide consumers with increased options in the use of
2 charging equipment.”⁷

3
4 - Siemens, a market participant, expects to “benefit from the learnings” of
5 Electric Avenue.⁸

6
7 - We agree with the Testimony that this is a nascent market⁹ and that “the most
8 prudent use of ratepayer funds for transportation electrification would be to use
9 those funds to stimulate a self-sustaining market for publicly available charging
10 stations”¹⁰ – and hold the opinion that the Stipulation is an important step in
11 stimulating a self-sustaining market in the long run.

12
13 - We agree with the Testimony that the Commission provide direction on the
14 appropriate role of the utility in transportation electrification effort, and we
15 provide some examples of the benefits of utility participation to animate the EV
16 market.¹¹

17
18
19 **II. Siemens is a market participant offering a wide range of TE products and services.**

20
21 Siemens was the world’s first large industrial corporation to commit to zero net carbon
22 emissions by 2030. The company is a global powerhouse in technology, infrastructure, and
23 services, offering a wide variety of technology solutions to a broad spectrum of customers.

24 Relevant to TE, our technologies include:

25 - hardware and software for charging light, medium, and heavy duty vehicles;

26
27 - software and services, including smart phone apps, for managing charging and engaging
28 electric vehicle and electricity customers;

29
30 - make-ready equipment ranging from transformers to service drops;

31
32 - utility software to plan, operate, and manage the grid, including integrating EV charging
33 into system operations;

⁷ Ibid at line 13-16, page 9.

⁸ Ibid at line 2-2, page 18.

⁹ Ibid at line 16, page 18.

¹⁰ Ibid at line 1-3, page 19.

¹¹ Ibid at line 6-8, page 23.

- 1
2 - software to run transmission grids and wholesale electricity markets;
3
4 - battery storage and microgrid systems for DC fast charging installations; and
5
6 - building management and operations software that can integrate EV charging
7 operations.
8

9 We operate in over 180 countries and spend over \$5 billion annually on research and
10 development, including substantial amounts on TE-specific technologies.

11 Our customers span a wide range of participants in the TE ecosystem. We sell to utilities,
12 federal and state governments, cities, site owners (both residential and commercial, including for
13 workplace charging), transit authorities, non-utility charging network providers, and others.
14

15 **III. The Stipulation would accelerate rather than “hamper” transportation**
16 **electrification in Portland General Electric’s (PGE’s) service territory**

17
18 There are several barriers to EV adoption. Barriers relevant to this proceeding have been
19 identified as fuel prices, availability of charging stations, public visibility, and awareness.¹² The
20 Stipulation is a modest program that addresses these four barriers by providing charging at
21 reduced cost, by providing additional charging stations, by having public outreach to increase
22 visibility, and by implementing an education program to increase awareness. By definition,
23 lowering barriers to adoption will serve to accelerate EV adoption in PGE’s service territory,
24 provided that PGE’s programs do not discourage other market participants from participating in
25 the market. In California, the Public Utilities Commission investigated the issue of utility

¹² - Makena Coffman et al., “Factors Affecting EV Adoption: A Literature Review and EV Forecast for Hawaii,”
Report No. HNEI-04-15, April 2015.

1 ownership of charging stations and approved some utility programs that include such ownership,
2 finding that the programs were not anti-competitive.¹³

3 **IV. The Stipulation would actually “stimulate innovation, competition and customer**
4 **choice in electric vehicle charging and related infrastructure and services” by promoting**
5 **the overall growth of the electric vehicle (EV) market through reduction of the barriers to**
6 **ownership and operation for EV owners.**

7
8 As noted in Section III, above, the Stipulation would reduce market barriers and have a
9 beneficial effect on growing the transportation electrification market. This, in turn, would
10 stimulate innovation, competition, and customer choice, because a growing market attracts more
11 participants. Competition in growing markets leads to innovation and customer choice. The
12 alternative, i.e. not implementing the programs proposed in the Stipulation, would have the
13 opposite effect. There would be no catalysts to animate the market in PGE’s service territory,
14 leading to slow growth and stagnation. These conditions discourage market participants from
15 entering, thus stifling innovation and customer choice.

16
17 **V. Contrary to the Testimony’s claim, the Stipulation does not prevent customers from**
18 **choosing charging equipment and services, nor does it result in a “lack of options” that**
19 **would cause would-be EV drivers to “forego electric transportation options altogether.”**

20
21 The Stipulation would result in the installation of *only* six charging stations. By
22 definition, this would increase customer choice, because these stations do not currently exist. The

¹³ - CPUC Decision 16-01-045, January 28, 2016.

1 program would neither prevent nor inhibit other market participants from installing additional
2 chargers at any location of their choosing. Moreover, as noted in Sections III and IV above, the
3 Stipulation's programs will grow the market and encourage new entry and innovation. All of
4 these factors create additional options, not "a lack of options."

5
6 **VI. Without disputing the importance of customer choice, the "linchpin" that**
7 **determines whether transportation electrification is successful (or not), is not customer**
8 **choice BUT the overall cost of EV ownership and operation for the customer.**

9
10 The Testimony states: "In ChargePoint's extensive experience with publicly available
11 charging station programs around the country and in Europe, customer choice is the linchpin that
12 determines whether a program will be successful or not." The Testimony includes no citation to
13 evidence. A review of the literature leads to a differing conclusion that the most important factor
14 affecting the success of EV programs is the cost to the consumer.¹⁴ Accordingly, any programs
15 that reduce the cost of EV ownership will increase the likelihood of program success.

16
17 **VII. Adding PGE to the market will do more to stimulate rather than "dampen"**
18 **competition.**

19 As noted in Section III, the Stipulation programs will stimulate the market. This will lead
20 to greater interest by market participants in PGE's service territory and, thus, greater
21 competition. An analogous market is that for energy efficiency products and services. This is a

¹⁴ See, for example, Makenna Coffman, *op. cit.*, at 6, and Petra Levay *et al.*, "The effect of fiscal incentives on market penetration of electric vehicles: A pairwise comparison of total cost of ownership," *Energy Journal*, June 2017.

1 vibrant, highly competitive market across the U.S., one in which Siemens participates. In many,
2 if not most, states, utilities have a major role in the energy efficiency market, a role that has not
3 inhibited and, on the contrary, has greatly promoted competition in that market. In the
4 transportation electrification market, Siemens believes that both utilities and non-utilities should
5 be able to participate in the market, provided the utility participation is not anti-competitive. We
6 do not see the Stipulation programs to be anti-competitive.

7
8 **VIII. RFPs and the Stipulation are important steps toward “widespread electrification”**
9 **and, in contrast to the Testimony’s claims, will “provide consumers with increased options**
10 **in the use of charging equipment.”**

11
12 As noted in Section III, the Stipulation programs will stimulate the market and accelerate
13 EV adoption. This will lead to greater interest by market participants in PGE’s service territory.
14 Market participants will invest to create more innovate products and services, as well as provide
15 consumers with increased options in the use of charging equipment. In the RFP process, vendors
16 compete both on price and features, with utilities typically selecting winners based on a
17 combination that keeps prices low and factors in the higher value of enhanced features when
18 appropriate. RFPs, properly executed, stimulate innovation by vendors more often than not.

19
20 **IX. Siemens, a market participant, expects to “benefit from the learnings” of Electric**
21 **Avenue.**

1 As noted in Section II, Siemens is an active participant in the transportation
2 electrification market. Based on the goals of the Electric Avenue pilot, we expect to learn more
3 about the effect of such charging stations on EV adoption, consumer response to such
4 infrastructure, the integration of chargers into the grid, and other important topics.

5 **X. We agree with the Testimony that this is a nascent market and that “the most**
6 **prudent use of ratepayer funds for transportation electrification would be to use those**
7 **funds to stimulate a self-sustaining market for publicly available charging stations” – and**
8 **believe that the Stipulation is an important step in stimulating a self-sustaining market in**
9 **the long run.**

10
11 As noted in the discussions above, we believe the Stipulation programs will reduce
12 barriers to EV adoption and stimulate the market. This will promote the all important goal of
13 timely market growth and expansion, which are the most import elements of achieving a self-
14 sustaining market.

15
16 **XI. We agree with the Testimony that the Commission provide direction on the**
17 **appropriate role of the utility in the transportation electrification effort, and we provide**
18 **some examples of the benefits of utility participation.**

19
20 We agree that the Commission should provide direction on the appropriate role of the
21 utility in electrifying the transportation sector in Oregon. One of the Commission’s goals should
22 be to determine how best to leverage utility assets and capabilities to maximize benefits and
23 minimize costs of TE (thus reducing the cost of EV ownership) as well as drive grid benefits.

1
2 **a. Oregon needs to fully leverage utility assets and capabilities to maximize the**
3 **benefits associated with EV ownership and operation to animate the market.**

4 EVs offer the obvious benefit to their owners (or operators) of providing transportation
5 and to society of reducing GHG and other air pollution. However, EVs also offer important
6 benefits (or can impose additional costs) to the electricity grid, wholesale electricity markets, and
7 integration of both centralized and distributed renewable generation. For the grid, EVs can
8 provide peaking capacity and, thus, act as a non-wires alternative to traditional grid
9 reinforcement when there is a need for additional capacity. For wholesale markets, EVs can
10 provide peaking capacity and ancillary services such as imbalance energy. For renewable
11 generation, EVs can reduce curtailments by using wind and solar energy at times of abundance
12 (overgeneration). We refer to these as the full value stack of EV benefits.

13 These benefits are widely recognized, but there is less discussion of how to capture the
14 benefits. Capturing the full value stack requires:

- 15 - an end-to-end integrated system approach that is only possible via the active
16 involvement and participation by the utility;
17
18 - seamless, low-cost, reliable, and efficient integration of EV charging data and operations
19 with utility planning, operational, business, and customer systems; and
20
21 - a robust connection with transmission operational and wholesale market systems.

22
23 Utility planners can minimize their grid investment requirements if they know where and when
24 EV charging loads are occurring and how those loads will grow over time. Utility operators can
25 maintain reliability by having the same information in near real time, as well as the ability to
26 either control such charging or accurately predict how EV owners (or their third party service
27 providers) will control such charging in response to price signals. Utility customer engagement

1 and charging management software can send price or control signals to smart phones and directly
2 to electric vehicle supply equipment (EVSEs) or third party service providers, as well as allow
3 consumers to program their charging preferences. Utility meter data management systems can
4 use the data from chargers to disaggregate consumption – at the interval level – of EVSEs from
5 the premise to enable application of separate tariffs to the premise owner and the EV. Utility
6 billing systems can use this disaggregated data to calculate bills for EV-only tariffs, incentive
7 payments for demand reductions during peak times, and other financial incentives adopted by the
8 Commission. Utility rate designers can use the data to develop rates that enable EV owners to
9 minimize the cost of charging by taking advantage of low-cost wholesale rates, especially during
10 times of abundant wind and solar power. And because these rates can be EV-only by
11 disaggregating the whole house data, customers can keep their preferred rate for their other-than-
12 EV consumption. Utility demand response program operators can use the EV data to bid peak
13 demand reductions and ancillary services into the wholesale market. The examples cited above
14 are not exhaustive.

15
16 **b. Oregon needs to fully leverage utility assets and capabilities to minimize the**
17 **costs associated with EV ownership and operation to animate the market.**

18 Utilities also have important assets and capabilities to reduce the total cost of ownership
19 (TCO) – buying, owning and operating EVs. Of course, capturing the full benefits as described
20 above directly reduces operating costs by minimizing electricity costs, including costs that might
21 otherwise be required to reinforce the grid. Utilities can greatly reduce costs in three key areas:
22 asset ownership and maintenance, EVSEs, and the consumer experience. They can have the
23 greatest ability to reduce these costs when they own EVSEs.

1 A core competency and central business model element for utilities has always been asset
2 ownership and maintenance. They specialize, in part, in the distribution grid, which consists of
3 very large numbers (millions) of widely dispersed devices that must operate safely and reliably
4 with low maintenance costs for periods of decades. EVSEs are exactly this type of asset and, in
5 fact, have many features in common with smart meters (data recording, communications,
6 electronics in harsh environments, etc.). Utilities have the necessary expertise, business
7 processes, and software for deploying, managing, and maintaining these assets. Utilities can
8 achieve scale economies in borrowing, maintenance personnel and systems, customer base, and
9 other areas to that minimize EVSE deployment, ownership, and maintenance costs. Utilities have
10 access to low cost capital. They have the ability to depreciate the assets over long periods of
11 time, because they have long-standing franchises and investors whose expectations are consistent
12 with lengthy depreciation periods. Utilities have the ability to redeploy assets such as EVSEs, if
13 needed, to other customers, because they have very large, diverse, and lasting customer bases.
14 On the maintenance side, utilities have existing field personnel and mobile workforce
15 management systems to provide reliable and efficient services across a widely dispersed service
16 territory. These maintenance capabilities not only reduce costs but also ensure that consumers
17 relying on their EVSE for charging will have rapid and high quality response to a service need –
18 an essential element of Oregon policymakers providing consumers with the comfort they need to
19 fully rely on an EV as their sole transportation source.

20 Utilities can play a major role in reducing EVSE costs as well. One way is by procuring
21 larger quantities of EVSEs. Quantity discounts enabled by large scale utility purchases reduced
22 smart meter costs by two thirds virtually immediately.¹⁵ Today's EVSE purchases are in the

¹⁵ - Personal experience in three decades of experience with advanced and smart meters.

1 quantities of up to hundreds; utility procurements could increase that level to potentially
2 thousands. Another way is through standardizing functionality. These standard features allow for
3 interoperability – a key requirement for cost reduction – and reduced risk of obsolescence.

4 Utilities can also play a major role in minimizing consumer experience costs, a major
5 barrier to EV adoption.¹⁶ For example, utilities can play a key role in substantially reducing
6 concerns and uncertainties for consumers when buying an EV. There are many questions in
7 which the utility is not involved that relate to a specific vehicle’s features and performance, but
8 the utility can assist by being the trusted energy adviser regarding EV fueling costs, EVSEs and
9 access to charging infrastructure.

11 **XII. Qualifications**

13 My name is Chris King. I am employed by Siemens as the Chief Policy Officer of the
14 Digital Grid business unit. My business address is 4000 E. Third Ave., Foster City, CA 94404.
15 My current responsibilities include leading global policy and strategy initiatives on behalf of
16 Siemens for electric utility digitalization and automation, especially related to distributed energy
17 resources, and including transportation electrification. I have been employed in the electricity
18 industry for over three decades – which includes Pacific Gas & Electric Company, three Silicon
19 Valley start-up companies in the advanced metering and software sector, and, for the past five
20 years, at Siemens. I have extensive experience in rate design, energy efficiency, demand
21 response, advanced metering, grid modernization, consumer engagement, and retail competition.

¹⁶ - “Finding: Most potential PEV customers have little knowledge of PEVs and almost no experience with them. Lack of familiarity with the vehicles and their operation and maintenance creates a substantial barrier to widespread PEV deployment.” in “Overcoming Barriers to Electric-Vehicle Deployment,” National Research Council, 2013.

1 | I have testified on these matters before the California Public Utilities Commission, the California
2 | Legislature, the Energy and Commerce Committee of the U.S. House of Representatives, and
3 | other state regulatory commissions and legislatures. I hold Bachelor and Master of Science
4 | degrees in Biological Sciences from Stanford University, a Master of Science, Management from
5 | the Stanford Graduate School of Business, and a J.D. from Concord Law School. I have been
6 | awarded three smart meter and smart grid patents.